



Interoffice Memo

DATE: August 28, 2020

FROM: Curtis Scott, Assistant Chief Procurement Officer for Transportation Services

TO: Treasury Young, Chief Procurement Officer

SUBJECT RFQ-484-040220; Bridge Bundle #1 – 2020 Engineering Design Services,
Contract 2 - PI #0016600 and PI #0016601
Ranking Approval

The Office of Procurement's Transportation Services Procurement Section has reviewed and evaluated Statements of Qualifications, Technical Approach, and Past Performance for the above referenced project.

Attached for your review is one (1) set of the following:

- Advertisement and all Addendums
- Consultants' Submission Prescreening Checklist – Phase I
- GDOT Guide for Selection Committee Members (Phase I and II)
- Preliminary Ratings and Comments from Evaluators
- Selection Committee Ratings for Top Respondents – Phase I
- Selection Committee Comments for Top Respondents – Phase I
- Area Class Checklist
- Selection of Finalists Notification and Notice to Selected Finalists
- Consultants' Submission Prescreening Checklist – Phase II
- Selection Committee Overall Ratings for Phase I and Phase II
- Selection Committee Comments for Finalists – Phase II
- Past Performance Reference Checks and any available additional documentation
- Verification of Non-Debarment from SAM Website for Intended Awardee and Team
- Prequalification Certificate for Intended Awardee

The five (5) highest firms in order of ranking are as follows:

1. **Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.**
2. **Moffatt & Nichol, Inc.**
2. **Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.**
4. **American Engineers, Inc.**
4. **Infrastructure Consulting & Engineering, PLLC**

The Selection Committee recommends the selection of the top ranked firm, **Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.**

Concurrence with Award from Responsible Division Director: Certification Procurement Requirements Met:

Albert Shelby, Director of Program Delivery

Treasury Young, Chief Procurement Officer

CS:fb

Attachments



Request for Qualifications

To Provide

Bridge Bundle #1 – 2020 Engineering Design Services

RFQ-484-040220

Qualifications Due: April 2, 2020

**Georgia Department of Transportation
One Georgia Center
600 West Peachtree Street, NW
Atlanta, Georgia 30308**

REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS

484-040220

Bridge Bundle #1 – 2020 Engineering Design Services

Each Statement of Qualification (SOQ) submittal will require one (1) Contract Consideration Checklist sheet similar to the last page of this RFQ, indicating **ALL** of the contract(s) a firm have submitted SOQs for under RFQ-484-040220. This form is to ensure all SOQs submitted are accounted for and included in the correct Contract evaluation package.

Contract #	PI #	County	Project Description
1	0015658	Putnam	CR 29/MARTINS MILL ROAD @ LITTLE RIVER 4.5 MI NW OF EATONTON
	0016595	Wilkes	CR 197/BIG CEDAR ROAD @ ROCKY CREEK
2	0016600	Screven	CR 238/BUCK CREEK ROAD @ SOUTH PRONG BUCK CREEK
	0016601	Screven	CR 238/BUCK CREEK ROAD @ BUCK CREEK TRIB
3	0016564	Wayne	CR 31/OGLETHORPE ROAD @ LITTLE GOOSE CREEK
	0016565	Wayne	CR 31/OGLETHORPE ROAD @ LITTLE GOOSE CREEK 9 MI NW OF JESUP
	0016604	Bulloch	CR 9/AKINS POND ROAD @ MILL CREEK
4	0016566	Camden	CS 140/OLD STILL ROAD @ CROOKED RIVER
	0016568	Charlton	CR 95/GRACE CHAPEL ROAD @ SPANISH CREEK
5	0016569	Mitchell	CR 288/WHIGHAM ROAD @ BIG SLOUGH
	0016584	Thomas	CR 298/COFFEE ROAD @ AUCILLA RIVER
	0016587	Thomas	CR 360/OLD US 84 @ CSX #636964L
	0016589	Colquitt	CR 485/TILLMAN ROAD @ INDIAN CREEK
	0016590	Colquitt	CR 485/TILLMAN ROAD @ BULL CREEK
6	0015632	Coffee	CR 705/BRIDGETOWN ROAD @ SATILLA RIVER 11 MI W OF DOUGLAS
	0016571	Crisp	CR 4/STORY ROAD @ N BRANCH SWIFT CREEK TRIB
	0016572	Crisp	CR 11/LOWER PATEVILLE ROAD @ SWIFT CREEK TRIB
	0016588	Irwin	CR 181/SATILLA ROAD @ WILLACOOCHEE RIVER OVERFLOW
7	0016570	Macon	CR 281/CEDAR CREEK ROAD @ CEDAR CREEK
	0016573	Sumter	CR 147/MURPHYS MILL ROAD @ MURPHYS MILL POND
	331900-	Spalding	CR 222/CR 954/COUNTY LINE ROAD @ POTATO CREEK SE OF GRIFFIN
8	0016575	Coweta	CR 55/MCINTOSH TRAIL @ KEG CREEK
	0016576	Coweta	CR 261/OLD CORINTH ROAD @ SANDY CREEK
	0016579	Clayton/Fayette	SR 920 @ FLINT RIVER
9	0016577	Carroll	CR 824/W HICKORY LEVEL ROAD @ LITTLE TALLAPOOSA RIVER
	0016578	Carroll	CR 824/W HICKORY LEVEL RD @ LITTLE TALLAPOOSA RIVER TRIB
	0016596	Bartow	CS 963/GILLIAM SPRING ROAD @ NANCY CREEK
	0016609	Polk	CR 173/SCHOOL HOUSE ROAD @ SWINNEY BRANCH TRIB
	0016610	Polk	CR 211/EVERETT ROAD @ SIMPSON CREEK
10	0016607	Walker	RED BELT ROAD @ WEST CHICKAMAUGA CREEK
	0016608	Walker	CR 434/EUCLID ROAD @ WEST CHICKAMAUGA CREEK
	0016611	Floyd	CR 924/BELLS FERRY ROAD @ WOODWARD CREEK

11	0016580	Fulton	CS 1323/HOPEWELL ROAD @ CHICKEN CREEK
	0016581	Fulton	CS 4/BIRMINGHAM ROAD @ CHICKEN CREEK TRIB
	0016582	Fulton	CS 34/FREEMANVILLE ROAD @ COOPER SANDY CREEK
	0016599	Fulton	CS 1472/WATERS ROAD @ LONG INDIAN CREEK
	0016605	Fulton	CR 581/BETHSAIDA ROAD @ MORNING CREEK
	0016606	Clayton	CR 392/UPPER RIVERDALE RD @ FLINT RIVER

I. General Project Information

A. Overview

The Georgia Department of Transportation (GDOT) is soliciting Statement of Qualifications (SOQs) from qualified firm(s) to provide Engineering Design Consultant Services for the projects listed above (note that certain projects may be grouped with other projects and awarded as one (1) contract):

This Request for Qualifications (RFQ) seeks to identify potential providers for the Scope of Services for the project/contract listed in Exhibit I-1 thru Exhibit I-11. Firms that respond to this RFQ, and are determined by GDOT to be sufficiently qualified, may be deemed eligible, and invited to offer a technical approach and/or possibly present and/or interview for these services. All respondents to this RFQ are subject to instructions communicated in this document, and are cautioned to completely review the entire RFQ and follow instructions carefully. GDOT reserves the right to reject any or all Statements of Qualifications or Technical Approach, and to waive technicalities and informalities at the discretion of GDOT.

B. **IMPORTANT- A RESTRICTION OF COMMUNICATION IS IN EFFECT FOR THIS PROJECT.**

From the advertisement date of this solicitation until successful respondents are selected and the award is made official and announced, firms are not allowed to communicate about this solicitation or scope with any staff of GDOT including the Commissioner and GDOT Board Members, except for the submission of questions as instructed in the RFQ, or with the contact designated in **RFQ Section VIII.C.**, or as provided by any existing work agreement(s). For violation of this provision, GDOT reserves the right to reject the submittal of the offending respondent.

C. **The Georgia Department of Transportation Board has adopted a 16% overall annual goal for DBE participation on all federally funded projects. This goal is not to be considered as a fixed quota, set aside or preference. The DBE goal can be met by prime contracting, sub-contracting, joint-venture or mentor/protégé relationship.**

Georgia Department of Transportation will monitor and assess each consultant services submittals for their DBE participation and/or good faith effort in promoting equity and opportunity in accordance with the state of Georgia, Department of Transportation Disadvantage Business Program Plan.

For more information on the GDOT DBE Program please contact:

Georgia Department of Transportation
 Equal Opportunity Division
 One Georgia Center, 7th Floor
 600 West Peachtree Street, NW
 Atlanta, Georgia 30308
 Phone: (404) 631-1972

D. Scope of Services

Under the terms of the resulting Agreements, the selected consultants will provide full engineering design services, for the GDOT Project(s) identified. The anticipated scope of work for the project/contract is included in **Exhibit I-1 thru Exhibit I-11**.

In addition, GDOT desires that the Consultant have the ability to provide, either with its own forces or through a sub-consultant team member, comprehensive services necessary to fulfill all preliminary engineering services which may arise during the project cycle.

E. Contract Term and Type

GDOT anticipates one (1) Multi-Phase, Project Specific contract to be awarded to one (1) firm, for the project/contract identified. GDOT anticipates that the Payment Type may be Lump Sum, Cost Plus Fixed Fee, Cost Per Unit of Work or Specific Rates of Compensation. As a Project Specific contract, it is the Department's intention that the Agreement will remain in effect until successful completion of the preliminary engineering phase of the projects, and may choose to utilize the selected consultant for use on construction revisions as necessary.

F. Contract Amount

Each Multi-Phase, Project Specific contract amount will be determined via negotiations with the Department. If the Department is unable to reach a satisfactory agreement and at reasonable rates to be paid for the services to be provided, the Department reserves the right to terminate negotiations with the highest scoring finalist and begin negotiations with the next highest scoring finalist.

II. Selection Method

A. Method of Communication

All general communication of relevant information regarding this solicitation will be made via the Georgia Procurement Registry (GPR) under RFQ-484-040220. All firms are responsible for checking the GPR on a regular basis for updates, clarifications, and announcements. GDOT reserves the right to communicate via electronic-mail with the primary contact listed in the Statements of Qualifications. Other specific communications will be made as indicated in the remainder of this RFQ.

B. Phase I - Selection of Finalists

Based on the Statements of Qualifications submitted in response to the projects/contracts listed in this RFQ, the Selection Committee will review the **Experience and Qualifications** and **Resources and Workload Capacity** listed in **Section IV. Selection Criteria for Phase I**. The Selection Committee will discuss the top submittals and the final rankings of the top submittals will be determined. From the final rankings of the top submittals, the Selection Committee will identify three (3) to five (5) firms which will be shortlisted.

All firms must meet the minimum requirements as listed in **Section IV.A.** below.

C. Finalist Notification for Phase II

Firms selected and shortlisted as finalists will receive notification and final instructions from GDOT regarding the **Phase II – Technical Approach** response.

D. Phase II - Finalists Response on Technical Approach and Past Performance

GDOT will request a **Technical Approach** of the three (3) to five (5) finalist firms for the project/contract. GDOT reserves the right to request a presentation/interview on any project/contract as determined in its best interests; however, this additional requirement shall typically be reserved for the most complex projects. Each finalist firm shall be notified in writing and informed of the Technical Approach due date. Any additional detailed Technical Approach instructions and requirements, beyond that provided in **Section V. Selection Criteria for Phase II**, for the finalists will be provided in the Finalist Notification. All members of the Selection Committee will review the Technical Approach (and will attend the presentation/interview if so chosen). **Firms shall not address any questions, prior to the award announcement, to anyone other than the designated contact.**

E. Final Selection

Final selection will be determined by carrying the scores from **Phase I** forward for each Finalist and by evaluating the **Technical Approach** and **Past Performance** criteria for **Phase II**. The Selection Committee will discuss the Finalist's Phase II Responses and the final rankings will be determined.

Negotiations will then be initiated with the top-ranked firm(s) to finalize the terms and conditions of the contract(s), including the fees to be paid. In the event a satisfactory agreement cannot be reached with the highest-ranking firm(s), GDOT will formally terminate the negotiations and possibly enter into negotiations with the second highest-ranking firm, and so on in turn until a mutual agreement is established and GDOT awards a contract. The final form of the contract shall be developed by GDOT.

III. Schedule of Events

The following Schedule of Events represents GDOT's best estimate of the Schedule that will be followed. All times indicated are prevailing times in Atlanta, Georgia. GDOT reserves the right to adjust the Schedule as GDOT deems necessary.

PHASE I	DATE	TIME
a. GDOT issues public advertisement of RFQ-484-040220	3/3/2020	-----
b. Deadline for submission of written questions and requests for clarification	3/19/2020	2:00 PM
c. Deadline for submission of Statements of Qualifications	4/2/2020	2:00 PM
d. GDOT completes evaluation and issues notification and other information to finalist firms	TBD	
PHASE II		
e. Deadline for submission of written questions from finalists	TBD	2:00 PM
f. Phase II Response of Finalist firms due	TBD	TBA

IV. Selection Criteria for Phase I - Criteria for Evaluation of Statements of Qualifications

A. Area Class Requirements and Certification

Presented teams must be prequalified in the indicated Area Class(es) in order to be evaluated. Required proof of prequalification shall be submitted as indicated in **Section VI.B.4.** below. All Submittals will be pre-screened to verify that the Prime consultant has the required Area Class(es) and that the overall team has the required Area Class(es). Any submittal in which the Prime consultant or the overall team area class requirements are not met will be disqualified from further consideration.

Each submittal will require a certification to allow the Department to analyze risks in determining if any Firm should be ineligible for award. The certification shall cover a wide variety of information. Any firm which responds in any potentially concerning manner must provide additional information as directed herein for consideration by GDOT to determine if Firm is eligible for award.

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%

The Selection Committee will evaluate all firms on their Experience and Qualifications, which shall account for a total of thirty (30%) percent of the total evaluation. **The following criteria for scoring Phase I of the evaluation will be utilized to determine which firms are shortlisted:**

1. Project Manager education, registration, relevant engineering experience, relevant project management experience, experience in utilizing GDOT specific processes, manuals, or guidance.
2. Key Team Leaders' education, registration, relevant technical experience, and relevant experience in utilizing GDOT specific processes, manuals, or guidance.
3. Prime Consultant's experience in delivering projects of similar complexity, size, scope, and function.

C. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%

The Selection Committee will evaluate all firms on their Resources availability and Workload Capacity which shall account for a total of twenty (20%) percent of the total evaluation. **The following criteria for scoring the Resources and Workload Capacity will be utilized to determine which firms are shortlisted:**

1. Project Manager Workload
2. Workload capacity of Key Team Leader(s)
3. Resources dedicated to delivering project
4. Ability to Meet Project Schedule

V. Selection Criteria for Phase II - Criteria for Evaluation of Technical Approach and Past Performance

A. Technical Approach – 40%

The Selection Committee will evaluate the shortlisted firms (Finalists) on their Technical Approach, which shall account for a total of forty (40%) percent. The Selection Committee shall utilize the following additional criteria for scoring Phase II of the evaluation to determine the highest ranked/most qualified (**NOTE: Scores from Phase I will be carried forward and combined with the scores from the Phase II to determine the final ranking of Finalists**):

1. Provide any unique technical approaches your firm offers relative to addressing anticipated design concepts, use of any alternative methods for delivery (if applicable), and/or management of the project.
2. Identify any unique challenges of the project and how your firm intends to mitigate these challenges, including quality control, quality assurance procedures.
3. Provide any specific qualifications, skills, knowledge of the project and project area which may uniquely benefit the firm and project, and your ability and willingness to meet time requirements.

B. Past Performance – 10%

The Selection Committee may consider information provided via references provided for relevant projects, knowledge any selection committee member has of performance on relevant projects, and performance evaluations or knowledge presented on GDOT projects. The Selection Committee will consider all factors in their totality and score from 0 to 10 when arriving at a final score for the Past Performance.

VI. Instructions for Content and Preparation of Statements of Qualifications – Phase I Response

The Statements of Qualifications submittal must be submitted in accordance with the instructions provided in Section VIII, and must be **organized, categorized using the same headings (in red), and numbered and lettered** exactly as outlined below, and must be responsive to all requested information. For the sections in which page number limits are stated, each section with a stated limit must begin on a new page and end on the last page allowed for the section. **It is not allowed to begin new sections on a page allowed for a previous section, if applicable. This will enable the Department to ensure compliance with the page limitations.**

Each submittal shall include:

Cover page – Each project/contract submittal must have a separate cover page for each copy of each submittal for each project/contract and each must list the RFQ#, RFQ Title, proposing firm's full legal name and the specific project contract being submitted on to include the correct Project Numbers, PI Numbers, County(ies), and Description.

A. **Contract Consideration Checklist**

Each Statement of Qualification (SOQ) submittal should include one (1) Contract Consideration Checklist sheet similar to the one shown on the last page of the RFQ, indicating all of the contract(s) a firm have submitted SOQs for under RFQ-484-040220. This one (1) checklist will ensure that **ALL** SOQs submitted are accounted for and included in the correct evaluation package(s). In the event that there are inconsistencies between the contract

number(s) and the PI number(s) indicated on a firm's SOQ cover page, the PI number(s) indicated will prevail to determine which contract a firm will be considered for. QA/QC is a must to ensure the correct contract submittal.

B. Administrative Requirements

It is required to submit the information below for each copy of each submittal. This is general information and will not be scored but may be used to determine eligibility for selection. **Under Administrative Requirements section, only submit the information requested; additional information will be subject to disqualification of your firm.**

1. **Basic company information:**
 - a. **Company name.**
 - b. **Company Headquarter Address.**
 - c. **Contact Information** - Name and all contact information (telephone number(s) and e-mail address) of primary proposing contact (this will be the individual with whom the Department will direct all communications).
 - d. **Company website** (if available).
 - e. **Georgia Addresses** - Identify and provide addresses for the offices located in the State of Georgia.
 - f. **Staff** - List the number and disciplines of staff members employed in each office in the State of Georgia.
 - g. **Ownership** - Provide form of ownership, including state of residency or incorporation, and number of years in business. Is the Offeror a sole proprietorship, partnership, corporation, limited liability Corporation, or other structure?
2. **Certification Form** - Complete the Certification Form (*Exhibit "II" enclosed with RFQ*), **Initial each box on the Form indicating certification**, and provide an **active** notarized original within the firm's Statement of Qualifications. This is to be submitted for the Prime **ONLY**.
3. **Georgia Security and Immigration Compliance Act Affidavit** – Complete the form (*Exhibit "III" enclosed with RFQ*), and provide an **active** notarized original within the firm's Statement of Qualifications. This is to be submitted for the Prime **ONLY**.
4. **Addenda** - Signed cover page **only** of any Addenda issued for the Prime **ONLY**.

C. Experience and Qualifications

1. **Project Manager** - Provide information pertaining to the project manager, including but not limited to:
 - a. **Education.**
 - b. **Registration** (if necessary and applicable.)
 - c. **Relevant engineering experience.**
 - d. **Relevant project management experience** for projects of similar complexity, size, scope, and function.
 - e. **Relevant experience utilizing GDOT specific processes, manuals, or guidance** (Plan Development Process, Design Policy, Environmental Procedures Manual, etc.).

This information is limited to two (2) pages maximum.

2. **Key Team Leaders** - Provide experience of Key Team Leaders (defined as those individuals who oversee project areas determined as particularly important to each specific project, refer to the Project Description in **Exhibit I -1 thru Exhibit I-11, specifically Section 7** for the list of Key Team Leaders for each Contract). For each Key Team Leader identified provide:
 - a. **Education.**
 - b. **Registration** (if necessary and applicable.)
 - c. **Relevant experience** in the applicable resource area of the most relevant projects.
 - d. **Relevant experience utilizing GDOT specific processes, manuals, or guidance** (PDP, Design Policy, Environmental Procedures Manual, etc.) which are specific to the key team leader's area.

This information is limited to one (1) page maximum for each Key Team Leader identified in Section 7 of Exhibit I-1 thru Exhibit I-11 per Contract. Respondents submitting more than one (1) page for each Key Team Leader identified or more than one (1) person as Key Team Leader on same page will be

subject to disqualification. Respondents who provide more Key Team Leaders than what is outlined in the requirement will be subject to disqualification as this would provide an advantage over firms who complied with the requirement and had the required number of Key Team Leaders. Respondents who do not provide the required Key Team Leaders will be subject to disqualification as this does not meet the requirements of the project and therefore would deem the respondent and its team unqualified for the award.

3. **Prime Experience** - Provide information on the prime's experience and ability in delivering effective services for projects of similar complexity, size, scope, and function, which demonstrate the firm's capabilities to provide services for GDOT. For each project, the following information should be provided:
 - a. **Client name, project location and dates** during which services were performed.
 - b. **Description of overall project and services performed** by your firm.
 - c. **Duration of project services provided** by your firm, and overall project budget.
 - d. **Experience utilizing GDOT specific processes, manuals, or guidance** (PDP, Design Policy, Environmental Procedures Manual, etc.)
 - e. **Client(s) current contact information** including contact names, telephone numbers and **email address**.
 - f. **Involvement of Key Team Leaders** on the projects.

This information is limited to two (2) pages maximum.

4. **Area Class Summary Form and Notice of Professional Consultant Qualifications** - Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their sub-consultants, who are considered team members. Prime Consultants and their sub-consultant team members must meet the Area Class requirements listed in Exhibit I for each project on which they apply. In regards to the required Area Classes, for each project/contract on which they apply, respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in Exhibit IV) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all sub-consultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes and firm's meeting the area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. If a team member's prequalification will expire prior to the due date of the SOQs, documentation must be provided which shows that the firm has submitted its application for prequalification prior to the SOQ due date. The team must maintain its prequalification certification in order to be considered eligible for award if selected. **Additionally, respondents should submit the Notice of Professional Consultant Qualifications (for the Prime Consultant and all sub-consultants for each project) issued by GDOT and attach after the Area Class summary form.**

This information is limited to the one page for the Area Class table (unless the project needs require an extensive list of area classes, which may exceed the one page) and the required Notice of Professional Consultant Qualifications.

D. Resources/Workload Capacity

1. **Overall Resources** - Provide information regarding the overall resources dedicated to delivering the specific project, including:
 - a. **Organizational chart** which identifies the project manager, prime, Key Team Leaders, support personnel, and reporting structure. This chart may be submitted on a 11" x 17" page. **(Excluded from the page count)**
 - b. **Primary Office** - Identify and discuss the primary office which will be responsible for handling the specific project and the number and types of staff within the office and how this office could benefit the project and promote efficiency. **This information to be included on the one (1) page combined with the Narrative on Additional Resource Areas and Ability.**
 - c. **Narrative on Additional Resource Areas and Ability** – Respondents are to provide information regarding additional resource areas identified as important to the project, to discuss how the key areas will integrate and work together on the project, to discuss any information which is pertinent to these areas, to provide a narrative regarding how the organization of the team, including the PM and Key Team Leaders can deliver the project on schedule given their workload capacity. (GDOT recognizes that some individuals may be able to meet the schedule while carrying heavier project loads.) Respondents may discuss the advantages of your team and the abilities of the team members which will enable the project to meet the proposed

schedule as identified in **Exhibit I-1 thru Exhibit I-11** (where applicable). If there is no proposed schedule, discuss the advantages of the team and the abilities of the team members which will enable the project to move as expeditiously as possible. **Respondents submitting more than the one (1) page allowed (combined for D1.b. and D1.c.), will be subject to disqualification.**

2. **Project Manager Commitment Table** - Provide a list of ALL projects (GDOT, other governments and private contracts – Information may be validated and any firm determined not to be listing all projects may be subject to disqualification) on which the proposed project manager is currently committed, to enable the Department to ascertain the project manager’s availability. Utilize a table similar to the following format with a minimum of all criteria indicated to provide the requested information:

Project Manager	PI/Project # for GDOT Projects/Name of Customer for Non-GDOT Projects	Role of PM on Project	Project Description	Current Phase of Project	Current Status of Project	Monthly Time Commitment in Hours

3. **Key Team Leader Project Commitment Table** - Provide a table similar to the below, with a minimum of all criteria indicated, which identifies ALL projects the Key Team Leaders (refer to the Project Description in **Exhibit I-1 thru Exhibit I-11**, specifically **Section 7** for the list of Key Team Leaders for each Contract) are committed on to enable the Department to ascertain the available capacity.

Key Team Leader	PI/Project # for GDOT Projects/Name of Customer for Non-GDOT Projects	Role of Key Team Leader on Project	Project Description	Current Phase of Project	Current Status of Project	Monthly Time Commitment in Hours

This information is limited to the organization chart (excluded from page count), one (1) page combined of text (for both the Primary Office and Narrative on Resource Areas and Ability), and the tables.

VII. Instructions for Preparing Technical Approach and Past Performance Response – Phase II Response

The following information will only be requested of the shortlisted firms. The Selection Committee will evaluate the shortlisted firms using the information provided as requested below (NOTE: Scores from Phase I will be carried forward to Phase II):

The Phase II response must be submitted in accordance with the instructions provided in Section IX, and must be **organized, categorized using the same headings (in red), and numbered and lettered** exactly as outlined below, and must be responsive to all requested information. For the sections in which page number limits are stated, each section with a stated limit must begin on a new page and end on the last page allowed for the section. **It is not allowed to begin new sections on a page allowed for a previous section, if applicable. This will enable the Department to ensure compliance with the page limitations.**

Phase II Cover page – Each submittal must have a separate cover page for each copy of each Phase II submittal and each must indicate the response is for Phase II, list the RFQ#, RFQ Title, proposing firm’s full legal name and the specific project contract being submitted on to include the Project Numbers, PI Numbers, County(ies), and Description.

A. Technical Approach

1. Provide any unique technical approaches your firm offers relative to addressing anticipated design concepts, use of any alternative methods for delivery (if applicable), and/or management of the project.

2. Identify any unique challenges of the project and how your firm intends to mitigate these challenges, including quality control, quality assurance procedures.
3. Provide any specific qualifications, skills, knowledge of the project and project area which may uniquely benefit the firm and project, and your ability and willingness to meet time requirements.

This information will be limited to a maximum of three (3) pages.

B. Past Performance

No additional information should be submitted to fulfill this requirement. Information from the relevant projects listed as well as information on file with the Department will be used to fulfill this requirement.

Past performance may be evaluated through the checking of project references for the proposed project manager as well as the firm. The Department will check these references at random. For this reason, attention should be paid to the references provided to ensure that the contact information provided is accurate and the individual references are reachable. Other past performance information which may be utilized includes GDOT consultant performance ratings as well as knowledge that any member of the Selection Committee has pertaining to the past performance of the firm on any project.

VIII. Instructions for Submittal for Phase I - Statements of Qualifications

- A. There is one (1) electronic version submittal required. The Submittal must follow the format and meet the content requirements identified in **Section VI**, entitled **Instructions for Content and Preparation of Statements of Qualifications – Phase I Response**. See **Attachment 1** for a summary of how the submittals should be prepared.
- B. Submittals must be typed on standard (8½" x 11") paper. The pages should be numbered, however, submittal pages will be counted by section to determine compliance with page limits. Responses are limited to the page counts indicated in each section using a minimum of size 11 font. Page counts will be determined by pages with print on them, not by the physical piece of paper. Each Statement of Qualifications shall be prepared simply and economically as indicated above. Colored displays, and promotional materials are not desired. Emphasis must be on completeness, relevance, and clarity of content.

NOTE: Additional pages other than what has been specified above in each section should not be included and will be grounds for disqualification. Submittals are limited to the information requested in Section VI. Instructions for Content and Preparation of Statements of Qualifications - Phase I Response only. Hyperlinks or embedded video are not allowed.

Statements of Qualifications submittals must be a PDF document for each project/contract. Each PDF document must follow the naming convention for electronic records as follows: the proposing firm's full legal name, RFQ#, RFQ Title and the specific project contract number being submitted on. To submit your Statement of Qualification click the following Links:

Contract 1: mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-040220%20Contract%201%20
 Contract 2: mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-040220%20Contract%202%20
 Contract 3: mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-040220%20Contract%203%20
 Contract 4: mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-040220%20Contract%204%20
 Contract 5: mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-040220%20Contract%205%20
 Contract 6: mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-040220%20Contract%206%20
 Contract 7: mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-040220%20Contract%207%20
 Contract 8: mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-040220%20Contract%208%20
 Contract 9: mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-040220%20Contract%209%20
 Contract10: mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-040220%20Contract%2010%20
 Contact 11: mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-040220%20Contract%2011%20

If a firm is responding to multiple projects/contracts, each submittal must be e-mail separately using the naming convention for electronic records, and **submission link provided**. Upon successful receipt of the electronic submittal, the system will send a receipt confirmation e-mail to the sender. **If you do not receive an email receipt confirmation for your submittal within one hour of your submittal, please contact Folan Battle at Fbattle@dot.ga.gov.**

Statements of Qualifications **must be received by GDOT** prior to the deadline indicated in the Schedule of Events (Section III of RFQ).

No submittals will be accepted after the time and date set for receipt.

All expenses for preparing and submitting responses are the sole cost of the party submitting the response. GDOT is not obligated to any party to reimburse such expenses. All submittals upon receipt become the property of GDOT. Labeling information provided in submittals “proprietary” or “confidential”, or any other designation of restricted use will not protect the information from public view. Subject to the provisions of the Open Records Act, the details of the proposal documents will remain confidential until final award.

GDOT reserves the right, in its sole discretion, to waive any technicalities associated with this submittal if deemed in the best interest of the State.

C. Questions and Requests for Clarification

Questions about any aspect of the RFQ, or the project, shall be submitted in writing via e-mail to: **Folayan Battle, e-mail: Fbattle@dot.ga.gov**. The deadlines for submission of questions relating to the RFQ are the times and dates shown in the (**Schedule of Events- Section III**). From the issue date of this solicitation until a successful proposer is selected and the award is made official and announced, respondents are subject to the Restriction of Communication in **Section I.B.**

IX. Instructions for Submittal for Phase II – Technical Approach and Past Performance Response

THESE INSTRUCTIONS ARE INTENDED SOLELY FOR THOSE FIRMS IDENTIFIED AND NOTIFIED AS FINALISTS. Final Instructions will be provided to the Finalists in the notification.

Please note that each project/contract will follow an individual schedule which meets the availability of each Selection Committee. For this reason, the Notice to Selected Finalists and resulting Phase II responses may be on different schedules for each project/contract.

- A. There is one (1) electronic version submittal required. The Submittal must follow the format and meet the content requirements identified in **Section VII**, entitled **Instructions for Preparing Technical Approach and Past Performance Response - Phase II Response**. See **Attachment 1** for a summary of how the submittals should be prepared.
- B. Submittals must be typed on standard (8½" x 11") paper. The pages should be numbered, however, submittal pages will be counted by section to determine compliance with page limits. Responses are limited to the page counts indicated in each section using a minimum of size 11 font. Page counts will be determined by pages with print on them, not by the physical piece of paper. Each Statement of Qualifications shall be prepared simply and economically as indicated above. Colored displays, and promotional materials are not desired. Emphasis must be on completeness, relevance, and clarity of content.

NOTE: Additional pages other than what has been specified above in each section **should not be included and will be grounds for disqualification**. Submittals are limited to the information requested in Section VII. Instructions for Preparing Technical Approach and Past Performance Response-Phase II Response only. Hyperlinks or embedded video are not allowed.

- C. **Technical Approach submittal must be a PDF document for each project/contract. Each PDF document must follow the naming convention for electronic records as follows: the proposing firm’s full legal name, RFQ#, RFQ Title and the specific project contract being submitted on. To submit your Technical Approach click the following Links:**

Contract 1: mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-040220%20Contract%201%20
Contract 2: mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-040220%20Contract%202%20
Contract 3: mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-040220%20Contract%203%20
Contract 4: mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-040220%20Contract%204%20
Contract 5: mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-040220%20Contract%205%20
Contract 6: mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-040220%20Contract%206%20
Contract 7: mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-040220%20Contract%207%20

Contract 8: mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-040220%20Contract%208%20
Contract 9: mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-040220%20Contract%209%20
Contract10: mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-040220%20Contract%2010%20
Contact 11: mailto:tsp_soq_tech_submittal@dot.ga.gov?subject=RFQ%20484-040220%20Contract%2011%20

If a firm is responding to multiple projects/contracts, each submittal must be e-mail separately using the naming convention for electronic records, and **submission link provided**. Upon successful receipt of the electronic submittal, the system will send a receipt confirmation e-mail to the sender. **If you do not receive an email receipt confirmation for your submittal within one hour of your submittal, please contact Folayan Battle at Fbattle@dot.ga.gov.**

Technical Approach **must be received by GDOT** prior to the deadline indicated in Notice to Selected Finalists.

No submittals will be accepted after the time and date set for receipt.

All expenses for preparing and submitting responses are the sole cost of the party submitting the response. GDOT is not obligated to any party to reimburse such expenses. All submittals upon receipt become the property of GDOT. Labeling information provided in submittals "proprietary" or "confidential", or any other designation of restricted use will not protect the information from public view. Subject to the provisions of the Open Records Act, the details of the proposal documents will remain confidential until final award.

GDOT reserves the right, in its sole discretion, to waive any technicalities associated with this submittal if deemed in the best interest of the State.

No submittals will be accepted after the time and date set for receipt.

Responses submitted via facsimile or e-mail will be rejected. All expenses for preparing and submitting responses are the sole cost of the party submitting the response. GDOT is not obligated to any party to reimburse such expenses. All submittals upon receipt become the property of GDOT. Labeling information provided in submittals "proprietary" or "confidential", or any other designation of restricted use will not protect the information from public view. Subject to the provisions of the Open Records Act, the details of the proposal documents will remain confidential until final award.

GDOT reserves the right, in its sole discretion, to waive any technicalities associated with this submittal if deemed in the best interest of the State.

D. **Questions and Requests for Clarification**

Questions about any aspect of the Phase II Response for Finalists, shall be submitted in writing via e-mail to: **Folayan Battle, e-mail: Fbattle@dot.ga.gov, or as directed in the Notice to Selected Finalists, if different.** The deadlines for submission of questions relating to the Phase II Response will be identified in the Notice to Selected Finalists. From the issue date of this solicitation until a successful proposer is selected and the award is made official and announced, respondents are subject to the Restriction of Communication in **Section I.B.**

X. **GDOT Terms and Conditions**

A. **Statement of Agreement**

With the submission of a SOQ, the respondent agrees that he/she has carefully examined the Request for Qualifications, and agrees that it is the respondent's responsibility to request clarification on any issues in any section of the Request for Qualifications with which the respondent disagrees or needs clarified. The respondent also understands that failure to mention these items during the question period or in the SOQ will be interpreted to mean that the respondent is in full agreement with the terms, conditions, specifications and requirements in the therein. With submission of a SOQ, the respondent hereby certifies: (a) that this SOQ is genuine and is not made in the interest or on behalf of any undisclosed person, firm, or corporation; (b) that respondent has not directly or indirectly included or solicited any other respondent to put in a false or insincere SOQ; (c) that respondent has not solicited or induced any person, firm, or corporation to refrain from sending a SOQ.

The respondent also understands that failure to provide required information may result in disqualification. Failure to provide administrative information may not result in disqualification. At the Department's discretion, the Department may notify the respondent that administrative information is not provided or there was an error in the information provided, **and** the Department will allow a respondent to provide an update to the administrative information. However, the exception to this is the provision of the required **GEORGIA SECURITY AND IMMIGRATION COMPLIANCE ACT AFFIDAVIT**, which by Georgia Law requires disqualification of the response. The above changes mentioned to administrative information would be considered allowable as these would be limited to changes which **do not** affect the information which the evaluators use to score the respondents. Failure of a respondent to provide the specific administrative information as required in the notice will result in disqualification. Any respondent who provides changes in addition to the information requested in the notice shall be subject to disqualification. Failure of a respondent's SOQ to provide any information pertaining to a respondent and its teams qualifications, of any type, will subject the SOQ to disqualification. The Department will not allow updates to qualifications to be provided to avoid disqualification as this would allow a respondent to modify its SOQ and alter the information which evaluators would score. The above changes related to qualifications would not be allowable as these would allow changes which **do** affect the information which the evaluators use to score the respondents SOQ.

B. Joint-Venture Proposals, Sub-Consultants, and Vendors

GDOT does not generally desire to enter into "joint-venture" agreements with multiple firms. In the event two or more firms desire to "joint-venture", it is strongly recommended that one incorporated firm propose and maintain status as the Program Management firm with the remaining firms participating as major firms. Any joint-venture, proposed and established as a separate business entity, should have its own set of books and supporting documentation sufficient for an audit trail. Transactions should be recorded consistent with the joint-venture agreement, and care must be taken to ensure that the joint-venture bears its equitable share of the costs. Therefore, "unpopulated joint-ventures" would not have an adequate accounting system suitable for cost reimbursement contracts.

However more traditional "populated joint-ventures" are welcomed. A populated joint-venture is where an alliance is brought to life by infusing it with working capital, employees, and control systems. The alliance implements all necessary business systems, including payroll processing, purchasing, property control, etc. The alliance will develop its own indirect rate structure and calculates its own indirect cost rates, based on the direct and indirect costs it incurs.

Sub-Consultants shall generally be considered any team member which is performing any service which typically requires prequalification, which is subject to the Audit and Accounting System Requirements, and whose services are billed as costs. Sub-Consultant Team Members must be written into the resulting Agreement and are subject to all terms and conditions in the Agreement. Vendors shall be considered any team member which is performing any service which typically does not require prequalification, which is not subject to the Audit and Accounting System Requirements, and whose services are billed as direct expenses. Vendors may not be written into the resulting Agreement and may not be subject to all terms and conditions in the Agreement.

C. Non-Discrimination and DBE Requirements

The Georgia Department of Transportation in accordance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and 78 Stat. 252, 42 USC 2000d--42 and Title 49, Code of Federal Regulations, Department of Transportation, Subtitle A, Office of the Secretary, part 21, Nondiscrimination in federally assisted programs of the Department of Transportation issued pursuant to such Act, hereby notifies all proposers that it will affirmatively ensure that any contract entered into pursuant to this advertisement, minority business enterprises will be afforded full opportunity to submit bids in response to this invitation and will not be discriminated against on the grounds of race, color, sex, or national origin in consideration for an award.

The Georgia Department of Transportation Board has adopted a 16% overall annual goal for DBE participation on all federally funded projects. This goal is not to be considered as a fixed quota, set aside or preference. The DBE goal can be met by prime contracting, sub-contracting, joint-venture or mentor/protégé relationship.

Georgia Department of Transportation will monitor and assess each consultant services submittals for their DBE participation and/or good faith effort in promoting equity and opportunity in accordance with the state of Georgia, Department of Transportation Disadvantage Business Program Plan.

For more information on the GDOT DBE Program please contact:

Georgia Department of Transportation
Equal Opportunity Division
One Georgia Center, 7th Floor
600 West Peachtree Street, NW
Atlanta, Georgia 30308
Phone: (404) 631-1972

D. Audit and Accounting System Requirements

GDOT reserves the right to reject any proposal with firms that do not meet the following requirements:

1. Firm(s) should have an accounting system in place to meet requirements of 48 CFR Part 31 and, in the case of non-profit organizations, OMB Circular A-122.
2. Any firm that currently has an aggregate contract amount exceeding \$250,000 should have submitted their yearly CPA overhead audit.
3. Firm(s) should have no significant outstanding deficient audit findings from previous contracts with GDOT that have not been resolved.
4. The prime is responsible for being reasonably assured that all sub-consultant(s) presented as a part of the proposed team are similarly in compliance with the above requirements.

E. Submittal Costs and Confidentiality

All expenses for preparing and submitting responses are the sole cost of the respondent submitting the response. The Department is not obligated to any respondent to reimburse such expenses. All submittals upon receipt become the property of the Department. Labeling information provided in submittals as “proprietary” or “confidential”, or any other designation of restricted use will not protect the information from public view. Subject to the provisions of the Open Records Act, the details of the proposal documents will remain confidential until a final award.

F. Award Conditions

This request is not an offer to contract or a solicitation of bids. This request and any proposal submitted in response, regardless of whether the proposal is determined to be the best proposal, is not binding upon the Department and does not obligate the Department to procure or contract for any services. Neither the Department nor any respondent submitting a response will be bound unless and until a written contract mutually accepted by both parties is negotiated as to its terms and conditions and is signed by the Department and a respondent containing such terms and conditions as are negotiated between those parties. The Department reserves the right to waive non-compliance with any requirements of this Request for Qualifications and to reject any or all proposals submitted in responses. Upon review of responses, the Department will determine the respondent(s) proposal that in the sole judgment of the Department is in the best interest of the Department (if any is so determined), with respect to the evaluation criteria stated herein. The Department then intends to conduct negotiations with such respondent(s) to determine if an acceptable contract may be reached.

G. Debriefings

In lieu of Pre-Award and Post-Award debriefings, it shall be the Department’s policy to provide the “Selection Package” at the time of the Selection Announcement (also referred to as the Announcement of Entering into Negotiations). The “Selection Package” will include the scores and comments of phases for all firms who

responded and will typically be provided as a PDF file and e-mailed. Previously, pre-award debriefings only provided the scores and comments of the firm. It shall be the policy of the Department that all debriefings will typically be conducted in writing.

H. Right to Cancel or Change RFQ

GDOT reserves the right to cancel any and all Request for Qualifications where it is determined to be in the best interest of the Department to do so. GDOT reserves the right to increase, reduce, add or delete any item in this solicitation as deemed necessary.

It is the responsibility of all firms interested in submitting Statement of Qualifications (SOQs) for this advertisement to routinely check the posting on the Georgia Procurement Registry for any revisions to this RFQ.

I. Substitutions, Alternates, Exceptions, and Extensions

No substitutions or alternates will be accepted for this solicitation. Any respondent submitting substitutions or alternates will be considered non-responsive and will not be considered for award.

J. GDOT Code of Conduct Pertaining to Conflict of Interest in the Award and Administration of Contracts

Pursuant to GDOT Policy 3A-17, any GDOT employee who leaves the employment of the Department and subsequently becomes employed with a consultant firm and whose duties while employed with the Department included the direct involvement with the negotiation, administration, or management of a contract in which the firm is either the primary consultant or a sub-consultant **SHALL NOT** be authorized to work on that contract as an employee of that firm for a period of one (1) year after their employment ends.

Additionally, on July 1st of each year, any consultant firm that is under contract with the Department as a prime or sub consultant shall provide to the Department's Chief Procurement Officer (CPO) a current list of all former Department employees employed by the firm and a document that certifies the responsibilities of those employees as it relates to the current contracts with the Department. This certification document shall attest to the fact that over the last year no former Department employee that is employed by their firm has worked on a contract between the Department and their firm where that employee, when employed by the Department, had direct involvement with the selection, award and/or administration of the consultant contract. Any consultant firm entering into a contract with the Department for the first time as a prime or sub consultant shall provide the initial required list of former Department employees and certification prior to the contract effective date. If the Department's CPO determines at any point during a contract that an actual conflict exists as it relates to the above paragraph, then the CPO shall have the authority to issue a stop work order on that contract.

EXHIBIT I-1

Project/Contract

1. Project Numbers: N/A

2. PI Number:	3. County:	4. Description:
0015658	Putnam	CR 29/MARTINS MILL ROAD @ LITTLE RIVER 4.5 MI NW OF EATONTON
0016595	Wilkes	CR 197/BIG CEDAR ROAD @ ROCKY CREEK

5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Rural Roadway Design
4.01(a)	Minor Bridge Design
	OR
4.01(b)	Minor Bridge Design - CONDITIONAL

B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope:

The Consultant shall provide concept development, field surveys and database enhancements, development of the environmental document including all required special studies, preliminary construction plans, hydraulic and hydrological studies, preliminary bridge plans, signing and marking plans, final right-of-way plans (including revisions), erosion control plans, utility plans, staging plans and final construction plans (including revisions through project final acceptance). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services. All deliverables shall be in accordance with the Plan Development Process, Electronic Data Guidelines, Plan Presentation Guide, NEPA and the GDOT Environmental Procedures Manual.

The Consultant shall provide:

A. Comprehensive Project Work Plan:

- 1) Consultant Procurement Plan.
- 2) Communications Plan.
- 3) Detailed Schedule.

B. Complete Field Surveys:

- 1) Provide Survey Control Package.
- 2) Provide Inroads Survey Database.
- 3) Staking for Bridge Site Inspection.
- 4) Staking for ROW acquisition.

C. Concept Report:

- 1) Traffic Studies.
- 2) Cost Estimates.
- 3) Construction Cost Estimate.
- 4) Right-of-Way cost estimate (using approved ROW cost estimator).
- 5) Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
- 6) Approved Concept Report.
- 7) Concept Design Data Book.

D. Preliminary Design:

- 1) Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Preliminary Bridge Plans.
 - b) Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Preliminary Erosion, Sedimentation and Pollution Control Plan (ESPCP).
 - d) Preliminary Utility Plans.
 - e) Preliminary Staging Plans.
 - f) Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) Bridge Hydraulic Study.
- 3) Bridge Foundation Investigation (BFI) Report.
- 4) Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.
- 5) Constructability Meeting participation.
- 6) Cost Estimation with annual updates.
- 7) Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
- 8) Location and Design Report.
- 9) Preliminary Field Plan Review (PFPR) participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).

E. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans:

- 1) Prepare ROW plans and coordinate ROW staking.
- 2) Right of Way revisions during acquisition, as needed.

F. Utilities:

- 1) 1st Utility Submittal.
- 2) 2nd Utility Submittal.
- 3) Utility Plans and Utility Relocation Plans, as required.

G. Final Design:

- 1) Complete Final Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Final Bridge Plans (LRFD).
 - b) Final Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Final ESPCP.
 - d) Final Utility Plans.
 - e) Final Staging Plans.
 - f) Final Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.

- 2) FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plan sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
- 3) Corrected FFPR Plans.
- 4) CES Final cost estimate.
- 5) Final PS&E Package.
- 6) Amendments & Revisions.

H. Construction:

- 1) Use on Construction Revisions.
- 2) Review Shop Drawings.

I. Quality Control/Quality Assurance Reviews for all deliverables.

J. Attendance in, and meeting minutes of, monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).

7. Related Key Leaders:

- A. Roadway Design
- B. Bridge Design

8. The following milestone dates are proposed:

A. PI # 0015658:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 21
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q2 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- 3) PFPR – Q4 FY 21
- 4) FFPR – Q2 FY 22
- 5) Let Contract – Q3 FY 22

B. PI # 0016595:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 20
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q4 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- 3) PFPR – Q2 FY 22
- 4) FFPR – Q1 FY 23
- 5) Let Contract – Q1 FY 24

9. Assumptions: Bridge to be replaced, not rehabilitated.

EXHIBIT I-2

Project/Contract

1. Project Numbers: N/A

2. PI Number:	3. County:	4. Description:
0016600	Screven	CR 238/BUCK CREEK ROAD @ SOUTH PRONG BUCK CREEK
0016601	Screven	CR 238/BUCK CREEK ROAD @ BUCK CREEK TRIB

5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Rural Roadway Design
4.01(a)	Minor Bridge Design
	OR
4.01(b)	Minor Bridge Design - CONDITIONAL

B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope:

The Consultant shall provide concept development, field surveys and database enhancements, development of the environmental document including all required special studies, preliminary construction plans, hydraulic and hydrological studies, preliminary bridge plans, signing and marking plans, final right-of-way plans (including revisions), erosion control plans, utility plans, staging plans and final construction plans (including revisions through project final acceptance). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services. All deliverables shall be in accordance with the Plan Development Process, Electronic Data Guidelines, Plan Presentation Guide, NEPA and the GDOT Environmental Procedures Manual.

The Consultant shall provide:

A. Comprehensive Project Work Plan:

- 1) Consultant Procurement Plan.

- 2) Communications Plan.
- 3) Detailed Schedule.

B. Complete Field Surveys:

- 1) Provide Survey Control Package.
- 2) Provide Inroads Survey Database.
- 3) Staking for Bridge Site Inspection.
- 4) Staking for ROW acquisition.

C. Concept Report:

- 1) Traffic Studies.
- 2) Cost Estimates.
- 3) Construction Cost Estimate.
- 4) Right-of-Way cost estimate (using approved ROW cost estimator).
- 5) Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
- 6) Approved Concept Report.
- 7) Concept Design Data Book.

D. Preliminary Design:

- 1) Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Preliminary Bridge Plans.
 - b) Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Preliminary Erosion, Sedimentation and Pollution Control Plan (ESPCP).
 - d) Preliminary Utility Plans.
 - e) Preliminary Staging Plans.
 - f) Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) Bridge Hydraulic Study.
- 3) BFI Report.
- 4) Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.
- 5) Constructability Meeting participation.
- 6) Cost Estimation with annual updates.
- 7) Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
- 8) Location and Design Report.
- 9) PFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).

E. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans:

- 1) Prepare ROW plans and coordinate ROW staking.
- 2) Right of Way revisions during acquisition, as needed.

F. Utilities: Subsurface Utility Engineering.

G. Final Design:

- 1) Complete Final Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Final Bridge Plans (LRFD).
 - b) Final Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Final ESPCP.
 - d) Final Utility Plans.
 - e) Final Staging Plans.
 - f) Final Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.

- 2) FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
- 3) Corrected FFPR Plans.
- 4) CES Final cost estimate.
- 5) Final PS&E Package.
- 6) Amendments & Revisions.

H. Construction:

- 1) Use on Construction Revisions.
- 2) Review Shop Drawings.

I. Quality Control/Quality Assurance Reviews for all deliverables.

J. Attendance in, and meeting minutes of, monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).

7. Related Key Leaders:

- 1) Roadway Design
- 2) Bridge Design

8. The following milestone dates are proposed for PI numbers 0016600 and 0016601:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 21
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q2 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- 3) PFPR – Q4 FY 21
- 4) FFPR – Q2 FY 22
- 5) Let Contract – Q3 FY 22

9. Assumptions: Bridge to be replaced, not rehabilitated.

EXHIBIT I-3

Project/Contract

1. Project Number(s): N/A

2. PI Number:	3. County:	4. Description:
0016564	Wayne	CR 31/OGLETHORPE ROAD @ LITTLE GOOSE CREEK
0016565	Wayne	CR 31/OGLETHORPE ROAD @ LITTLE GOOSE CREEK 9 MI NW OF JESUP
0016604	Bulloch	CR 9/AKINS POND ROAD @ MILL CREEK

5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

- A. The
- Prime Consultant MUST**
- be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Rural Roadway Design
4.01(a)	Minor Bridge Design
	OR
4.01(b)	Minor Bridge Design - CONDITIONAL

- B. The
- Team**
- (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members)
- MUST**
- be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope:

The Consultant shall provide concept development, field surveys and database enhancements, development of the environmental document including all required special studies, preliminary construction plans, hydraulic and hydrological studies, preliminary bridge plans, signing and marking plans, final right-of-way plans (including revisions), erosion control plans, utility plans, staging plans and final construction plans (including revisions through project final acceptance). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services. All deliverables shall be in accordance with the Plan Development Process, Electronic Data Guidelines, Plan Presentation Guide, NEPA and the GDOT Environmental Procedures Manual.

The Consultant shall provide:

- A. Comprehensive Project Work Plan:

- 1) Consultant Procurement Plan.

- 2) Communications Plan.
- 3) Detailed Schedule.

B. Complete Field Surveys:

- 1) Provide Survey Control Package.
- 2) Provide Inroads Survey Database.
- 3) Staking for Bridge Site Inspection.
- 4) Staking for ROW acquisition.

C. Concept Report:

- 1) Traffic Studies.
- 2) Cost Estimates.
- 3) Construction Cost Estimate.
- 4) Right-of-Way cost estimate (using approved ROW cost estimator).
- 5) Initial Concept meeting Preparation and Attendance.
- 6) PAR Activities.
- 7) Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
- 8) Approved Concept Report.
- 9) Concept Design Data Book.

D. Preliminary Design:

- 1) Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Preliminary Bridge Plans.
 - b) Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Preliminary Erosion, Sedimentation and Pollution Control Plan (ESPCP).
 - d) Preliminary Utility Plans.
 - e) Preliminary Staging Plans.
 - f) Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) Bridge Hydraulic Study.
- 3) BFI Report.
- 4) Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.
- 5) Constructability Meeting participation.
- 6) Cost Estimation with annual updates.
- 7) Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
- 8) Location and Design Report.
- 9) PFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).

E. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans:

- 1) Prepare ROW plans and coordinate ROW staking.
- 2) Right of Way revisions during acquisition, as needed.

F. Utilities; Subsurface Utility Engineering.

G. Final Design:

- 1) Complete Final Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Final Bridge Plans (LRFD).
 - b) Final Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Final ESPCP.
 - d) Final Utility Plans.
 - e) Final Staging Plans.
 - f) Final Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.

- 2) FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plan sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
- 3) Corrected FFPR Plans.
- 4) CES Final cost estimate.
- 5) Final PS&E Package.
- 6) Amendments & Revisions.

H. Construction:

- 1) Use on Construction Revisions.
- 2) Review Shop Drawings.

I. Quality Control/Quality Assurance Reviews for all deliverables.

J. Attendance in, and meeting minutes of, monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).

7. Related Key Leaders:

- A. Roadway Design
- B. Bridge Design

8. The following milestone dates are proposed:

A. PI #: 0016564, 0016604:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 21
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q2 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- 3) PFPR – Q4 FY 21
- 4) FFPR – Q2 FY 22
- 5) Let Contract – Q3 FY 22

B. Pi #: 0016565:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 20
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q4 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- 3) PFPR – Q2 FY 22
- 4) FFPR – Q1 FY 23
- 5) Let Contract – Q1 FY 24

9. Assumptions: Bridge to be replaced, not rehabilitated.

EXHIBIT I-4

Project/Contract

1. Project Number(s): N/A

2. PI Number:	3. County:	4. Description:
0016566	Camden	CS 140/OLD STILL ROAD @ CROOKED RIVER
0016568	Charlton	CR 95/GRACE CHAPEL ROAD @ SPANISH CREEK

5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

- A. The
- Prime Consultant**
- MUST**
- be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Rural Roadway Design
3.02	Urban Roadway Design
4.01(a)	Minor Bridge Design
	OR
4.01(b)	Minor Bridge Design - CONDITIONAL

- B. The
- Team**
- (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members)
- MUST**
- be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope:

The Consultant shall provide concept development, field surveys and database enhancements, development of the environmental document including all required special studies, preliminary construction plans, hydraulic and hydrological studies, preliminary bridge plans, utility plans, signing and marking plans, final right-of-way plans (including revisions), erosion control plans, staging plans and final construction plans (including revisions through project final acceptance). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services. All deliverables shall be in accordance with the Plan Development Process, Electronic Data Guidelines, Plan Presentation Guide, NEPA and the GDOT Environmental Procedures Manual.

The Consultant shall provide:

A. Comprehensive Project Work Plan:

- 1) Consultant Procurement Plan.
- 2) Communications Plan.
- 3) Detailed Schedule.

B. Complete Field Surveys:

- 1) Provide Survey Control Package.
- 2) Provide Inroads Survey Database.
- 3) Staking for Bridge Site Inspection.
- 4) Staking for ROW acquisition.

C. Concept Report:

- 1) Traffic Studies.
- 2) Cost Estimates.
- 3) Construction Cost Estimate.
- 4) Right-of-Way cost estimate (using approved ROW cost estimator).
- 5) Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
- 6) Approved Concept Report.
- 7) Concept Design Data Book.

D. Preliminary Design:

- 1) Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Preliminary Bridge Plans.
 - b) Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Preliminary Erosion, Sedimentation and Pollution Control Plan (ESPCP).
 - d) Preliminary Utility Plans.
 - e) Preliminary Staging Plans.
 - f) Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) Bridge Hydraulic Study.
- 3) BFI Report.
- 4) Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.
- 5) Constructability Meeting participation.
- 6) Cost Estimation with annual updates.
- 7) Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
- 8) Location and Design Report.
- 9) PFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).

E. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans:

- 1) Prepare ROW plans and coordinate ROW staking.
- 2) Right of Way revisions during acquisition, as needed.

F. Utilities: Subsurface Utility Engineering.

G. Final Design:

- 1) Complete Final Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Final Bridge Plans (LRFD).
 - b) Final Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Final ESPCP.
 - d) Final Utility Plans.

- e) Final Staging Plans.
- f) Final Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.

- 2) FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
- 3) Corrected FFPR Plans .
- 4) CES Final cost estimateCES Final cost estimate.
- 5) Final PS&E Package.
- 6) Amendments & Revisions.

H. Construction:

- 1) Use on Construction Revisions.
- 2) Review Shop Drawings.

I. Quality Control/Quality Assurance Reviews for all deliverables.

J. Attendance in, and meeting minutes of, monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).

7. Related Key Leaders:

- A. Roadway Design
- B. Bridge Design

8. The following milestone dates are proposed for PI numbers 0016566 and 0016568:

- A. Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 21
- B. Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q2 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- C. PFPR – Q4 FY 21
- D. FFPR – Q2 FY 22
- E. Let Contract – Q3 FY 22

9. Assumptions: Bridge to be replaced, not rehabilitated.

EXHIBIT I-5

Project/Contract

1. Project Number(s): N/A

2. PI Number:	3. County:	4. Description:
0016569	Mitchell	CR 288/WHIGHAM ROAD @ BIG SLOUGH
0016584	Thomas	CR 298/COFFEE ROAD @ AUCILLA RIVER
0016587	Thomas	CR 360/OLD US 84 @ CSX #636964L
0016589	Colquitt	CR 485/TILLMAN ROAD @ INDIAN CREEK
0016590	Colquitt	CR 485/TILLMAN ROAD @ BULL CREEK

5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

- A. The
- Prime Consultant**
- MUST**
- be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Rural Roadway Design
3.02	Urban Roadway Design
4.01(a)	Minor Bridge Design
	OR
4.01(b)	Minor Bridge Design - CONDITIONAL

- B. The
- Team**
- (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members)
- MUST**
- be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope:

The Consultant shall provide concept development, field surveys and database enhancements, development of the environmental document including all required special studies, preliminary construction plans, hydraulic and hydrological studies, preliminary bridge plans, utility plans, signing and marking plans, final right-of-way plans (including revisions), erosion control plans, staging plans and final construction plans (including revisions through project final acceptance). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services. All deliverables shall be in accordance with the Plan Development Process, Electronic Data Guidelines, Plan Presentation Guide, NEPA and the GDOT Environmental Procedures Manual.

The Consultant shall provide:

A. Comprehensive Project Work Plan:

- 1) Consultant Procurement Plan.
- 2) Communications Plan.
- 3) Detailed Schedule.

B. Complete Field Surveys:

- 1) Provide Survey Control Package.
- 2) Provide Inroads Survey Database.
- 3) Staking for Bridge Site Inspection.
- 4) Staking for ROW acquisition.

C. Concept Report:

- 1) Traffic Studies.
- 2) Cost Estimates.
- 3) Construction Cost Estimate.
- 4) Right-of-Way cost estimate (using approved ROW cost estimator).
- 5) Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
- 6) Approved Concept Report.
- 7) Concept Design Data Book.

D. Preliminary Design:

- 1) Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Preliminary Bridge Plans.
 - b) Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Preliminary Erosion, Sedimentation and Pollution Control Plan (ESPCP).
 - d) Preliminary Utility Plans.
 - e) Preliminary Staging Plans.
 - f) Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) Bridge Hydraulic Study.
- 3) BFI Report.
- 4) Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.
- 5) Constructability Meeting participation.
- 6) Cost Estimation with annual updates.
- 7) Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
- 8) Location and Design Report.
- 9) PFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).

E. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans:

- 1) Prepare ROW plans and coordinate ROW staking.
- 2) Right of Way revisions during acquisition, as needed.

F. Utilities (No SUE required):

- 1) 1st Utility Submission.
- 2) 2nd Utility Submission.
- 3) Utility Plans.

G. Final Design:

- 1) Complete Final Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Final Bridge Plans (LRFD).
 - b) Final Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Final ESPCP.
 - d) Final Utility Plans.
 - e) Final Staging Plans.
 - f) Final Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
- 3) Corrected FFPR Plans.
- 4) CES Final cost estimate.
- 5) Final PS&E Package.
- 6) Amendments & Revisions.

H. Construction:

- 1) Use on Construction Revisions.
- 2) Review Shop Drawings.

I. Quality Control/Quality Assurance Reviews for all deliverables.

J. Attendance in, and meeting minutes of, monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).

7. Related Key Leaders:

- A. Roadway Design
- B. Bridge Design

8. The following milestone dates are proposed:

- A. PI #: 0016569, 0016584, 0016587, 0016590
 - 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 21
 - 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q2 FY 21 (about 4 months)
 - 3) PFPR – Q4 FY 21
 - 4) FFPR – Q2 FY 22
 - 5) Let Contract – Q3 FY 22
- B. PI #: 0016589
 - 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 20
 - 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q4 FY 21 (about 4 months)
 - 3) PFPR – Q2 FY 22
 - 4) FFPR – Q1 FY 23
 - 5) Let Contract – Q1 FY 24

9. Assumptions: Bridge to be replaced, not rehabilitated.

EXHIBIT I-6

Project/Contract

1. Project Number(s): N/A

2. PI Number:	3. County:	4. Description:
0015632	Coffee	CR 705/BRIDGETOWN ROAD @ SATILLA RIVER 11 MI W OF
0016571	Crisp	CR 4/STORY ROAD @ N BRANCH SWIFT CREEK TRIB
0016572	Crisp	CR 11/LOWER PATEVILLE ROAD @ SWIFT CREEK TRIB
0016588	Irwin	CR 181/SATILLA ROAD @ WILLACOOCHEE RIVER

5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Rural Roadway Design
4.01(a)	Minor Bridge Design
	OR
4.01(b)	Minor Bridge Design - CONDITIONAL

B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope:

The Consultant shall provide concept development, field surveys and database enhancements, development of the environmental document including all required special studies, preliminary construction plans, hydraulic and hydrological studies, preliminary bridge plans, signing and marking plans, final right-of-way plans (including revisions), erosion control plans, utility plans, staging plans and final construction plans (including revisions through project final acceptance). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services. All deliverables shall be in accordance with the Plan Development Process, Electronic Data Guidelines, Plan Presentation Guide, NEPA and the GDOT Environmental Procedures Manual.

The Consultant shall provide:

A. Comprehensive Project Work Plan:

- 1) Consultant Procurement Plan.
- 2) Communications Plan.
- 3) Detailed Schedule.

B. Complete Field Survey:

- 1) Provide Survey Control Package.
- 2) Provide Inroads Survey Database.
- 3) Staking for Bridge Site Inspection.
- 4) Staking for ROW acquisition.

C. Concept Report:

- 1) Traffic Studies.
- 2) Cost Estimates.
- 3) Construction Cost Estimate.
- 4) Right-of-Way cost estimate (using approved ROW cost estimator).
- 5) Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
- 6) Approved Concept Report.
- 7) Concept Design Data Book.

D. Preliminary Design:

- 1) Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Preliminary Bridge Plans.
 - b) Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Preliminary Erosion, Sedimentation and Pollution Control Plan (ESPCP).
 - d) Preliminary Utility Plans.
 - e) Preliminary Staging Plans.
 - f) Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) Bridge Hydraulic Study.
- 3) BFI Report.
- 4) Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.
- 5) Constructability Meeting participation.
- 6) Cost Estimation with annual updates.
- 7) Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
- 8) Location and Design Report.
- 9) PFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).

E. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans:

- 1) Prepare ROW plans and coordinate ROW staking.
- 2) Right of Way revisions during acquisition, as needed.

F. Utilities (No SUE required):

- 1) 1st Utility Submission.
- 2) 2nd Utility Submission.
- 3) Utility Plans and Utility Relocation Plans, if required.

G. Final Design:

- 1) Complete Final Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Final Bridge Plans (LRFD).
 - b) Final Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Final ESPCP.
 - d) Final Utility Plans.
 - e) Final Staging Plans.
 - f) Final Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
- 3) Corrected FFPR Plans .
- 4) CES Final cost estimate.
- 5) Final PS&E Package.
- 6) Amendments & Revisions.

H. Construction:

- 1) Use on Construction Revisions.
- 2) Review Shop Drawings.

I. Quality Control/Quality Assurance Reviews for all deliverables.

J. Attendance in, and meeting minutes of, monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).

7. Related Key Leaders:

- A. Roadway Design
- B. Bridge Design

8. The following milestone dates are proposed for PI numbers 0015632, 0016571, 0016572, and 0016588:

- A. Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 21
- B. Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q2 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- C. PFPR – Q4 FY 21
- D. FFPR – Q2 FY 22
- E. Let Contract – Q3 FY 22

9. Assumptions: Bridge to be replaced, not rehabilitated.

EXHIBIT I-7

Project/Contract

1. Project Number(s): N/A

2. PI Number:	3. County:	4. Description:
0016570	Macon	CR 281/CEDAR CREEK ROAD @ CEDAR CREEK
0016573	Sumter	CR 147/MURPHYS MILL ROAD @ MURPHYS MILL POND
331900-	Spalding	CR 222/CR 954/COUNTY LINE ROAD @ POTATO CREEK SE OF GRIFFIN

5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

- A. The
- Prime Consultant MUST**
- be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Rural Roadway Design
3.02	Urban Roadway Design
4.01(a)	Minor Bridge Design
	OR
4.01(b)	Minor Bridge Design - CONDITIONAL

- B. The
- Team**
- (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members)
- MUST**
- be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope:

The Consultant shall provide concept development, field surveys and database enhancements, development of the environmental document including all required special studies, preliminary construction plans, hydraulic and hydrological studies, preliminary bridge plans, signing and marking plans, final right-of-way plans (including revisions), erosion control plans, utility plans, staging plans and final construction plans (including revisions through project final acceptance). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services. All deliverables shall be in accordance with the Plan Development Process, Electronic Data Guidelines, Plan Presentation Guide, NEPA and the GDOT Environmental Procedures Manual.

The Consultant shall provide:

A. Comprehensive Project Work Plan:

- 1) Consultant Procurement Plan.
- 2) Communications Plan.
- 3) Detailed Schedule.

B. Complete Field Surveys:

- 1) Provide Survey Control Package.
- 2) Provide Inroads Survey Database.
- 3) Staking for Bridge Site Inspection.
- 4) Staking for ROW acquisition.

C. Concept Report:

- 1) Traffic Studies.
- 2) Cost Estimates.
- 3) Construction Cost Estimate.
- 4) Right-of-Way cost estimate (using approved ROW cost estimator).
- 5) Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
- 6) Approved Concept Report.
- 7) Concept Design Data Book.

D. Preliminary Design:

- 1) Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Preliminary Bridge Plans.
 - b) Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Preliminary Erosion, Sedimentation and Pollution Control Plan (ESPCP).
 - d) Preliminary Utility Plans.
 - e) Preliminary Staging Plans.
 - f) Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) Bridge Hydraulic Study.
- 3) BFI Report.
- 4) Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.
- 5) Constructability Meeting participation.
- 6) Cost Estimation with annual updates.
- 7) Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
- 8) Location and Design Report.
- 9) PFPR participation, report, and responses (all plan sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).

E. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans:

- 1) Prepare ROW plans and coordinate ROW staking.
- 2) Right of Way revisions during acquisition, as needed.

F. Utilities:

- 1) Subsurface Utility Engineering.
- 2) 1st Utility Submission.
- 3) 2nd Utility Submission.
- 4) Utility Plans and Utility Relocation Plans, if required.

G. Final Design:

- 1) Complete Final Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Final Bridge Plans (LRFD).
 - b) Final Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Final ESPCP.
 - d) Final Utility Plans.
 - e) Final Staging Plans.
 - f) Final Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
- 3) Corrected FFPR Plans.
- 4) CES Final cost estimate.
- 5) Final PS&E Package.
- 6) Amendments & Revisions.

H. Construction:

- 1) Use on Construction Revisions.
- 2) Review Shop Drawings.

I. Quality Control/Quality Assurance Reviews for all deliverables.

J. Attendance in, and meeting minutes of, monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).

7. Related Key Leaders:

- A. Roadway Design
- B. Bridge Design

8. The following milestone dates are proposed PI numbers 0016570, 0016573, and 331900-:

- A. Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 21
- B. Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q2 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- C. PFPR – Q4 FY 21
- D. FFPR – Q2 FY 22
- E. Let Contract – Q3 FY 22

9. Assumptions: Bridge to be replaced, not rehabilitated.

EXHIBIT I-8

Project/Contract

1. Project Number(s): N/A

2. PI Number:	3. County:	4. Description:
0016575	Coweta	CR 55/MCINTOSH TRAIL @ KEG CREEK
0016576	Coweta	CR 261/OLD CORINTH ROAD @ SANDY CREEK
0016579	Clayton/Fayette	SR 920 @ FLINT RIVER

5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

- A. The
- Prime Consultant**
- MUST**
- be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Rural Roadway Design
4.01(a)	Minor Bridge Design
	OR
4.01(b)	Minor Bridge Design - CONDITIONAL

- B. The
- Team**
- (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members)
- MUST**
- be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
3.13	Facilities for Bicycles and Pedestrians
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope:

The Consultant shall provide concept development, field surveys and database enhancements, development of the environmental document including all required special studies, preliminary construction plans, hydraulic and hydrological studies, preliminary bridge plans, signing and marking plans, final right-of-way plans (including revisions), erosion control plans, utility plans, staging plans and final construction plans (including revisions through project final acceptance). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services. All deliverables shall be in accordance with the Plan Development Process, Electronic Data Guidelines, Plan Presentation Guide, NEPA and the GDOT Environmental Procedures Manual.

The Consultant shall provide:

A. Comprehensive Project Work Plan:

- 1) Consultant Procurement Plan.
- 2) Communications Plan.
- 3) Detailed Schedule.

B. Complete Field Surveys:

- 1) Provide Survey Control Package.
- 2) Provide Inroads Survey Database.
- 3) Staking for Bridge Site Inspection.
- 4) Staking for ROW acquisition.

C. Concept Report:

- 1) Traffic Studies.
- 2) Cost Estimates.
- 3) Construction Cost Estimate.
- 4) Right-of-Way cost estimate (using approved ROW cost estimator).
- 5) Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
- 6) Approved Concept Report.
- 7) Concept Design Data Book.

D. Preliminary Design:

- 1) Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Preliminary Bridge Plans.
 - b) Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Preliminary Erosion, Sedimentation and Pollution Control Plan (ESPCP).
 - d) Preliminary Utility Plans.
 - e) Preliminary Staging Plans.
 - f) Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) Bridge Hydraulic Study.
- 3) BFI Report.
- 4) Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.
- 5) Constructability Meeting participation.
- 6) Cost Estimation with annual updates.
- 7) Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
- 8) Location and Design Report.
- 9) PFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).

E. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans:

- 1) Prepare ROW plans and coordinate ROW staking.
- 2) Right of Way revisions during acquisition, as needed.

F. Utilities:

- 1) Subsurface Utility Engineering.
- 2) 1st Utility Submission.
- 3) 2nd Utility Submission.

G. Final Design:

- 1) Complete Final Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Final Bridge Plans (LRFD).
 - b) Final Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Final ESPCP.
 - d) Final Utility Plans and Utility Relocation Plans if required.
 - e) Final Staging Plans.
 - f) Final Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering)
- 3) Corrected FFPR Plans.
- 4) CES Final cost estimate.
- 5) Final PS&E Package.
- 6) Amendments & Revisions.

H. Construction:

- 1) Use on Construction Revisions.
- 2) Review Shop Drawings.

I. Quality Control/Quality Assurance Reviews for all deliverables.

J. Attendance in, and meeting minutes of, monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).

7. Related Key Leaders:

- A. Roadway Design
- B. Bridge Design

8. The following milestone dates are proposed:

A. PI #: 0016575:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 21
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q2 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- 3) PFPR – Q4 FY 21
- 4) FFPR – Q2 FY 22
- 5) Let Contract – Q3 FY 22

B. PI #s: 0016576, 0016579:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 20
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q4 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- 3) PFPR – Q2 FY 22
- 4) FFPR – Q1 FY 23
- 5) Let Contract – Q1 FY 24

9. Assumptions: Bridge to be replaced, not rehabilitated.

EXHIBIT I-9

Project/Contract

1. Project Number(s): N/A

2. PI Number:	3. County:	4. Description:
0016577	Carroll	CR 824/W HICKORY LEVEL ROAD @ LITTLE TALLAPOOSA RIVER
0016578	Carroll	CR 824/W HICKORY LEVEL RD @ LITTLE TALLAPOOSA RIVER TRIB
0016596	Bartow	CS 963/GILLIAM SPRING ROAD @ NANCY CREEK
0016609	Polk	CR 173/SCHOOL HOUSE ROAD @ SWINNEY BRANCH TRIB
0016610	Polk	CR 211/EVERETT ROAD @ SIMPSON CREEK

5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

- A. The
- Prime Consultant**
- MUST**
- be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Rural Roadway Design
3.02	Urban Roadway Design
4.01(a)	Minor Bridge Design
	OR
4.01(b)	Minor Bridge Design - CONDITIONAL

- B. The
- Team**
- (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members)
- MUST**
- be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
3.13	Facilities for Bicycles and Pedestrians
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope:

The Consultant shall provide concept development, field surveys and database enhancements, development of the environmental document including all required special studies, preliminary construction plans, hydraulic and hydrological studies, preliminary bridge plans, signing and marking plans, final right-of-way plans (including revisions), erosion control plans, utility plans, staging plans and final construction plans (including revisions through project final acceptance). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services. All deliverables shall be in

accordance with the Plan Development Process, Electronic Data Guidelines, Plan Presentation Guide, NEPA and the GDOT Environmental Procedures Manual.

The Consultant shall provide:

A. Comprehensive Project Work Plan:

- 1) Consultant Procurement Plan.
- 2) Communications Plan.
- 3) Detailed Schedule.

B. Complete Field Surveys:

- 1) Provide Survey Control Package.
- 2) Provide Inroads Survey Database.
- 3) Staking for Bridge Site Inspection.
- 4) Staking for ROW acquisition.

C. Concept Report:

- 1) Traffic Studies.
- 2) Cost Estimates.
- 3) Construction Cost Estimate.
- 4) Right-of-Way cost estimate (using approved ROW cost estimator).
- 5) Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
- 6) Approved Concept Report.
- 7) Concept Design Data Book.

D. Preliminary Design:

- 1) Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Preliminary Bridge Plans.
 - b) Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Preliminary Erosion, Sedimentation and Pollution Control Plan (ESPCP).
 - d) Preliminary Utility Plans.
 - e) Preliminary Staging Plans.
 - f) Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) Bridge Hydraulic Study.
- 3) BFI Report.
- 4) Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.
- 5) Constructability Meeting participation.
- 6) Cost Estimation with annual updates.
- 7) Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
- 8) Location and Design Report.
- 9) PFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).

E. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans:

- 1) Prepare ROW plans and coordinate ROW staking.
- 2) Right of Way revisions during acquisition, as needed.

F. Utilities:

- 1) Subsurface Utility Engineering.
- 2) 1st Utility Submission.
- 3) 2nd Utility Submission.

G. Final Design:

- 1) Complete Final Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Final Bridge Plans (LRFD).
 - b) Final Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Final ESPCP.
 - d) Final Utility Plans and Utility Relocation Plans, if required.
 - e) Final Staging Plans.
 - f) Final Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
- 3) Corrected FFPR Plans.
- 4) CES Final cost estimate.
- 5) Final PS&E Package.
- 6) Amendments & Revisions.

H. Construction:

- 1) Use on Construction Revisions.
- 2) Review Shop Drawings.

I. Quality Control/Quality Assurance Reviews for all deliverables.

J. Attendance in, and meeting minutes of, monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).

7. Related Key Leaders:

- A. Roadway Design
- B. Bridge Design

8. The following milestone dates are proposed:

A. PI #s: 0016577, 0016578, 0016609:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 21
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q2 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- 3) PFPR – Q4 FY 21
- 4) FFPR – Q2 FY 22
- 5) Let Contract – Q3 FY 22

B. PI #s: 0016596, 0016610:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 20
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q4 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- 3) PFPR – Q2 FY 22
- 4) FFPR – Q1 FY 23
- 5) Let Contract – Q1 FY 24

9. Assumptions: Bridge to be replaced, not rehabilitated.

EXHIBIT I-10

Project/Contract

1. Project Number(s): N/A

2. PI Number:	3. County:	4. Description:
0016607	Walker	RED BELT ROAD @ WEST CHICKAMAUGA CREEK
0016608	Walker	CR 434/EUCLID ROAD @ WEST CHICKAMAUGA CREEK
0016611	Floyd	CR 924/BELLS FERRY ROAD @ WOODWARD CREEK

5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Rural Roadway Design
3.02	Urban Roadway Design
4.01(a)	Minor Bridge Design
	OR
4.01(b)	Minor Bridge Design - CONDITIONAL

B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope:

The Consultant shall provide concept development, field surveys and database enhancements, development of the environmental document including all required special studies, preliminary construction plans, hydraulic and hydrological studies, preliminary bridge plans, signing and marking plans, final right-of-way plans (including revisions), erosion control plans, utility plans, staging plans and final construction plans (including revisions through project final acceptance). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services. All deliverables shall be in accordance with the Plan Development Process, Electronic Data Guidelines, Plan Presentation Guide, NEPA and the GDOT Environmental Procedures Manual.

The Consultant shall provide:

A. Comprehensive Project Work Plan:

- 1) Consultant Procurement Plan.
- 2) Communications Plan.
- 3) Detailed Schedule.

B. Complete Field Surveys:

- 1) Provide Survey Control Package.
- 2) Provide Inroads Survey Database.
- 3) Staking for Bridge Site Inspection.
- 4) Staking for ROW acquisition

C. Concept Report:

- 1) Traffic Studies.
- 2) Cost Estimates.
- 3) Construction Cost Estimate.
- 4) Right-of-Way cost estimate (using approved ROW cost estimator).
- 5) Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
- 6) Approved Concept Report.
- 7) Concept Design Data Book.

D. Preliminary Design:

- 1) Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Preliminary Bridge Plans.
 - b) Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Preliminary Erosion, Sedimentation and Pollution Control Plan (ESPCP).
 - d) Preliminary Utility Plans.
 - e) Preliminary Staging Plans.
 - f) Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) Bridge Hydraulic Study.
- 3) BFI Report.
- 4) Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.
- 5) Constructability Meeting participation.
- 6) Cost Estimation with annual updates.
- 7) Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
- 8) Location and Design Report.
- 9) PFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).

E. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans:

- 1) Prepare ROW plans and coordinate ROW staking.
- 2) Right of Way revisions during acquisition, as needed.

F. Utilities:

- 1) Subsurface Utility Engineering.
- 2) 1st Utility Submission.
- 3) 2nd Utility Submission.

G. Final Design:

- 1) Complete Final Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Final Bridge Plans (LRFD).
 - b) Final Signing and Marking Plans.

- c) Final ESPCP.
- d) Final Utility Plans and Utility Relocation Plans, if required.
- e) Final Staging Plans.
- f) Final Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.

- 2) FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
- 3) Corrected FFPR Plans.
- 4) CES Final cost estimate.
- 5) Final PS&E Package.
- 6) Amendments & Revisions.

H. Construction:

- 1) Use on Construction Revisions.
- 2) Review Shop Drawings.

I. Quality Control/Quality Assurance Reviews for all deliverables.

J. Attendance in, and meeting minutes of, monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).

7. Related Key Leaders:

- A. Roadway Design
- B. Bridge Design

8. The following milestone dates are proposed:

A. PI #: 0016611:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 21
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q2 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- 3) PFPR – Q4 FY 21
- 4) FFPR – Q2 FY 22
- 5) Let Contract – Q3 FY 22

B. PI #s: 0016607, 0016608:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 20
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q4 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- 3) PFPR – Q2 FY 22
- 4) FFPR – Q1 FY 23
- 5) Let Contract – Q1 FY 24

9. Assumptions: Bridge to be replaced, not rehabilitated.

EXHIBIT I-11

Project/Contract

1. Project Number(s): N/A

2. PI Number:	3. County:	4. Description:
0016580	Fulton	CS 1323/HOPEWELL ROAD @ CHICKEN CREEK
0016581	Fulton	CS 4/BIRMINGHAM ROAD @ CHICKEN CREEK TRIB
0016582	Fulton	CS 34/FREEMANVILLE ROAD @ COOPER SANDY CREEK
0016599	Fulton	CS 1472/WATERS ROAD @ LONG INDIAN CREEK
0016605	Fulton	CR 581/BETHSAIDA ROAD @ MORNING CREEK
0016606	Clayton	CR 392/UPPER RIVERDALE RD @ FLINT RIVER

5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Rural Roadway Design
3.02	Urban Roadway Design
4.01(a)	Minor Bridge Design
	OR
4.01(b)	Minor Bridge Design - CONDITIONAL

B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
3.13	Facilities for Bicycles and Pedestrians
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope:

The Consultant shall provide concept development, field surveys and database enhancements, development of the environmental document including all required special studies, preliminary construction plans, hydraulic and hydrological studies, preliminary bridge plans, signing and marking plans, final right-of-way plans (including revisions), erosion control plans, utility plans, staging plans and final construction plans (including revisions through project final acceptance). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services. All deliverables shall be in accordance with the Plan Development Process, Electronic Data Guidelines, Plan Presentation Guide, NEPA and the GDOT Environmental Procedures Manual.

The Consultant shall provide:

A. Comprehensive Project Work Plan:

- 1) Consultant Procurement Plan.
- 2) Communications Plan.
- 3) Detailed Schedule.

B. Complete Field Surveys:

- 1) Provide Survey Control Package.
- 2) Provide Inroads Survey Database.
- 3) Staking for Bridge Site Inspection.
- 4) Staking for ROW acquisition.

C. Targeted Stakeholder Group (For PI-0016599 & PI- 0016606 (Tier III Projects) only:

- 1) Establish a Technical Stakeholder Group (TSG) - with GDOT assistance.
- 2) Prepare for, Conduct, and Report on TSG Meetings and coordination.
- 3) Prepare all necessary presentation materials.

D. Concept Report:

- 1) Traffic Studies.
- 2) Cost Estimates.
- 3) Construction Cost Estimate.
- 4) Right-of-Way cost estimate (using approved ROW cost estimator).
- 5) Initial Concept meeting Preparation and Attendance.
- 6) PAR Activities.
- 7) Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
- 8) Approved Concept Report.
- 9) Concept Design Data Book.

E. Preliminary Design:

- 1) Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Preliminary Bridge Plans.
 - b) Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Preliminary Erosion, Sedimentation and Pollution Control Plan (ESPCP).
 - d) Preliminary Utility Plans.
 - e) Preliminary Staging Plans.
 - f) Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) Bridge Hydraulic Study.
- 3) BFI Report.
- 4) Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.
- 5) Constructability Meeting participation.
- 6) Cost Estimation with annual updates.
- 7) Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
- 8) Location and Design Report.
- 9) PFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).

F. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans:

- 1) Prepare ROW plans and coordinate ROW staking.
- 2) Right of Way revisions during acquisition, as needed.

G. Utilities:

- 1) Subsurface Utility Engineering.
- 2) 1st Utility Submission.
- 3) 2nd Utility Submission.

H. Final Design:

- 1) Complete Final Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Final Bridge Plans (LRFD).
 - b) Final Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Final ESPCP.
 - d) Final Utility Plans and Utility Relocation Plans if required.
 - e) Final Staging Plans.
 - f) Final Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
- 3) Corrected FFPR Plans.
- 4) CES Final cost estimate.
- 5) Final PS&E Package.
- 6) Amendments & Revisions.

I. Construction:

- 1) Use on Construction Revisions.
- 2) Review Shop Drawings.

J. Quality Control/Quality Assurance Reviews for all deliverables.

K. Attendance in, and meeting minutes of, monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).

7. Related Key Leaders:

- A. Roadway Design
- C. Bridge Design
- D. NEPA Lead

8. The following milestone dates are proposed:

A. PI #: 0016580, 0016605:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 21
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q2 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- 3) PFPR – Q4 FY 21
- 4) FFPR – Q2 FY 22
- 5) Let Contract – Q3 FY 22

B. PI #: 0016581, 0016582:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 20
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q4 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- 3) PFPR – Q2 FY 22
- 4) FFPR – Q1 FY 23
- 5) Let Contract – Q1 FY 24

C. PI #s: 0016599, 0016606:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 20
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q2 FY 22 (about 4 months)
- 3) PFPR – Q4 FY 22
- 4) FFPR – Q1 FY 24
- 5) Let Contract – Q2 FY 24

9. Assumptions: Bridge to be replaced, not rehabilitated.

**EXHIBIT II
CERTIFICATION FORM**

I, _____, being duly sworn, state that I am _____ (title) of _____

_____ (firm) and hereby duly certify that I have read and understand the information presented in the attached proposal and any enclosure and exhibits thereto.

Initial each box below indicating certification. The person initialing must be the same person who signs the Certification Form. (If unable to initial any box for any reason, place an "X" in the applicable box and attach a statement explaining the non-certification. The Department will review and make a determination as to whether or not the firm shall be considered further or disqualified).

I further certify that to the best of my knowledge the information given in response to the Request for Qualifications is full, complete and truthful.

I further certify that the submitting firm and any principal employee of the submitting firm has not, in the immediately preceding five (5) years, been convicted of any crime of moral turpitude or any felony offense, nor has had their professional license suspended, revoked or been subjected to disciplinary proceedings, nor is any team members/principals currently under indictment for any reason related to actions on public infrastructure projects.

I further certify that I understand that Firms included on the current Federal list of firms suspended or debarred are not eligible for selection and that the submitting firm has not, in the immediately preceding five (5) years, been suspended or debarred from contracting with any federal, state or local government agency, and further, that the submitting firm is not now under consideration for suspension or debarment from any such agency.

I further certify that the submitting firm has not in the immediately preceding five (5) years been defaulted in any federal, state or local government agency contract and further, that the submitting firm is not now under any notice of intent to default on any such contract, nor has been removed from a contract or failed to complete a contract as assigned due to cause or default.

I further certify that the firm or any affiliate(s) has not been involved in any arbitration, litigation, mediation, dispute review board or other dispute resolution proceeding with a client, business partner, or government agency in the last five (5) years involving an amount in excess of \$500,000 related to performance on public infrastructure projects.

I further certify that there are not any pending regulatory inquiries that could impact our ability to provide services if we are the selected consultant.

I further certify that there are no possible conflicts of interest created by our consideration in the selection process or by our involvement in the project.

I further certify that the submitting firm's annual average revenue for the past five (5) years is sufficient to allow the services to be delivered effectively by our firm and that there are no trends in the revenue which may be concerning other than normal market fluctuations.

I further certify that in regards to Audit and Accounting System Requirements, that the submitting firm:

- I. Has an accounting system in place to meet requirements of 48 CFR Part 31 and, in the case of non-profit organizations, OMB Circular A-122.
- II. Has submitted its yearly Certified Public Accountant overhead audit if it currently has an aggregate contract amount exceeding \$250,000.
- III. Has no significant outstanding deficient audit findings from previous contracts with GDOT that have not been resolved.
- IV. Is responsible for being reasonably assured that all sub-consultant(s) presented as a part of the proposed team are similarly in compliance with the above requirements.

I acknowledge, agree and authorize, and certify that the proposer acknowledges, agrees and authorizes, that GDOT may, by means that either deems appropriate, determine the accuracy and truth of the information provided by the proposer and that the GDOT may contact any individual or entity named in the Statement of Qualifications for the purpose of verifying the information supplied therein.

I acknowledge and agree that all of the information contained in the Statement of Qualifications is submitted for the express purpose of inducing the GDOT to award a contract.

A material false statement or omission made in conjunction with this proposal is sufficient cause for suspension or debarment from further contracts, or denial or rescission of any contract entered into based upon this proposal thereby precluding the firm from doing business with, or performing work for, the State of Georgia. In addition, such false statement or omission may subject the person and entity making the proposal to criminal prosecution under the laws of the State of Georgia of the United States, including but not limited to O.C.G.A. §16-10-20, 18 U.S.C. §§1001 or 1341.

Sworn and subscribed before me

This ____ day of _____, 20__.

Signature

NOTARY PUBLIC

My Commission Expires: _____

NOTARY SEAL

EXHIBIT III

GEORGIA SECURITY AND IMMIGRATION COMPLIANCE ACT AFFIDAVIT

Consultant's Name:	
Address:	
Solicitation No./Contract No.:	RFQ-484-040220
Solicitation/Contract Name:	Bridge Bundle #1 – 2020 Engineering Design Services

CONSULTANT AFFIDAVIT

By executing this affidavit, the undersigned Consultant verifies its compliance with O.C.G.A. § 13-10-91, stating affirmatively that the individual, entity or corporation which is engaged in the physical performance of services on behalf of the Georgia Department of Transportation has registered with, is authorized to use and uses the federal work authorization program commonly known as E-Verify, or any subsequent replacement program, in accordance with the applicable provisions and deadlines established in O.C.G.A. § 13-10-91.

Furthermore, the undersigned Consultant will continue to use the federal work authorization program throughout the contract period and the undersigned Consultant will contract for the physical performance of services in satisfaction of such contract only with sub-consultants who present an affidavit to the Consultant with the information required by O.C.G.A. § 13-10-91(b). Consultant hereby attests that its federal work authorization user identification number and date of authorization are as follows:

Federal Work Authorization User Identification Number
(EEV/E-Verify Company Identification Number)

Date of Authorization

Name of Consultant

I hereby declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct

Printed Name (of Authorized Officer or Agent of Consultant)

Title (of Authorized Officer or Agent of Consultant)

Signature (of Authorized Officer or Agent)

Date Signed

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN BEFORE ME ON THIS THE

____ DAY OF _____, 201__

Notary Public

[NOTARY SEAL]

My Commission Expires: _____

**EXHIBIT IV
Area Class Summary Example**

Respondents should complete a table similar to the below and indicate by placing an “X” in the appropriate column indicating the firm which meets each required area class for each specific project with particular emphasis on the area classes which the Prime must hold as well as the sub-consultants. The below table is a full listing of all area classes. Since no single advertisement would require every area class, Respondents should delete all the area classes which are not applicable to the project they are pursuing and only include the ones applicable. Particular attention should be paid to the date that consultants certificate expires.

Area Class #	Area Class Description	Prime Consultant Name	Sub-Consultant #1 Name	Sub-Consultant #2 Name	Sub-Consultant #3 Name	Sub-Consultant #4 Name	Sub-Consultant #5 Name	Sub-Consultant #6 Name
	DBE – Yes/No ->							
	Prequalification Expiration Date							
1.01	Statewide Systems Planning							
1.02	Urban Area and Regional Transportation Planning							
1.03	Aviation Systems Planning							
1.04	Mass and Rapid Transportation Planning							
1.05	Alternate Systems Planning							
1.06(a)	NEPA							
1.06(b)	History							
1.06(c)	Air Quality							
1.06(d)	Noise							
1.06(e)	Ecology							
1.06(f)	Archaeology							
1.06(g)	Freshwater Aquatic Surveys							
1.06(h)	Bat Surveys							
1.07	Attitude, Opinion, and Community Value Studies (Public Involvement)							
1.08	Airport Master Planning (AMP)							
1.09	Location Studies							
1.10	Traffic Analysis							
1.11	Traffic and Toll Revenue Studies							
1.12	Major Investment Studies							
1.13	Non-Motorized transportation Planning							
2.01	Mass Transit Program (Systems Management)							
2.02	Mass Transit Feasibility and Technical Studies							
2.03	Mass Transit Vehicle and Propulsion System							
2.04	Mass Transit Controls, Communication and Information Systems							
2.05	Mass Transit Architectural Engineering							
2.06	Mass Transit Unique Structures							
2.07	Mass Transit Electrical and Mechanical System							
2.08	Mass Transit Operations Management and Support Services							
2.09	Airport Design (AD)							
2.10	Mass Transit Program (Systems Marketing)							
3.01	Two-Lane or Multi-lane Rural Roadway Design							
3.02	Two-Lane or Multi-lane urban Roadway Design							
3.03	Multi-Lane Urban Roadway Widening and Reconstruction							
3.04	Multi-lane Rural Interstate Limited Access Design							
3.05	Multi-lane Urban Interstate Limited Access Design							
3.06	Traffic Operations Studies							
3.07	Traffic Operations Design							
3.08	Landscape Architecture Design							

3.09	Traffic Control Systems Analysis, Design and Implementation							
3.10	Utility Coordination							
3.11	Architecture							
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)							
3.13	Facilities for Bicycles and Pedestrians							
3.14	Historic Rehabilitation							
3.15	Highway and Outdoor Lighting							
3.16	Value Engineering (VE)							
3.17	Toll Facilities Infrastructure Design							
4.01	Minor Bridge Design							
4.02	Major Bridge Design							
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)							
4.05	Bridge Inspection							
5.01	Land Surveying							
5.02	Engineering Surveying							
5.03	Geodetic Surveying							
5.04	Aerial Photography							
5.05	Photogrammetry							
5.06	Topographic Remote Sensing							
5.07	Cartography							
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)							
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies							
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies							
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies							
6.03	Hydraulic and Hydrologic Studies (Soils & Foundation)							
6.04(a)	Laboratory Testing of Roadway Construction Materials							
6.04(b)	Field Testing of Roadway Construction Materials							
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies							
8.01	Construction Engineering and Supervision							
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan							
9.02	Rainfall and Runoff Reporting							
9.03	Field Inspection for Erosion Control							

ATTACHMENT 1

Submittal Formats for GDOT Bridge Bundle #1 – 2020 Engineering Design Services

of Pages Allowed

Cover Page	->	1
A. Contract Consideration Checklist	->	1
B. Administrative Requirements		
1. Basic Company Information		
a. Company name		
b. Company Headquarter Address		
c. Contact Information		
d. Company Website		
e. Georgia Addresses		
f. Staff		
g. Ownership		
		Excluded
2. Notarized Certification Form (Exhibit II) for Prime only	->	1
3. Notarized Georgia Security and Immigration Compliance Act Affidavit (Exhibit III)	->	1
4. Signed Cover Page only of any Addenda Issued	->	1 (each addenda)
C. Experience and Qualifications		
1. Project Manager		
a. Education		
b. Registration		
c. Relevant engineering experience		
d. Relevant project management experience		
e. Relevant experience using GDOT specific processes, etc.		
		2
2. Key Team Leader Experience		
a. Education		
b. Registration		
c. Relevant experience in applicable resource area		
d. Relevant experience using GDOT specific processes, etc.		
		1 (each)
3. Prime's Experience		
a. Client name, project location, and dates		
b. Description of overall project and services performed		
c. Duration of project services provided		
d. Experience using GDOT specific processes, etc.		
e. Clients current contact information		
f. Involvement of Key Team Leaders		
		2
4. Area Class Table and Notice of Professional Consultant Qualifications for Prime and Sub-Consultants	->	Excluded
D. Resources/Workload Capacity		
1. Overall Resources		
a. Organization chart	->	Excluded
b. Primary office to handle project and staff description of office and benefits of office		
c. Narrative on Additional Resource Areas and Ability		
		1
2. Project Manager Commitment Table	->	Excluded
3. Key Team Leaders Project commitment table	->	Excluded

**Project Consideration Checklist –
RFQ-484-040220
Bridge Bundle #1 - 2020 Engineering Design Services**

This form must be completed and included in the Statement of Qualifications as the last page with applicable boxes checked.
This form **will NOT** be counted in the maximum number of pages.

ALL The submitted team meets the prequalification requirements for all projects and would like to be considered on all projects.

OR

The submitted team meets the prequalification requirements and would like to be considered on the following checked contracts.

Contract #	PI/Project #	County	Project Description
1	0015658	Putnam	CR 29/MARTINS MILL ROAD @ LITTLE RIVER 4.5 MI NW OF EATONTON
	0016595	Wilkes	CR 197/BIG CEDAR ROAD @ ROCKY CREEK
2	0016600	Screven	CR 238/BUCK CREEK ROAD @ SOUTH PRONG BUCK CREEK
	0016601	Screven	CR 238/BUCK CREEK ROAD @ BUCK CREEK TRIB
3	0016564	Wayne	CR 31/OGLETHORPE ROAD @ LITTLE GOOSE CREEK
	0016565	Wayne	CR 31/OGLETHORPE ROAD @ LITTLE GOOSE CREEK 9 MI NW OF JESUP
	0016604	Bulloch	CR 9/AKINS POND ROAD @ MILL CREEK
4	0016566	Camden	CS 140/OLD STILL ROAD @ CROOKED RIVER
	0016568	Charlton	CR 95/GRACE CHAPEL ROAD @ SPANISH CREEK
5	0016569	Mitchell	CR 288/WHIGHAM ROAD @ BIG SLOUGH
	0016584	Thomas	CR 298/COFFEE ROAD @ AUCILLA RIVER
	0016587	Thomas	CR 360/OLD US 84 @ CSX #636964L
	0016589	Colquitt	CR 485/TILLMAN ROAD @ INDIAN CREEK
	0016590	Colquitt	CR 485/TILLMAN ROAD @ BULL CREEK
6	0015632	Coffee	CR 705/BRIDGETOWN ROAD @ SATILLA RIVER 11 MI W OF DOUGLAS
	0016571	Crisp	CR 4/STORY ROAD @ N BRANCH SWIFT CREEK TRIB
	0016572	Crisp	CR 11/LOWER PATEVILLE ROAD @ SWIFT CREEK TRIB
	0016588	Irwin	CR 181/SATILLA ROAD @ WILLACOOCHIEE RIVER OVERFLOW
7	0016570	Macon	CR 281/CEDAR CREEK ROAD @ CEDAR CREEK
	0016573	Sumter	CR 147/MURPHYS MILL ROAD @ MURPHYS MILL POND
	331900-	Spalding	CR 222/CR 954/COUNTY LINE ROAD @ POTATO CREEK SE OF GRIFFIN
8	0016575	Coweta	CR 55/MCINTOSH TRAIL @ KEG CREEK
	0016576	Coweta	CR 261/OLD CORINTH ROAD @ SANDY CREEK
	0016579	Clayton/Fayette	SR 920 @ FLINT RIVER
9	0016577	Carroll	CR 824/W HICKORY LEVEL ROAD @ LITTLE TALLAPOOSA RIVER
	0016578	Carroll	CR 824/W HICKORY LEVEL RD @ LITTLE TALLAPOOSA RIVER TRIB
	0016596	Bartow	CS 963/GILLIAM SPRING ROAD @ NANCY CREEK
	0016609	Polk	CR 173/SCHOOL HOUSE ROAD @ SWINNEY BRANCH TRIB
	0016610	Polk	CR 211/EVERETT ROAD @ SIMPSON CREEK

10	0016607	Walker	RED BELT ROAD @ WEST CHICKAMAUGA CREEK
	0016608	Walker	CR 434/EUCLID ROAD @ WEST CHICKAMAUGA CREEK
	0016611	Floyd	CR 924/BELLS FERRY ROAD @ WOODWARD CREEK
11	0016580	Fulton	CS 1323/HOPEWELL ROAD @ CHICKEN CREEK
	0016581	Fulton	CS 4/BIRMINGHAM ROAD @ CHICKEN CREEK TRIB
	0016582	Fulton	CS 34/FREEMANVILLE ROAD @ COOPER SANDY CREEK
	0016599	Fulton	CS 1472/WATERS ROAD @ LONG INDIAN CREEK
	0016605	Fulton	CR 581/BETHSAIDA ROAD @ MORNING CREEK
	0016606	Clayton	CR 392/UPPER RIVERDALE RD @ FLINT RIVER

ADDENDUM NO. 1

ISSUE DATE: 3/9/2020

This Addendum shall become and form a part of the RFQ for:

RFQ-484-040220 – Bridge Bundle #1 – 2020 Engineering Design Services

NOTE: PLEASE REVIEW CAREFULLY! THERE MAYBE CHANGES TO THE INFORMATION TO BE PROVIDED. FAILURE TO ADHERE TO ANY CHANGES ADDRESSED IN THIS ADDENDUM MAY RESULT IN DISQUALIFICATION.

In the event of a conflict between previously released information and the information contained herein, the latter shall control.

NOTE: A signed acknowledgment of this addendum (this page) MUST be attached to your SUBMITTAL for Phase I.

Firm Name _____

Signature _____ Date _____

Typed Name and Title _____

Georgia Department of Transportation (GDOT)
Office of Transportation Services Procurement
One Georgia Center
600 West Peachtree Street, NW
19th Floor
Atlanta, Georgia 30308

This Addendum, including all questions and answers, shall become and form a part of the original RFQ package and shall be taken into account when preparing your proposal.

I. The purpose of this addendum is to provide the answers to the written questions received during the question and answer period of the RFQ Phase as follows:

	Questions	Answers
1.	After reviewing the RFQ-484-040220, we have a question regarding Key Team Lead for Contract #11. Contract #11 indicates a KTL is required for NEPA Lead; however, the work classes don't support this environmental requirement. Please clarify if the NEPA KTL is required for Contract #11.	See revised Exhibit I-11 below.
2.	Regarding the Project Consideration Checklist, the form has instructions to include it as the last page; however the instructions say to include it in Section A (the first page). Just to clarify, should the checklist be the first page or the last page of our submittals.	See revised Project Consideration Checklist below.
3.	The top of page 55 says to include the "Project Consideration Checklist" as the last page of the submittal. However, page 6 says to include it in Section A – Contract Consideration Checklist. Where should this checklist be placed in our response?	See revised Project Consideration Checklist below.

II. RFQ Exhibit I-11 is DELETED in its entirety and REPLACED WITH the revised, attached Exhibit I -11:

EXHIBIT I-11

Project/Contract

1. Project Number(s): N/A

2. PI Number:	3. County:	4. Description:
0016580	Fulton	CS 1323/HOPEWELL ROAD @ CHICKEN CREEK
0016581	Fulton	CS 4/BIRMINGHAM ROAD @ CHICKEN CREEK TRIB
0016582	Fulton	CS 34/FREEMANVILLE ROAD @ COOPER SANDY CREEK
0016599	Fulton	CS 1472/WATERS ROAD @ LONG INDIAN CREEK
0016605	Fulton	CR 581/BETHSAIDA ROAD @ MORNING CREEK
0016606	Clayton	CR 392/UPPER RIVERDALE RD @ FLINT RIVER

5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Rural Roadway Design
3.02	Urban Roadway Design
4.01(a)	Minor Bridge Design
	OR
4.01(b)	Minor Bridge Design - CONDITIONAL

B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
3.13	Facilities for Bicycles and Pedestrians
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope:

The Consultant shall provide concept development, field surveys and database enhancements, development of the environmental document including all required special studies, preliminary construction plans, hydraulic and hydrological studies, preliminary bridge plans, signing and marking plans, final right-of-way plans (including revisions), erosion control plans, utility plans, staging plans and final construction plans (including revisions through project final acceptance). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services. All deliverables shall be in accordance with the Plan Development Process, Electronic Data Guidelines, Plan Presentation Guide, NEPA and the GDOT Environmental Procedures Manual.

The Consultant shall provide:

A. Comprehensive Project Work Plan:

- 1) Consultant Procurement Plan.
- 2) Communications Plan.
- 3) Detailed Schedule.

B. Complete Field Surveys:

- 1) Provide Survey Control Package.
- 2) Provide Inroads Survey Database.
- 3) Staking for Bridge Site Inspection.
- 4) Staking for ROW acquisition.

C. Targeted Stakeholder Group (For PI-0016599 & PI- 0016606 (Tier III Projects) only:

- 1) Establish a Technical Stakeholder Group (TSG) - with GDOT assistance.
- 2) Prepare for, Conduct, and Report on TSG Meetings and coordination.
- 3) Prepare all necessary presentation materials.

D. Concept Report:

- 1) Traffic Studies.
- 2) Cost Estimates.
- 3) Construction Cost Estimate.
- 4) Right-of-Way cost estimate (using approved ROW cost estimator).
- 5) Initial Concept meeting Preparation and Attendance.
- 6) PAR Activities.
- 7) Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
- 8) Approved Concept Report.
- 9) Concept Design Data Book.

E. Preliminary Design:

- 1) Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Preliminary Bridge Plans.
 - b) Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Preliminary Erosion, Sedimentation and Pollution Control Plan (ESPCP).
 - d) Preliminary Utility Plans.
 - e) Preliminary Staging Plans.
 - f) Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) Bridge Hydraulic Study.
- 3) BFI Report.
- 4) Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.

- 5) Constructability Meeting participation.
- 6) Cost Estimation with annual updates.
- 7) Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
- 8) Location and Design Report.
- 9) FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).

F. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans:

- 1) Prepare ROW plans and coordinate ROW staking.
- 2) Right of Way revisions during acquisition, as needed.

G. Utilities:

- 1) Subsurface Utility Engineering.
- 2) 1st Utility Submission.
- 3) 2nd Utility Submission.

H. Final Design:

- 1) Complete Final Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Final Bridge Plans (LRFD).
 - b) Final Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Final ESPCP.
 - d) Final Utility Plans and Utility Relocation Plans if required.
 - e) Final Staging Plans.
 - f) Final Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
- 3) Corrected FFPR Plans.
- 4) CES Final cost estimate.
- 5) Final PS&E Package.
- 6) Amendments & Revisions.

I. Construction:

- 1) Use on Construction Revisions.
- 2) Review Shop Drawings.

J. Quality Control/Quality Assurance Reviews for all deliverables.

K. Attendance in, and meeting minutes of, monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).

7. Related Key Leaders:

- A. Roadway Design
- B. Bridge Design

8. The following milestone dates are proposed:

A. PI #: 0016580, 0016605:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 21
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q2 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- 3) FFPR – Q4 FY 21

- 4) FFPR – Q2 FY 22
- 5) Let Contract – Q3 FY 22

B. PI #s: 0016581, 0016582:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 20
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q4 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- 3) PFPR – Q2 FY 22
- 4) FFPR – Q1 FY 23
- 5) Let Contract – Q1 FY 24

C. PI #s: 0016599, 0016606:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 20
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q2 FY 22 (about 4 months)
- 3) PFPR – Q4 FY 22
- 4) FFPR – Q1 FY 24
- 5) Let Contract – Q2 FY 24

9. Assumptions: Bridge to be replaced, not rehabilitated.

III. RFQ Project Consideration Checklist is DELETED in its entirety and REPLACED WITH the revised, attached Project Consideration Checklist.

**Project Consideration Checklist –
 RFQ-484-040220
 Bridge Bundle #1 - 2020 Engineering Design Services**

This form must be completed and included in the Statement of Qualification(s) in Section VI. A with applicable boxes checked.
 This form **will NOT** be counted in the maximum number of pages.

ALL The submitted team meets the prequalification requirements for all projects and would like to be considered on all projects.

OR

The submitted team meets the prequalification requirements and would like to be considered on the following checked contracts.

Contract #	PI #	County	Project Description
1	0015658	Putnam	CR 29/MARTINS MILL ROAD @ LITTLE RIVER 4.5 MI NW OF EATONTON
	0016595	Wilkes	CR 197/BIG CEDAR ROAD @ ROCKY CREEK
2	0016600	Screven	CR 238/BUCK CREEK ROAD @ SOUTH PRONG BUCK CREEK
	0016601	Screven	CR 238/BUCK CREEK ROAD @ BUCK CREEK TRIB
3	0016564	Wayne	CR 31/OGLETHORPE ROAD @ LITTLE GOOSE CREEK
	0016565	Wayne	CR 31/OGLETHORPE ROAD @ LITTLE GOOSE CREEK 9 MI NW OF JESUP
	0016604	Bulloch	CR 9/AKINS POND ROAD @ MILL CREEK
4	0016566	Camden	CS 140/OLD STILL ROAD @ CROOKED RIVER
	0016568	Charlton	CR 95/GRACE CHAPEL ROAD @ SPANISH CREEK
5	0016569	Mitchell	CR 288/WHIGHAM ROAD @ BIG SLOUGH
	0016584	Thomas	CR 298/COFFEE ROAD @ AUCILLA RIVER
	0016587	Thomas	CR 360/OLD US 84 @ CSX #636964L
	0016589	Colquitt	CR 485/TILLMAN ROAD @ INDIAN CREEK
	0016590	Colquitt	CR 485/TILLMAN ROAD @ BULL CREEK
6	0015632	Coffee	CR 705/BRIDGETOWN ROAD @ SATILLA RIVER 11 MI W OF DOUGLAS
	0016571	Crisp	CR 4/STORY ROAD @ N BRANCH SWIFT CREEK TRIB
	0016572	Crisp	CR 11/LOWER PATEVILLE ROAD @ SWIFT CREEK TRIB
	0016588	Irwin	CR 181/SATILLA ROAD @ WILLACOOCHEE RIVER OVERFLOW
7	0016570	Macon	CR 281/CEDAR CREEK ROAD @ CEDAR CREEK
	0016573	Sumter	CR 147/MURPHYS MILL ROAD @ MURPHYS MILL POND
	331900-	Spalding	CR 222/CR 954/COUNTY LINE ROAD @ POTATO CREEK SE OF GRIFFIN
8	0016575	Coweta	CR 55/MCINTOSH TRAIL @ KEG CREEK
	0016576	Coweta	CR 261/OLD CORINTH ROAD @ SANDY CREEK
	0016579	Clayton/Fayette	SR 920 @ FLINT RIVER
9	0016577	Carroll	CR 824/W HICKORY LEVEL ROAD @ LITTLE TALLAPOOSA RIVER
	0016578	Carroll	CR 824/W HICKORY LEVEL RD @ LITTLE TALLAPOOSA RIVER TRIB

		0016596	Bartow	CS 963/GILLIAM SPRING ROAD @ NANCY CREEK
		0016609	Polk	CR 173/SCHOOL HOUSE ROAD @ SWINNEY BRANCH TRIB
		0016610	Polk	CR 211/EVERETT ROAD @ SIMPSON CREEK
	10	0016607	Walker	RED BELT ROAD @ WEST CHICKAMAUGA CREEK
		0016608	Walker	CR 434/EUCLID ROAD @ WEST CHICKAMAUGA CREEK
		0016611	Floyd	CR 924/BELLS FERRY ROAD @ WOODWARD CREEK
	11	0016580	Fulton	CS 1323/HOPEWELL ROAD @ CHICKEN CREEK
		0016581	Fulton	CS 4/BIRMINGHAM ROAD @ CHICKEN CREEK TRIB
		0016582	Fulton	CS 34/FREEMANVILLE ROAD @ COOPER SANDY CREEK
		0016599	Fulton	CS 1472/WATERS ROAD @ LONG INDIAN CREEK
		0016605	Fulton	CR 581/BETHSAIDA ROAD @ MORNING CREEK
		0016606	Clayton	CR 392/UPPER RIVERDALE RD @ FLINT RIVER

ADDENDUM NO. 2

ISSUE DATE: 3/20/2020

This Addendum shall become and form a part of the RFQ for:

RFQ-484-040220 – Bridge Bundle #1 – 2020 Engineering Design Services

NOTE: PLEASE REVIEW CAREFULLY! THERE MAYBE CHANGES TO THE INFORMATION TO BE PROVIDED. FAILURE TO ADHERE TO ANY CHANGES ADDRESSED IN THIS ADDENDUM MAY RESULT IN DISQUALIFICATION.

In the event of a conflict between previously released information and the information contained herein, the latter shall control.

NOTE: A signed acknowledgment of this addendum (this page) MUST be attached to your SUBMITTAL for Phase I.

Firm Name _____

Signature _____ Date _____

Typed Name and Title _____

Georgia Department of Transportation (GDOT)
Office of Transportation Services Procurement
One Georgia Center
600 West Peachtree Street, NW
19th Floor
Atlanta, Georgia 30308

This Addendum, including all questions and answers, shall become and form a part of the original RFQ package and shall be taken into account when preparing your proposal.

I. The purpose of this addendum is to provide the answers to the written questions received during the question and answer period of the RFQ Phase as follows:

	Questions	Answers
1.	For RFQ-484-040220 Bridge Bundle #1, traffic studies are included in the scope of work for all contracts, but no traffic prequalification categories are required for the consultant team. Do the traffic prequalification categories need to be added to the contracts?	No, the scope is intended for the data collection portion of the traffic study.
2.	As all the projects are on County roads, City streets or Temporary SR, would the Department consider allowing the 4.01 area classes to be a team requirement instead of a Prime requirement?	No.
3.	Because these are bridges located on local roads, can the 4.01 prime requirement be omitted to allow for that area class to be a team requirement?	No.
4.	On Contract #9, can you confirm 0016596 should be CS 963/Sugar Valley Road @ Nancy Creek, instead of CS 963/Gilliam Spring Road @ Nancy Creek?	See revised Exhibit I-9 below.

5.	We were hoping to get some clarification on the number of requested SOQs. On page 2 of the RFQ the instructions imply that a separate submittal should be prepared for each contract. Contradictory to this statement, on page 55 the Project Consideration Checklist has an option for "All Projects", which seems to indicate that one SOQ can be submitted for all 11 contracts with this box checked. Assuming that we would like to submit on all 11, please clarify if this would require 1 submittal and the "all projects" box checked, or 11 separate submittals with corresponding forms.	Submit 11 separate submittals (1 for each project/contract) and include the same Project Consideration Checklist with box checked for All Projects.
6.	Environmental work is described in the Scope section of each Project Exhibit, but is not included as a deliverable or listed in the prequalified area classes. Are Environmental special studies and NEPA/GEPA documents part of the scope for these projects?	See revised Exhibits I-1 thru I-11 below.
7.	Contract 11 – NEPA Lead is listed as a Key Team Lead for this contract, but there are no listed environmental deliverables or required area classes. What is the environmental scope for this contract?	See revised Exhibit I-11 below.
8.	The instructions on page 9 and 54 of the RFQ are somewhat conflicting. Would you please confirm, are the Project Manager Commitment Table and Key Team Leader Project Commitment Table excluded from the page count, and not included in the page count with the Primary Office and Narrative on Resource Areas and Ability?	The Project Manager and Key Team Leader Commitment Tables are excluded from page count and not included in page count with the Primary Office and Narrative on Resource Areas and Ability.
9.	Given the current circumstances of COVID-19, are you planning to extend the subject proposals due?	No, the bid due date will not be extended.
10.	Due to the disruptions caused by COVID-19, will GDOT consider extending the deadline for RFQ 484-040220 Bridge Bundle #1 2020?	No.
11.	Will GDOT push back the RFP submittal date due to the time impacts currently being experienced from COVID-19 pandemic?	No.
12.	Will GDOT make available the most current bridge maintenance reports for all bridges identified in this RFP?	No.
13.	Does each person listed in the organization chart need to be prequalified in the area class their name is placed under? e.g Tom Jones(support personnel) -2.06a	RFQ states in all Exhibits under Section 5.B: "The Team (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) MUST be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed."
14.	Does the designated Project Manager for each of the contracts need to be a registered GA Professional Engineer to qualify as a Project Manager?	No.

15.	I am reaching out to you regarding RFQ-484-040220 and would like to kindly request permission for a firm to use the GDOT logo in our submittals. Please let me know if we have permission to do so for this RFQ.	No.
-----	--	-----

II. RFQ Exhibits I-1 thru I-11 are DELETED in their entirety and REPLACED WITH the revised, attached Exhibits I-1 thru I-11:

EXHIBIT I-1

Project/Contract

1. Project Numbers: N/A

2. PI Number:	3. County:	4. Description:
0015658	Putnam	CR 29/MARTINS MILL ROAD @ LITTLE RIVER 4.5 MI NW OF EATONTON
0016595	Wilkes	CR 197/BIG CEDAR ROAD @ ROCKY CREEK

5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Rural Roadway Design
4.01(a)	Minor Bridge Design
	OR
4.01(b)	Minor Bridge Design - CONDITIONAL

B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope:

The Consultant shall provide concept development, field surveys and database enhancements, preliminary construction plans, hydraulic and hydrological studies, preliminary bridge plans, signing and marking plans, final right-of-way plans (including revisions), erosion control plans, utility plans, staging plans and final construction plans

(including revisions through project final acceptance). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services. All deliverables shall be in accordance with the Plan Development Process, Electronic Data Guidelines, and the Plan Presentation Guide.

The Consultant shall provide:

A. Comprehensive Project Work Plan:

- 1) Consultant Procurement Plan.
- 2) Communications Plan.
- 3) Detailed Schedule.

B. Complete Field Surveys:

- 1) Provide Survey Control Package.
- 2) Provide Inroads Survey Database.
- 3) Staking for Bridge Site Inspection.
- 4) Staking for ROW acquisition.

C. Concept Report:

- 1) Traffic Studies.
- 2) Cost Estimates.
- 3) Construction Cost Estimate.
- 4) Right-of-Way cost estimate (using approved ROW cost estimator).
- 5) Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
- 6) Approved Concept Report.
- 7) Concept Design Data Book.

D. Preliminary Design:

- 1) Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Preliminary Bridge Plans.
 - b) Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Preliminary Erosion, Sedimentation and Pollution Control Plan (ESPCCP).
 - d) Preliminary Utility Plans.
 - e) Preliminary Staging Plans.
 - f) Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) Bridge Hydraulic Study.
- 3) Bridge Foundation Investigation (BFI) Report.
- 4) Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.
- 5) Constructability Meeting participation.
- 6) Cost Estimation with annual updates.
- 7) Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
- 8) Location and Design Report.
- 9) Preliminary Field Plan Review (PFPR) participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).

E. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans:

- 1) Prepare ROW plans and coordinate ROW staking.
- 2) Right of Way revisions during acquisition, as needed.

F. Utilities:

- 1) 1st Utility Submittal.
- 2) 2nd Utility Submittal.

3) Utility Plans and Utility Relocation Plans, as required.

G. Final Design:

1) Complete Final Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:

- a) Final Bridge Plans (LRFD).
- b) Final Signing and Marking Plans.
- c) Final ESPCP.
- d) Final Utility Plans.
- e) Final Staging Plans.
- f) Final Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.

2) FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plan sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).

- 3) Corrected FFPR Plans.
- 4) CES Final cost estimate.
- 5) Final PS&E Package.
- 6) Amendments & Revisions.

H. Construction:

- 1) Use on Construction Revisions.
- 2) Review Shop Drawings.

I. Quality Control/Quality Assurance Reviews for all deliverables.

J. Attendance in, and meeting minutes of, monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).

7. Related Key Leaders:

- A. Roadway Design
- B. Bridge Design

8. The following milestone dates are proposed:

A. PI # 0015658:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 21
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q2 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- 3) PFPR – Q4 FY 21
- 4) FFPR – Q2 FY 22
- 5) Let Contract – Q3 FY 22

B. PI # 0016595:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 20
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q4 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- 3) PFPR – Q2 FY 22
- 4) FFPR – Q1 FY 23
- 5) Let Contract – Q1 FY 24

9. Assumptions: Bridge to be replaced, not rehabilitated.

EXHIBIT I-2

Project/Contract

1. Project Numbers: N/A

2. PI Number:	3. County:	4. Description:
0016600	Screven	CR 238/BUCK CREEK ROAD @ SOUTH PRONG BUCK CREEK
0016601	Screven	CR 238/BUCK CREEK ROAD @ BUCK CREEK TRIB

5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Rural Roadway Design
4.01(a)	Minor Bridge Design
	OR
4.01(b)	Minor Bridge Design - CONDITIONAL

B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope:

The Consultant shall provide concept development, field surveys and database enhancements, preliminary construction plans, hydraulic and hydrological studies, preliminary bridge plans, signing and marking plans, final right-of-way plans (including revisions), erosion control plans, utility plans, staging plans and final construction plans (including revisions through project final acceptance). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services. All deliverables shall be in accordance with the Plan Development Process, Electronic Data Guidelines, and the Plan Presentation Guide.

The Consultant shall provide:

A. Comprehensive Project Work Plan:

- 1) Consultant Procurement Plan.
- 2) Communications Plan.
- 3) Detailed Schedule.

B. Complete Field Surveys:

- 1) Provide Survey Control Package.
- 2) Provide Inroads Survey Database.
- 3) Staking for Bridge Site Inspection.
- 4) Staking for ROW acquisition.

C. Concept Report:

- 1) Traffic Studies.
- 2) Cost Estimates.
- 3) Construction Cost Estimate.
- 4) Right-of-Way cost estimate (using approved ROW cost estimator).
- 5) Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
- 6) Approved Concept Report.
- 7) Concept Design Data Book.

D. Preliminary Design:

- 1) Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Preliminary Bridge Plans.
 - b) Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Preliminary Erosion, Sedimentation and Pollution Control Plan (ESPCP).
 - d) Preliminary Utility Plans.
 - e) Preliminary Staging Plans.
 - f) Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) Bridge Hydraulic Study.
- 3) BFI Report.
- 4) Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.
- 5) Constructability Meeting participation.
- 6) Cost Estimation with annual updates.
- 7) Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
- 8) Location and Design Report.
- 9) PFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).

E. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans:

- 1) Prepare ROW plans and coordinate ROW staking.
- 2) Right of Way revisions during acquisition, as needed.

F. Utilities: Subsurface Utility Engineering.

G. Final Design:

- 1) Complete Final Roadway Plans, including but not limited to
 - a) Final Bridge Plans (LRFD).

- b) Final Signing and Marking Plans.
- c) Final ESPCP.
- d) Final Utility Plans.
- e) Final Staging Plans.
- f) Final Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.

- 2) FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
- 3) Corrected FFPR Plans.
- 4) CES Final cost estimate.
- 5) Final PS&E Package.
- 6) Amendments & Revisions.

H. Construction:

- 1) Use on Construction Revisions.
- 2) Review Shop Drawings.

I. Quality Control/Quality Assurance Reviews for all deliverables.

J. Attendance in, and meeting minutes of, monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).

7. Related Key Leaders:

- A. Roadway Design
- B. Bridge Design

8. The following milestone dates are proposed for PI numbers 0016600 and 0016601:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 21
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q2 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- 3) PFPR – Q4 FY 21
- 4) FFPR – Q2 FY 22
- 5) Let Contract – Q3 FY 22

9. Assumptions: Bridge to be replaced, not rehabilitated.

EXHIBIT I-3

Project/Contract

1. Project Number(s): N/A

2. PI Number:	3. County:	4. Description:
0016564	Wayne	CR 31/OGLETHORPE ROAD @ LITTLE GOOSE CREEK
0016565	Wayne	CR 31/OGLETHORPE ROAD @ LITTLE GOOSE CREEK 9 MI NW OF JESUP
0016604	Bulloch	CR 9/AKINS POND ROAD @ MILL CREEK

5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Rural Roadway Design
4.01(a)	Minor Bridge Design
	OR
4.01(b)	Minor Bridge Design - CONDITIONAL

B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope:

The Consultant shall provide concept development, field surveys and database enhancements, preliminary construction plans, hydraulic and hydrological studies, preliminary bridge plans, signing and marking plans, final right-of-way plans (including revisions), erosion control plans, utility plans, staging plans and final construction plans (including revisions through project final acceptance). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services. All deliverables shall be in accordance with the Plan Development Process, Electronic Data Guidelines, and the Plan Presentation Guide.

The Consultant shall provide:

A. Comprehensive Project Work Plan:

- 1) Consultant Procurement Plan.
- 2) Communications Plan.
- 3) Detailed Schedule.

B. Complete Field Surveys:

- 1) Provide Survey Control Package.
- 2) Provide Inroads Survey Database.
- 3) Staking for Bridge Site Inspection.
- 4) Staking for ROW acquisition.

C. Concept Report:

- 1) Traffic Studies.
- 2) Cost Estimates.
- 3) Construction Cost Estimate.
- 4) Right-of-Way cost estimate (using approved ROW cost estimator).
- 5) Initial Concept meeting Preparation and Attendance.
- 6) PAR Activities.
- 7) Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
- 8) Approved Concept Report.
- 9) Concept Design Data Book.

D. Preliminary Design:

- 1) Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Preliminary Bridge Plans.
 - b) Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Preliminary Erosion, Sedimentation and Pollution Control Plan (ESPCP).
 - d) Preliminary Utility Plans.
 - e) Preliminary Staging Plans.
 - f) Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) Bridge Hydraulic Study.
- 3) BFI Report.
- 4) Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.
- 5) Constructability Meeting participation.
- 6) Cost Estimation with annual updates.
- 7) Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
- 8) Location and Design Report.
- 9) PFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).

E. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans:

- 1) Prepare ROW plans and coordinate ROW staking.
- 2) Right of Way revisions during acquisition, as needed.

F. Utilities; Subsurface Utility Engineering.

G. Final Design:

- 1) Complete Final Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Final Bridge Plans (LRFD).
 - b) Final Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Final ESPCP.
 - d) Final Utility Plans.
 - e) Final Staging Plans.
 - f) Final Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plan sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
- 3) Corrected FFPR Plans.
- 4) CES Final cost estimate.
- 5) Final PS&E Package.
- 6) Amendments & Revisions.

H. Construction:

- 1) Use on Construction Revisions.
- 2) Review Shop Drawings.

I. Quality Control/Quality Assurance Reviews for all deliverables.

J. Attendance in, and meeting minutes of, monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).

7. Related Key Leaders:

- A. Roadway Design
- B. Bridge Design

8. The following milestone dates are proposed:

A. PI #: 0016564, 0016604:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 21
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q2 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- 3) PFPR – Q4 FY 21
- 4) FFPR – Q2 FY 22
- 5) Let Contract – Q3 FY 22

B. Pi #: 0016565:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 20
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q4 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- 3) PFPR – Q2 FY 22
- 4) FFPR – Q1 FY 23
- 5) Let Contract – Q1 FY 24

9. Assumptions: Bridge to be replaced, not rehabilitated.

EXHIBIT I-4

Project/Contract

1. Project Number(s): N/A

2. PI Number:	3. County:	4. Description:
0016566	Camden	CS 140/OLD STILL ROAD @ CROOKED RIVER
0016568	Charlton	CR 95/GRACE CHAPEL ROAD @ SPANISH CREEK

5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Rural Roadway Design
3.02	Urban Roadway Design
4.01(a)	Minor Bridge Design
	OR
4.01(b)	Minor Bridge Design - CONDITIONAL

B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope:

The Consultant shall provide concept development, field surveys and database enhancements, preliminary construction plans, hydraulic and hydrological studies, preliminary bridge plans, utility plans, signing and marking plans, final right-of-way plans (including revisions), erosion control plans, staging plans and final construction plans (including revisions through project final acceptance). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services. All deliverables shall be in accordance with the Plan Development Process, Electronic Data Guidelines, and the Plan Presentation Guide.

The Consultant shall provide:

A. Comprehensive Project Work Plan:

- 1) Consultant Procurement Plan.
- 2) Communications Plan.
- 3) Detailed Schedule.

B. Complete Field Surveys:

- 1) Provide Survey Control Package.
- 2) Provide Inroads Survey Database.
- 3) Staking for Bridge Site Inspection.
- 4) Staking for ROW acquisition.

C. Concept Report:

- 1) Traffic Studies.
- 2) Cost Estimates.
- 3) Construction Cost Estimate.
- 4) Right-of-Way cost estimate (using approved ROW cost estimator).
- 5) Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
- 6) Approved Concept Report.
- 7) Concept Design Data Book.

D. Preliminary Design:

- 1) Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Preliminary Bridge Plans.
 - b) Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Preliminary Erosion, Sedimentation and Pollution Control Plan (ESPCP).
 - d) Preliminary Utility Plans.
 - e) Preliminary Staging Plans.
 - f) Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) Bridge Hydraulic Study.
- 3) BFI Report.
- 4) Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.
- 5) Constructability Meeting participation.
- 6) Cost Estimation with annual updates.
- 7) Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
- 8) Location and Design Report.
- 9) PFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).

E. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans:

- 1) Prepare ROW plans and coordinate ROW staking.
- 2) Right of Way revisions during acquisition, as needed.

F. Utilities: Subsurface Utility Engineering.

G. Final Design:

- 1) Complete Final Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Final Bridge Plans (LRFD).

- b) Final Signing and Marking Plans.
- c) Final ESPCP.
- d) Final Utility Plans.
- e) Final Staging Plans.
- f) Final Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.

- 2) FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
- 3) Corrected FFPR Plans.
- 4) CES Final cost estimate.
- 5) Final PS&E Package.
- 6) Amendments & Revisions.

H. Construction:

- 1) Use on Construction Revisions.
- 2) Review Shop Drawings.

I. Quality Control/Quality Assurance Reviews for all deliverables.

J. Attendance in, and meeting minutes of, monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).

7. Related Key Leaders:

- A. Roadway Design
- B. Bridge Design

8. The following milestone dates are proposed for PI numbers 0016566 and 0016568:

- A. Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 21
- B. Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q2 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- C. PFPR – Q4 FY 21
- D. FFPR – Q2 FY 22
- E. Let Contract – Q3 FY 22

9. Assumptions: Bridge to be replaced, not rehabilitated.

EXHIBIT I-5

Project/Contract

1. Project Number(s): N/A

2. PI Number:	3. County:	4. Description:
0016569	Mitchell	CR 288/WHIGHAM ROAD @ BIG SLOUGH
0016584	Thomas	CR 298/COFFEE ROAD @ AUCILLA RIVER
0016587	Thomas	CR 360/OLD US 84 @ CSX #636964L
0016589	Colquitt	CR 485/TILLMAN ROAD @ INDIAN CREEK
0016590	Colquitt	CR 485/TILLMAN ROAD @ BULL CREEK

5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Rural Roadway Design
3.02	Urban Roadway Design
4.01(a)	Minor Bridge Design
	OR
4.01(b)	Minor Bridge Design - CONDITIONAL

B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope:

The Consultant shall provide concept development, field surveys and database enhancements, preliminary construction plans, hydraulic and hydrological studies, preliminary bridge plans, utility plans, signing and marking plans, final right-of-way plans (including revisions), erosion control plans, staging plans and final construction plans (including revisions through project final acceptance). All required engineering studies are considered part of the

scope of services. All deliverables shall be in accordance with the Plan Development Process, Electronic Data Guidelines, and the Plan Presentation Guide.

The Consultant shall provide:

A. Comprehensive Project Work Plan:

- 1) Consultant Procurement Plan.
- 2) Communications Plan.
- 3) Detailed Schedule.

B. Complete Field Surveys:

- 1) Provide Survey Control Package.
- 2) Provide Inroads Survey Database.
- 3) Staking for Bridge Site Inspection.
- 4) Staking for ROW acquisition.

C. Concept Report:

- 1) Traffic Studies.
- 2) Cost Estimates.
- 3) Construction Cost Estimate.
- 4) Right-of-Way cost estimate (using approved ROW cost estimator).
- 5) Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
- 6) Approved Concept Report.
- 7) Concept Design Data Book.

D. Preliminary Design:

- 1) Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Preliminary Bridge Plans.
 - b) Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Preliminary Erosion, Sedimentation and Pollution Control Plan (ESPCCP).
 - d) Preliminary Utility Plans.
 - e) Preliminary Staging Plans.
 - f) Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) Bridge Hydraulic Study.
- 3) BFI Report.
- 4) Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.
- 5) Constructability Meeting participation.
- 6) Cost Estimation with annual updates.
- 7) Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
- 8) Location and Design Report.
- 9) PFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).

E. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans:

- 1) Prepare ROW plans and coordinate ROW staking.
- 2) Right of Way revisions during acquisition, as needed.

F. Utilities (No SUE required):

- 1) 1st Utility Submission.
- 2) 2nd Utility Submission.
- 3) Utility Plans.

G. Final Design:

1) Complete Final Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:

- a) Final Bridge Plans (LRFD).
- b) Final Signing and Marking Plans.
- c) Final ESPCP.
- d) Final Utility Plans.
- e) Final Staging Plans.
- f) Final Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.

- 2) FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
- 3) Corrected FFPR Plans.
- 4) CES Final cost estimate.
- 5) Final PS&E Package.
- 6) Amendments & Revisions.

H. Construction:

- 1) Use on Construction Revisions.
- 2) Review Shop Drawings.

I. Quality Control/Quality Assurance Reviews for all deliverables.

J. Attendance in, and meeting minutes of, monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).

7. Related Key Leaders:

- A. Roadway Design
- B. Bridge Design

8. The following milestone dates are proposed:

A. PI #s: 0016569, 0016584, 0016587, 0016590

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 21
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q2 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- 3) PFPR – Q4 FY 21
- 4) FFPR – Q2 FY 22
- 5) Let Contract – Q3 FY 22

B. PI #: 0016589

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 20
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q4 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- 3) PFPR – Q2 FY 22
- 4) FFPR – Q1 FY 23
- 5) Let Contract – Q1 FY 24

9. Assumptions: Bridge to be replaced, not rehabilitated.

EXHIBIT I-6

Project/Contract

1. Project Number(s): N/A

2. PI Number:	3. County:	4. Description:
0015632	Coffee	CR 705/BRIDGETOWN ROAD @ SATILLA RIVER 11 MI W OF DOUGLAS
0016571	Crisp	CR 4/STORY ROAD @ NORTH BRANCH SWIFT CREEK TRIB
0016572	Crisp	CR 11/LOWER PATEVILLE ROAD @ SWIFT CREEK TRIB
0016588	Irwin	CR 181/SATILLA ROAD @ WILLACOOCHEE RIVER OVERFLOW

5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Rural Roadway Design
4.01(a)	Minor Bridge Design
	OR
4.01(b)	Minor Bridge Design - CONDITIONAL

B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope:

The Consultant shall provide concept development, field surveys and database enhancements, preliminary construction plans, hydraulic and hydrological studies, preliminary bridge plans, signing and marking plans, final right-of-way plans (including revisions), erosion control plans, utility plans, staging plans and final construction plans (including revisions through project final acceptance). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services. All deliverables shall be in accordance with the Plan Development Process, Electronic Data Guidelines, and the Plan Presentation Guide.

The Consultant shall provide:

A. Comprehensive Project Work Plan:

- 1) Consultant Procurement Plan.
- 2) Communications Plan.
- 3) Detailed Schedule.

B. Complete Field Survey:

- 1) Provide Survey Control Package.
- 2) Provide Inroads Survey Database.
- 3) Staking for Bridge Site Inspection.
- 4) Staking for ROW acquisition.

C. Concept Report:

- 1) Traffic Studies.
- 2) Cost Estimates.
- 3) Construction Cost Estimate.
- 4) Right-of-Way cost estimate (using approved ROW cost estimator).
- 5) Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
- 6) Approved Concept Report.
- 7) Concept Design Data Book.

D. Preliminary Design:

- 1) Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Preliminary Bridge Plans.
 - b) Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Preliminary Erosion, Sedimentation and Pollution Control Plan (ESPCP).
 - d) Preliminary Utility Plans.
 - e) Preliminary Staging Plans.
 - f) Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) Bridge Hydraulic Study.
- 3) BFI Report.
- 4) Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.
- 5) Constructability Meeting participation.
- 6) Cost Estimation with annual updates.
- 7) Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
- 8) Location and Design Report.
- 9) PFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).

E. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans:

- 1) Prepare ROW plans and coordinate ROW staking.
- 2) Right of Way revisions during acquisition, as needed.

F. Utilities (No SUE required):

- 1) 1st Utility Submission.
- 2) 2nd Utility Submission.
- 3) Utility Plans and Utility Relocation Plans, if required.

G. Final Design:

1) Complete Final Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:

- a) Final Bridge Plans (LRFD).
- b) Final Signing and Marking Plans.
- c) Final ESPCP.
- d) Final Utility Plans.
- e) Final Staging Plans.
- f) Final Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.

- 2) FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
- 3) Corrected FFPR Plans .
- 4) CES Final cost estimate.
- 5) Final PS&E Package.
- 6) Amendments & Revisions.

H. Construction:

- 1) Use on Construction Revisions.
- 2) Review Shop Drawings.

I. Quality Control/Quality Assurance Reviews for all deliverables.

J. Attendance in, and meeting minutes of, monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).

7. Related Key Leaders:

- A. Roadway Design
- B. Bridge Design

8. The following milestone dates are proposed for PI numbers 0015632, 0016571, 0016572, and 0016588:

- A. Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 21
- B. Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q2 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- C. PFPR – Q4 FY 21
- D. FFPR – Q2 FY 22
- E. Let Contract – Q3 FY 22

9. Assumptions: Bridge to be replaced, not rehabilitated.

EXHIBIT I-7

Project/Contract

1. Project Number(s): N/A

2. PI Number:	3. County:	4. Description:
0016570	Macon	CR 281/CEDAR CREEK ROAD @ CEDAR CREEK
0016573	Sumter	CR 147/MURPHYS MILL ROAD @ MURPHYS MILL POND
331900-	Spalding	CR 222/CR 954/COUNTY LINE ROAD @ POTATO CREEK SE OF GRIFFIN

5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Rural Roadway Design
3.02	Urban Roadway Design
4.01(a)	Minor Bridge Design
	OR
4.01(b)	Minor Bridge Design - CONDITIONAL

B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope:

The Consultant shall provide concept development, field surveys and database enhancements, preliminary construction plans, hydraulic and hydrological studies, preliminary bridge plans, signing and marking plans, final right-of-way plans (including revisions), erosion control plans, utility plans, staging plans and final construction plans (including revisions through project final acceptance). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services. All deliverables shall be in accordance with the Plan Development Process, Electronic Data Guidelines, and the Plan Presentation Guide.

The Consultant shall provide:

A. Comprehensive Project Work Plan:

- 1) Consultant Procurement Plan.
- 2) Communications Plan.
- 3) Detailed Schedule.

B. Complete Field Surveys:

- 1) Provide Survey Control Package.
- 2) Provide Inroads Survey Database.
- 3) Staking for Bridge Site Inspection.
- 4) Staking for ROW acquisition.

C. Concept Report:

- 1) Traffic Studies.
- 2) Cost Estimates.
- 3) Construction Cost Estimate.
- 4) Right-of-Way cost estimate (using approved ROW cost estimator).
- 5) Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
- 6) Approved Concept Report.
- 7) Concept Design Data Book.

D. Preliminary Design:

- 1) Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Preliminary Bridge Plans.
 - b) Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Preliminary Erosion, Sedimentation and Pollution Control Plan (ESPCP).
 - d) Preliminary Utility Plans.
 - e) Preliminary Staging Plans.
 - f) Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) Bridge Hydraulic Study.
- 3) BFI Report.
- 4) Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.
- 5) Constructability Meeting participation.
- 6) Cost Estimation with annual updates.
- 7) Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
- 8) Location and Design Report.
- 9) PFPR participation, report, and responses (all plan sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).

E. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans:

- 1) Prepare ROW plans and coordinate ROW staking.
- 2) Right of Way revisions during acquisition, as needed.

F. Utilities:

- 1) Subsurface Utility Engineering.
- 2) 1st Utility Submission.
- 3) 2nd Utility Submission.
- 4) Utility Plans and Utility Relocation Plans, if required.

G. Final Design:

1) Complete Final Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:

- a) Final Bridge Plans (LRFD).
- b) Final Signing and Marking Plans.
- c) Final ESPCP.
- d) Final Utility Plans.
- e) Final Staging Plans.
- f) Final Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.

- 2) FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
- 3) Corrected FFPR Plans.
- 4) CES Final cost estimate.
- 5) Final PS&E Package.
- 6) Amendments & Revisions.

H. Construction:

- 1) Use on Construction Revisions.
- 2) Review Shop Drawings.

I. Quality Control/Quality Assurance Reviews for all deliverables.

J. Attendance in, and meeting minutes of, monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).

7. Related Key Leaders:

- A. Roadway Design
- B. Bridge Design

8. The following milestone dates are proposed PI numbers 0016570, 0016573, and 331900-:

- A. Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 21
- B. Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q2 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- C. PFPR – Q4 FY 21
- D. FFPR – Q2 FY 22
- E. Let Contract – Q3 FY 22

9. Assumptions: Bridge to be replaced, not rehabilitated.

EXHIBIT I-8

Project/Contract

1. Project Number(s): N/A

2. PI Number:	3. County:	4. Description:
0016575	Coweta	CR 55/MCINTOSH TRAIL @ KEG CREEK
0016576	Coweta	CR 261/OLD CORINTH ROAD @ SANDY CREEK
0016579	Clayton/Fayette	SR 920 @ FLINT RIVER

5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Rural Roadway Design
4.01(a)	Minor Bridge Design
	OR
4.01(b)	Minor Bridge Design - CONDITIONAL

B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
3.13	Facilities for Bicycles and Pedestrians
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope:

The Consultant shall provide concept development, field surveys and database enhancements, preliminary construction plans, hydraulic and hydrological studies, preliminary bridge plans, signing and marking plans, final right-of-way plans (including revisions), erosion control plans, utility plans, staging plans and final construction plans (including revisions through project final acceptance). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services. All deliverables shall be in accordance with the Plan Development Process, Electronic Data Guidelines, and the Plan Presentation Guide.

The Consultant shall provide:

A. Comprehensive Project Work Plan:

- 1) Consultant Procurement Plan.
- 2) Communications Plan.
- 3) Detailed Schedule.

B. Complete Field Surveys:

- 1) Provide Survey Control Package.
- 2) Provide Inroads Survey Database.
- 3) Staking for Bridge Site Inspection.
- 4) Staking for ROW acquisition.

C. Concept Report:

- 1) Traffic Studies.
- 2) Cost Estimates.
- 3) Construction Cost Estimate.
- 4) Right-of-Way cost estimate (using approved ROW cost estimator).
- 5) Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
- 6) Approved Concept Report.
- 7) Concept Design Data Book.

D. Preliminary Design:

- 1) Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Preliminary Bridge Plans.
 - b) Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Preliminary Erosion, Sedimentation and Pollution Control Plan (ESPCP).
 - d) Preliminary Utility Plans.
 - e) Preliminary Staging Plans.
 - f) Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) Bridge Hydraulic Study.
- 3) BFI Report.
- 4) Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.
- 5) Constructability Meeting participation.
- 6) Cost Estimation with annual updates.
- 7) Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
- 8) Location and Design Report.
- 9) PFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).

E. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans:

- 1) Prepare ROW plans and coordinate ROW staking.
- 2) Right of Way revisions during acquisition, as needed.

F. Utilities:

- 1) Subsurface Utility Engineering.
- 2) 1st Utility Submission.
- 3) 2nd Utility Submission.

G. Final Design:

- 1) Complete Final Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Final Bridge Plans (LRFD).
 - b) Final Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Final ESPCP.
 - d) Final Utility Plans and Utility Relocation Plans if required.
 - e) Final Staging Plans.
 - f) Final Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering)
- 3) Corrected FFPR Plans.
- 4) CES Final cost estimate.
- 5) Final PS&E Package.
- 6) Amendments & Revisions.

H. Construction:

- 1) Use on Construction Revisions.
- 2) Review Shop Drawings.

I. Quality Control/Quality Assurance Reviews for all deliverables.

J. Attendance in, and meeting minutes of, monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).

7. Related Key Leaders:

- A. Roadway Design
- B. Bridge Design

8. The following milestone dates are proposed:

A. PI #: 0016575:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 21
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q2 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- 3) PFPR – Q4 FY 21
- 4) FFPR – Q2 FY 22
- 5) Let Contract – Q3 FY 22

B. PI #s: 0016576, 0016579:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 20
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q4 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- 3) PFPR – Q2 FY 22
- 4) FFPR – Q1 FY 23
- 5) Let Contract – Q1 FY 24

9. Assumptions: Bridge to be replaced, not rehabilitated.

EXHIBIT I-9

Project/Contract

1. Project Number(s): N/A

2. PI Number:	3. County:	4. Description:
0016577	Carroll	CR 824/W HICKORY LEVEL ROAD @ LITTLE TALLAPOOSA RIVER
0016578	Carroll	CR 824/W HICKORY LEVEL RD @ LITTLE TALLAPOOSA RIVER TRIB
0016596	Bartow	CS 963/SUGAR VALLEY ROAD @ NANCY CREEK
0016609	Polk	CR 173/SCHOOL HOUSE ROAD @ SWINNEY BRANCH TRIB
0016610	Polk	CR 211/EVERETT ROAD @ SIMPSON CREEK

5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Rural Roadway Design
3.02	Urban Roadway Design
4.01(a)	Minor Bridge Design
	OR
4.01(b)	Minor Bridge Design - CONDITIONAL

B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
3.13	Facilities for Bicycles and Pedestrians
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope:

The Consultant shall provide concept development, field surveys and database enhancements, preliminary construction plans, hydraulic and hydrological studies, preliminary bridge plans, signing and marking plans, final right-

of-way plans (including revisions), erosion control plans, utility plans, staging plans and final construction plans (including revisions through project final acceptance). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services. All deliverables shall be in accordance with the Plan Development Process, Electronic Data Guidelines, and the Plan Presentation Guide.

The Consultant shall provide:

A. Comprehensive Project Work Plan:

- 1) Consultant Procurement Plan.
- 2) Communications Plan.
- 3) Detailed Schedule.

B. Complete Field Surveys:

- 1) Provide Survey Control Package.
- 2) Provide Inroads Survey Database.
- 3) Staking for Bridge Site Inspection.
- 4) Staking for ROW acquisition.

C. Concept Report:

- 1) Traffic Studies.
- 2) Cost Estimates.
- 3) Construction Cost Estimate.
- 4) Right-of-Way cost estimate (using approved ROW cost estimator).
- 5) Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
- 6) Approved Concept Report.
- 7) Concept Design Data Book.

D. Preliminary Design:

- 1) Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Preliminary Bridge Plans.
 - b) Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Preliminary Erosion, Sedimentation and Pollution Control Plan (ESPCP).
 - d) Preliminary Utility Plans.
 - e) Preliminary Staging Plans.
 - f) Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) Bridge Hydraulic Study.
- 3) BFI Report.
- 4) Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.
- 5) Constructability Meeting participation.
- 6) Cost Estimation with annual updates.
- 7) Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
- 8) Location and Design Report.
- 9) PFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).

E. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans:

- 1) Prepare ROW plans and coordinate ROW staking.
- 2) Right of Way revisions during acquisition, as needed.

F. Utilities:

- 1) Subsurface Utility Engineering.
- 2) 1st Utility Submission.
- 3) 2nd Utility Submission.

G. Final Design:

- 1) Complete Final Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Final Bridge Plans (LRFD).
 - b) Final Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Final ESPCP.
 - d) Final Utility Plans and Utility Relocation Plans, if required.
 - e) Final Staging Plans.
 - f) Final Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
- 3) Corrected FFPR Plans.
- 4) CES Final cost estimate.
- 5) Final PS&E Package.
- 6) Amendments & Revisions.

H. Construction:

- 1) Use on Construction Revisions.
- 2) Review Shop Drawings.

I. Quality Control/Quality Assurance Reviews for all deliverables.

J. Attendance in, and meeting minutes of, monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).

7. Related Key Leaders:

- A. Roadway Design
- B. Bridge Design

8. The following milestone dates are proposed:

A. PI #s: 0016577, 0016578, 0016609:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 21
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q2 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- 3) PFPR – Q4 FY 21
- 4) FFPR – Q2 FY 22
- 5) Let Contract – Q3 FY 22

B. PI #s: 0016596, 0016610:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 20
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q4 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- 3) PFPR – Q2 FY 22
- 4) FFPR – Q1 FY 23
- 5) Let Contract – Q1 FY 24

9. Assumptions: Bridge to be replaced, not rehabilitated.

EXHIBIT I-10

Project/Contract

1. Project Number(s): N/A

2. PI Number:	3. County:	4. Description:
0016607	Walker	CR 219/RED BELT ROAD @ WEST CHICKAMAUGA CREEK
0016608	Walker	CR 434/EUCLID ROAD @ WEST CHICKAMAUGA CREEK
0016611	Floyd	CR 924/BELLS FERRY ROAD @ WOODWARD CREEK

5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Rural Roadway Design
3.02	Urban Roadway Design
4.01(a)	Minor Bridge Design
	OR
4.01(b)	Minor Bridge Design - CONDITIONAL

B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope:

The Consultant shall provide concept development, field surveys and database enhancements, preliminary construction plans, hydraulic and hydrological studies, preliminary bridge plans, signing and marking plans, final right-of-way plans (including revisions), erosion control plans, utility plans, staging plans and final construction plans (including revisions through project final acceptance). All required engineering studies are considered part of the scope of services. All deliverables shall be in accordance with the Plan Development Process, Electronic Data Guidelines, and the Plan Presentation Guide.

The Consultant shall provide:

A. Comprehensive Project Work Plan:

- 1) Consultant Procurement Plan.
- 2) Communications Plan.
- 3) Detailed Schedule.

B. Complete Field Surveys:

- 1) Provide Survey Control Package.
- 2) Provide Inroads Survey Database.
- 3) Staking for Bridge Site Inspection.
- 4) Staking for ROW acquisition

C. Concept Report:

- 1) Traffic Studies.
- 2) Cost Estimates.
- 3) Construction Cost Estimate.
- 4) Right-of-Way cost estimate (using approved ROW cost estimator).
- 5) Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
- 6) Approved Concept Report.
- 7) Concept Design Data Book.

D. Preliminary Design:

- 1) Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Preliminary Bridge Plans.
 - b) Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Preliminary Erosion, Sedimentation and Pollution Control Plan (ESPCP).
 - d) Preliminary Utility Plans.
 - e) Preliminary Staging Plans.
 - f) Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) Bridge Hydraulic Study.
- 3) BFI Report.
- 4) Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.
- 5) Constructability Meeting participation.
- 6) Cost Estimation with annual updates.
- 7) Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
- 8) Location and Design Report.
- 9) PFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).

E. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans:

- 1) Prepare ROW plans and coordinate ROW staking.
- 2) Right of Way revisions during acquisition, as needed.

F. Utilities:

- 1) Subsurface Utility Engineering.
- 2) 1st Utility Submission.
- 3) 2nd Utility Submission.

G. Final Design:

- 1) Complete Final Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Final Bridge Plans (LRFD).
 - b) Final Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Final ESPCP.
 - d) Final Utility Plans and Utility Relocation Plans, if required.
 - e) Final Staging Plans.
 - f) Final Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
- 3) Corrected FFPR Plans.
- 4) CES Final cost estimate.
- 5) Final PS&E Package.
- 6) Amendments & Revisions.

H. Construction:

- 1) Use on Construction Revisions.
- 2) Review Shop Drawings.

I. Quality Control/Quality Assurance Reviews for all deliverables.

J. Attendance in, and meeting minutes of, monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).

7. Related Key Leaders:

- A. Roadway Design
- B. Bridge Design

8. The following milestone dates are proposed:

A. PI #: 0016611:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 21
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q2 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- 3) PFPR – Q4 FY 21
- 4) FFPR – Q2 FY 22
- 5) Let Contract – Q3 FY 22

B. PI #s: 0016607, 0016608:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 20
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q4 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- 3) PFPR – Q2 FY 22
- 4) FFPR – Q1 FY 23
- 5) Let Contract – Q1 FY 24

9. Assumptions: Bridge to be replaced, not rehabilitated.

EXHIBIT I-11

Project/Contract

1. Project Number(s): N/A

2. PI Number:	3. County:	4. Description:
0016580	Fulton	CS 1323/HOPEWELL ROAD @ CHICKEN CREEK
0016581	Fulton	CS 4/BIRMINGHAM ROAD @ CHICKEN CREEK TRIB
0016582	Fulton	CS 34/FREEMANVILLE ROAD @ COOPER SANDY CREEK
0016599	Fulton	CS 1472/WATERS ROAD @ LONG INDIAN CREEK
0016605	Fulton	CR 581/BETHSAIDA ROAD @ MORNING CREEK
0016606	Clayton	CR 392/UPPER RIVERDALE ROAD @ FLINT RIVER

5. Required Area Classes:

Prime Consultants are defined as the firm submitting the Statement of Qualifications and the firm with whom GDOT will contract. The Team is defined as the Prime Consultant and their subconsultants, who are considered team members. The Prime Consultant must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.A. The Prime Consultant or subconsultant team members must be prequalified in the Area Classes identified below in Section 5.B. Respondents should submit a summary form (example provided in **Exhibit IV**) which details the required area classes for the Prime Consultant and all subconsultants or joint-venture of consultants on the team listed in the Statement of Qualifications. The area classes listed on the summary form must meet all required area classes or the team will be disqualified. The Prequalification Expiration Date must be current by the deadline stated for this RFQ.

A. The **Prime Consultant** **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.01	Rural Roadway Design
3.02	Urban Roadway Design
4.01(a)	Minor Bridge Design
	OR
4.01(b)	Minor Bridge Design - CONDITIONAL

B. The **Team** (either the Prime Consultant and/or one or more of their subconsultant team members) **MUST** be prequalified by GDOT in the area classes listed below:

Number	Area Class
3.12	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway)
3.13	Facilities for Bicycles and Pedestrians
4.04	Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges)
5.01	Land Surveying
5.02	Engineering Surveying
5.08	Overhead/Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
6.01(a)	Soil Survey Studies
6.01(b)	Geological and Geophysical Studies
6.02	Bridge Foundation Studies
6.05	Hazardous Waste Site Assessment Studies
9.01	Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control Plan

6. Scope:

The Consultant shall provide concept development, field surveys and database enhancements, preliminary construction plans, hydraulic and hydrological studies, preliminary bridge plans, signing and marking plans, final right-of-way plans (including revisions), erosion control plans, utility plans, staging plans and final construction plans (including revisions through project final acceptance). All required engineering studies are considered part of the

scope of services. All deliverables shall be in accordance with the Plan Development Process, Electronic Data Guidelines, and the Plan Presentation Guide

The Consultant shall provide:

A. Comprehensive Project Work Plan:

- 1) Consultant Procurement Plan.
- 2) Communications Plan.
- 3) Detailed Schedule.

B. Complete Field Surveys:

- 1) Provide Survey Control Package.
- 2) Provide Inroads Survey Database.
- 3) Staking for Bridge Site Inspection.
- 4) Staking for ROW acquisition.

C. Targeted Stakeholder Group (For PI-0016599 & PI- 0016606 (Tier III Projects) only:

- 1) Establish a Technical Stakeholder Group (TSG) - with GDOT assistance.
- 2) Prepare for, Conduct, and Report on TSG Meetings and coordination.
- 3) Prepare all necessary presentation materials.

D. Concept Report:

- 1) Traffic Studies.
- 2) Cost Estimates.
- 3) Construction Cost Estimate.
- 4) Right-of-Way cost estimate (using approved ROW cost estimator).
- 5) Initial Concept meeting Preparation and Attendance.
- 6) PAR Activities.
- 7) Concept Meeting Preparation and Attendance.
- 8) Approved Concept Report.
- 9) Concept Design Data Book.

E. Preliminary Design:

- 1) Complete Preliminary Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Preliminary Bridge Plans.
 - b) Preliminary Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Preliminary Erosion, Sedimentation and Pollution Control Plan (ESPCP).
 - d) Preliminary Utility Plans.
 - e) Preliminary Staging Plans.
 - f) Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) Bridge Hydraulic Study.
- 3) BFI Report.
- 4) Pavement Evaluation/UST/Soil Survey.
- 5) Constructability Meeting participation.
- 6) Cost Estimation with annual updates.
- 7) Quality Assurance/Quality Control Reviews.
- 8) Location and Design Report.
- 9) PFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).

F. Right-of-Way (ROW) Plans:

- 1) Prepare ROW plans and coordinate ROW staking.
- 2) Right of Way revisions during acquisition, as needed.

G. Utilities:

- 1) Subsurface Utility Engineering.
- 2) 1st Utility Submission.
- 3) 2nd Utility Submission.

H. Final Design:

- 1) Complete Final Roadway Plans, including but not limited to:
 - a) Final Bridge Plans (LRFD).
 - b) Final Signing and Marking Plans.
 - c) Final ESPCP.
 - d) Final Utility Plans and Utility Relocation Plans if required.
 - e) Final Staging Plans.
 - f) Final Drainage Design including MS4, if applicable.
- 2) FFPR participation, report, and responses (all plans sets and other information requested by Engineering Services).
- 3) Corrected FFPR Plans.
- 4) CES Final cost estimate.
- 5) Final PS&E Package.
- 6) Amendments & Revisions.

I. Construction:

- 1) Use on Construction Revisions.
- 2) Review Shop Drawings.

J. Quality Control/Quality Assurance Reviews for all deliverables.

K. Attendance in, and meeting minutes of, monthly meetings to discuss progress and/or issues (additional meetings may be required to resolve major project issues).

7. Related Key Leaders:

- A. Roadway Design
- B. Bridge Design

8. The following milestone dates are proposed:

A. PI #s: 0016580, 0016605:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 21
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q2 FY 21 (about 4 months)
- 3) PFPR – Q4 FY 21
- 4) FFPR – Q2 FY 22
- 5) Let Contract – Q3 FY 22

B. PI #s: 0016581, 0016582:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 20
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q4 FY 21 (about 4 months)

- 3) PFPR – Q2 FY 22
- 4) FFPR – Q1 FY 23
- 5) Let Contract – Q1 FY 24

C. PI #s: 0016599, 0016606:

- 1) Preliminary Engineering (PE) Notice to Proceed – Q1 FY 20
- 2) Limited Concept Report Submittal – Q2 FY 22 (about 4 months)
- 3) PFPR – Q4 FY 22
- 4) FFPR – Q1 FY 24
- 5) Let Contract – Q2 FY 24

9. Assumptions: Bridge to be replaced, not rehabilitated.

III. All references to RFQ Project Consideration Checklist are DELETED in their entirety and REPLACED WITH the revised, attached Project Consideration Checklist.

**Project Consideration Checklist –
 RFQ-484-040220
 Bridge Bundle #1 - 2020 Engineering Design Services**

This form must be completed and included in the Statement of Qualification(s) in Section VI. A with applicable boxes checked.
 This form **will NOT** be counted in the maximum number of pages.

ALL The submitted team meets the prequalification requirements for all projects and would like to be considered on all projects.

OR

The submitted team meets the prequalification requirements and would like to be considered on the following checked contracts.

Contract #	PI #	County	Project Description
1	0015658	Putnam	CR 29/MARTINS MILL ROAD @ LITTLE RIVER 4.5 MI NW OF EATONTON
	0016595	Wilkes	CR 197/BIG CEDAR ROAD @ ROCKY CREEK
2	0016600	Screven	CR 238/BUCK CREEK ROAD @ SOUTH PRONG BUCK CREEK
	0016601	Screven	CR 238/BUCK CREEK ROAD @ BUCK CREEK TRIB
3	0016564	Wayne	CR 31/OGLETHORPE ROAD @ LITTLE GOOSE CREEK
	0016565	Wayne	CR 31/OGLETHORPE ROAD @ LITTLE GOOSE CREEK 9 MI NW OF JESUP
	0016604	Bulloch	CR 9/AKINS POND ROAD @ MILL CREEK
4	0016566	Camden	CS 140/OLD STILL ROAD @ CROOKED RIVER
	0016568	Charlton	CR 95/GRACE CHAPEL ROAD @ SPANISH CREEK
5	0016569	Mitchell	CR 288/WHIGHAM ROAD @ BIG SLOUGH
	0016584	Thomas	CR 298/COFFEE ROAD @ AUCILLA RIVER
	0016587	Thomas	CR 360/OLD US 84 @ CSX #636964L
	0016589	Colquitt	CR 485/TILLMAN ROAD @ INDIAN CREEK
	0016590	Colquitt	CR 485/TILLMAN ROAD @ BULL CREEK
6	0015632	Coffee	CR 705/BRIDGETOWN ROAD @ SATILLA RIVER 11 MI W OF DOUGLAS
	0016571	Crisp	CR 4/STORY ROAD @ NORTH BRANCH SWIFT CREEK TRIB
	0016572	Crisp	CR 11/LOWER PATEVILLE ROAD @ SWIFT CREEK TRIB
	0016588	Irwin	CR 181/SATILLA ROAD @ WILLACOOCHEE RIVER OVERFLOW
7	0016570	Macon	CR 281/CEDAR CREEK ROAD @ CEDAR CREEK
	0016573	Sumter	CR 147/MURPHYS MILL ROAD @ MURPHYS MILL POND
	331900-	Spalding	CR 222/CR 954/COUNTY LINE ROAD @ POTATO CREEK SE OF GRIFFIN
8	0016575	Coweta	CR 55/MCINTOSH TRAIL @ KEG CREEK
	0016576	Coweta	CR 261/OLD CORINTH ROAD @ SANDY CREEK
	0016579	Clayton/Fayette	SR 920 @ FLINT RIVER

9	0016577	Carroll	CR 824/W HICKORY LEVEL ROAD @ LITTLE TALLAPOOSA RIVER
	0016578	Carroll	CR 824/W HICKORY LEVEL RD @ LITTLE TALLAPOOSA RIVER TRIB
	0016596	Bartow	CS 963/SUGAR VALLEY ROAD @ NANCY CREEK
	0016609	Polk	CR 173/SCHOOL HOUSE ROAD @ SWINNEY BRANCH TRIB
	0016610	Polk	CR 211/EVERETT ROAD @ SIMPSON CREEK
10	0016607	Walker	CR 219/RED BELT ROAD @ WEST CHICKAMAUGA CREEK
	0016608	Walker	CR 434/EUCLID ROAD @ WEST CHICKAMAUGA CREEK
	0016611	Floyd	CR 924/BELLS FERRY ROAD @ WOODWARD CREEK
11	0016580	Fulton	CS 1323/HOPEWELL ROAD @ CHICKEN CREEK
	0016581	Fulton	CS 4/BIRMINGHAM ROAD @ CHICKEN CREEK TRIB
	0016582	Fulton	CS 34/FREEMANVILLE ROAD @ COOPER SANDY CREEK
	0016599	Fulton	CS 1472/WATERS ROAD @ LONG INDIAN CREEK
	0016605	Fulton	CR 581/BETHSAIDA ROAD @ MORNING CREEK
	0016606	Clayton	CR 392/UPPER RIVERDALE ROAD @ FLINT RIVER

SUBMISSION & PRESCREENING CHECKLIST

SOLICITATION #:	RFQ-484-040220, Contract 2
SOLICITATION TITLE:	Bridge Bundle #1 – 2020 Engineering Design Services
SOLICITATION DUE DATE:	April 2, 2020
SOLICITATION TIME DUE:	2:00pm



No.	Consultants	Date	Time	Exhibit II - Certification	Exhibit III - GSICAA	Signed Addendum if Applicable	Compliant with Page # Limitations	Compliant with Required Format	Consideration Checklist
1	Alfred Benesch & Company	4/1/2020	4:31 PM	X	X	X	X	X	X
2	American Engineers, Inc.	3/31/2020	1:55 PM	X	X	X	X	X	X
3	Atlas Technical Consultants, LLC	4/2/2020	9:55 AM	X	X	X	X	X	X
4	Barge Design Solutions, Inc.	4/2/2020	11:17 AM	X	X	X	X	X	X
5	Bridgefamer & Associates, Inc.	4/2/2020	11:11 AM	X	X	X	X	X	X
6	CDM Smith Inc.	4/2/2020	12:15 PM	X	X	X	X	X	X
7	CPL Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architect and Surveyor, D.P.C.	4/2/2020	1:50 PM	X	X	X	X	X	X
8	Cranston Engineering Group, P.C.	4/2/2020	1:27 PM	X	X	X	X	X	X
9	EFK Moen, LLC	4/2/2020	11:23 AM	X	X	X	X	X	X
10	EXP US Services Inc.	4/1/2020	4:01 PM	X	X	X	X	X	X
11	Freese and Nichols, Inc.	4/1/2020	12:44 PM	X	X	X	X	X	X
12	Holt Consulting Company, LLC	4/1/2020	10:08 AM	X	X	X	X	X	X
13	Hussey, Gay, Bell & DeYoung, Inc.	4/2/2020	9:19 AM	X	X	X	X	X	X
14	Infrastructure Consulting & Engineering, PLLC	4/2/2020	8:38 AM	X	X	X	X	X	X
15	Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	4/2/2020	11:41 AM	X	X	X	X	X	X
16	Long Engineering, Inc.	4/2/2020	1:07 PM	X	X	X	X	X	X
17	Mead & Hunt, Inc	3/31/2020	4:00 PM	X	X	X	X	X	X
18	Moffatt & Nichol, Inc.	4/2/2020	1:48 PM	X	X	X	X	X	X
19	Neel-Schaffer, Inc	4/2/2020	12:38 PM	X	X	X	X	X	X
20	NV5 Engineers and Consultants, Inc.	4/2/2020	8:56 AM	X	X	X	X	X	X
21	Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.	4/2/2020	1:04 PM	X	X	X	X	X	X
22	Pond & Company	4/2/2020	12:57 PM	X	X	X	X	X	X
23	Qk4, Incorporated	4/2/2020	12:45 PM	X	X	X	X	X	X
24	RS&H, Inc.	4/2/2020	7:04 AM	X	X	X	X	X	X
25	STV Incorporated	4/2/2020	12:00 PM	X	X	X	X	X	X
26	TranSystems Corporation	4/2/2020	9:31 AM	X	X	X	X	X	X
27	T.Y. Lin International, Inc.	4/2/2020	1:35 PM	X	X	X	X	X	X
28	Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.	4/2/2020	12:20 PM	X	X	X	X	X	X

GDOT GUIDE FOR SELECTION COMMITTEE MEMBERS

RFQ 484-040220

Bridge Bundle #1 – 2020 Engineering Design Services

Contract 2: PI#'s 0016600 and 0016601

This ENTIRE GUIDE must be reviewed carefully by all Selection Committee Members BEFORE the evaluation of submittals.

Coordination and Communication

Folayan Battle will coordinate the overall submittal evaluation process and serve as Facilitator of any Selection Committee Meetings through the completion of the evaluation. All Committee members will be provided copies of submittals and related information, and will be notified of any proposed (if applicable) meetings, conference calls, and deadlines. **IMPORTANT-** *All written communication* (e-mails, memos, scoresheets, handwritten notes in SOQs, Proposals, etc.) related to the evaluation can be subject to public record. Therefore, all such communication should be limited to objective and verifiable information.

Evaluation Process

The evaluation and scoring will be handled in two phases. Phase I will be the evaluation of the written Statements of Qualifications received from all respondents. Phase II will be the evaluation of the written responses from the Finalists. The scoring for the Finalists will be carried forward from Phase I and added to the scores from Phase II to determine the highest ranked Finalists and hence with whom negotiations will be initiated. The criteria to be utilized in the evaluation and scoring are as follows:

Phase I

- **PM, Key Team Leader(s), and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – (30% or 300 Points)**
- **PM, Key Team Leader(s), and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – (20% or 200 Points)**

Phase II

- **Technical Approach – (40% or 400 Points)**
- **Past Performance – (10% or 100 Points)**

Phase I Evaluation of Statements of Qualifications

Evaluation of Eligible Submittals

Submittals determined eligible must be read thoroughly with careful attention to the presence of required submittal content. The reader should keep the evaluation criteria in mind when assessing each submittal. As Reviewers read the responses, they will determine the rating for each criteria as follows:

- Poor = Does Not have minimum qualifications/availability
- Marginal = Meets Minimum qualifications/availability but one or more major considerations are not addressed or is lacking in some essential aspects
- Adequate = Meets minimum qualification/availability and is generally capable of performing work
- Good = More than meets minimum qualifications/availability and exceeds in some aspects
- Excellent = Fully meets qualifications/availability and exceeds in several or all areas

Directions for use of the Evaluation Preliminary Scoring Forms:

Scoring forms will be distributed to all Selection Committee members along with copies of submittals which were received and validated. Evaluators will have the option of using the hard copy forms or an electronic version of the form. However, to ensure that Open Records Request can be filled in compliance with the law, Evaluators who choose to use the electronic version of the form should only maintain one version of the form and must provide the electronic version of the form to Procurement. Each evaluator will use their numbered scoring form for scoring all submittals. Evaluators must ensure that the name of the Firm being evaluated is written in the appropriate box to identify the Firm to whom the ratings and comments v. 10-4-19

belong. Using the criteria categories in **Evaluation of Eligible Submittals** above, each submittal will be given a **preliminary score** for each of the criteria. The Reviewer should provide comments for each section which support the rating. Reviewers should not seek to write down everything that the submittal contains. Rather, Reviewers should first determine the rating and then answer why they feel the rating is warranted.

The review, preliminary scoring, and comments MUST be completed prior to the Selection Committee Meeting and must be sent to the Procurement Facilitator by the deadline given in order to make efficient and effective usage of all Selection Committee Members time.

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS FOR EVALUATING AVAILABILITY

Through working with the consultant industry, they asked that when considering their availability, we consider more than merely the number of projects they have listed. With this in mind we have allowed space in their SOQ for the respondents to provide a narrative in their ability. This narrative will allow them to discuss how the organization of the team, including the PM and Key Team Leaders can deliver the project on schedule given their workload capacity. It also recognizes that some individuals may be able to meet the schedule while carrying heavier project workloads and allows them to discuss the advantages of their team and the abilities of their team members which will enable the project to meet the proposed schedule. If there is no schedule provided, they can discuss the advantages of the team and abilities of the team members which will enable the project to move as expeditiously as possible. You **MUST** consider this narrative along with the workload table when rating the SOQs. You **MUST NOT** merely look at the workload table solely for making the rating decision.

Evaluation Meeting:

All completed Scoring Forms with the preliminary scores and comments for each criteria of each firm, must be brought to the Selection Committee Meeting planned for Monday, May 04, 2020. The completed forms must be turned in at the conclusion of the meeting.

Prior to the meeting, the Facilitator will use the scores and subsequent ranks to determine where the majority of the discussion should be focused. Generally, the majority of the discussion will center on the top submittals. The Selection Committee will discuss and determine a final committee rating for each criteria and will provide summary comments as to why the Committee feels the rating is warranted.

The final rankings will be used to determine the three to five Finalists who will proceed and have their scores carried forward to Phase II of the evaluation.

It is important to note, that all evaluation scoring, notes, and comments will be subject to open records and there is a very high likelihood they will be reviewed by a wide variety of individuals. For this reason, it is extremely important to adhere to all guidelines and suggestions contained in this Guide for Selection Committee Members.

Phase II

Evaluation of Technical Approach and Past Performance

- Finalists will be required to submit a written response which must detail the Technical approach (including design concepts and use of alternative methods).
- **Past Performance - Procurement will be checking references and will provide the results of the reference checks to the Selection Committee for review. The Selection Committee will also be allowed to share and review any other documented information made available for consideration regarding the Firm's performance on any project/contract, along with the reference checks to provide a group rating with comments.**

With the increased lack of responses to the reference checks, Procurement is requesting that prior to attending the Phase II meeting that each of the selection committee members perform the following action to add to the past performance discussion.

- The Selection Committee should be prepared to share personal work experience while working with each shortlisted firm, provide project P.I. number and any performance issues, concerns and/or positive feedback about the Prime Consultant and its team that may hinder or improve their overall rating for past performance.
- Selection committee members that do not have any personal prior work experience with any of the shortlisted firms, must seek additional documented material through discussion with their Office Management, CMIS (Vendor evaluation), inter-office documentation (emails, written correspondence, cure letters, etc.) to help aid in the discussion during the Phase II meeting.

Submittals and Past Performance information must be read/considered thoroughly with careful attention to the presence of required submittal content. The reader should keep the evaluation criteria in mind when assessing each submittal. As Reviewers read the responses, they will make notes in the submittals and must be prepared to discuss their position in the Selection Committee Meeting for Phase II. **The review and notes MUST be completed prior to the Selection Committee Meeting.**

Evaluation Meeting:

All notes must be brought to the Selection Committee Meeting planned for Monday, July 27, 2020. The Selection Committee will discuss and determine a final committee rating for each criteria and will provide summary comments as to why the Committee feels the rating is warranted. The Committee will assign the following ratings:

- Poor = Does Not have minimum qualifications/availability
- Marginal = Meets Minimum qualifications/availability but one or more major considerations are not addressed or is lacking in some essential aspects
- Adequate = Meets minimum qualification/availability and is generally capable of performing work
- Good = More than meets minimum qualifications/availability and exceeds in some aspects
- Excellent = Fully meets qualifications/availability and exceeds in several or all areas

FINAL SCORING AND SELECTION

The scores from Phase I and Phase II will be added together, and a final overall ranking will be determined and provided for Selection Committee approval.

GDOT SELECTION COMMITTEE PRELIMINARY SCORING AND RANKING OF SUBMITTALS					
Solicitation Title:	Bridge Bundle #1 – 2020 Engineering Design Services	1	American Engineers, Inc.		
Solicitation #:	RFQ-484-040220, Contract 2	2	Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.		
PHASE I - Individual Committee Member Preliminary Scoring based on Published Criteria		3	Moffatt & Nichol, Inc.		
(This Page For GDOT Use)		4	EXP US Services Inc.		
		5	RS&H, Inc.		
SUBMITTING FIRMS		(RANKING)		6	Freese and Nichols, Inc.
		Sum of		7	Infrastructure Consulting & Engineering, PLLC
		Individual	Group	8	Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.
		Rankings	Ranking	9	STV Incorporated
				10	Barge Design Solutions, Inc.
Alfred Benesch & Company	44	20		11	Holt Consulting Company, LLC
American Engineers, Inc.	6	1		12	Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.
Atlas Technical Consultants, LLC	67	27		13	CDM Smith Inc.
Barge Design Solutions, Inc.	30	10		14	TranSystems Corporation
Bridgefarmer & Associates, Inc.	73	28		15	Qk4, Incorporated
CDM Smith Inc.	33	13		16	T.Y. Lin International, Inc.
CPL Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architect and Surveyor, D.P.C.	59	26		17	Hussey, Gay, Bell & DeYoung, Inc.
Cranston Engineering Group, P.C.	54	25		18	NV5 Engineers and Consultants, Inc.
EFK Moen, LLC	44	21		19	Long Engineering, Inc.
EXP US Services Inc.	19	4		20	Alfred Benesch & Company
Freese and Nichols, Inc.	20	6		21	EFK Moen, LLC
Holt Consulting Company, LLC	30	11		22	Pond & Company
Hussey, Gay, Bell & DeYoung, Inc.	35	17		23	Neel-Schaffer, Inc
Infrastructure Consulting & Engineering, PLLC	26	7		24	Mead & Hunt, Inc
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	27	8		25	Cranston Engineering Group, P.C.
Long Engineering, Inc.	41	19		26	CPL Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architect and Surveyor, D.P.C.
Mead & Hunt, Inc	50	24		27	Atlas Technical Consultants, LLC
Moffatt & Nichol, Inc.	13	3		28	Bridgefarmer & Associates, Inc.
Neel-Schaffer, Inc	46	23			
NV5 Engineers and Consultants, Inc.	35	18			
Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.	6	2			
Pond & Company	46	22			
Qk4, Incorporated	35	15			
RS&H, Inc.	19	5			
STV Incorporated	30	9			
TranSystems Corporation	35	14			
T.Y. Lin International, Inc.	35	16			
Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.	31	12			

Evaluation Criteria

Experience and Qualifications
Resources and Workload Capacity

Evaluator 1

<i>Maximum Points allowed =</i>	300	200	Phase One Evaluator 1 Individual	
SUBMITTING FIRMS	▼	▼	Total Score	Ranking
Alfred Benesch & Company	Marginal	Excellent	275	13
American Engineers, Inc.	Good	Excellent	425	2
Atlas Technical Consultants, LLC	Marginal	Marginal	125	26
Barge Design Solutions, Inc.	Marginal	Good	225	17
Bridgefarmer & Associates, Inc.	Marginal	Adequate	175	23
CDM Smith Inc.	Adequate	Adequate	250	15
CPL Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architect and Surveyor, D.P.C.	Poor	Marginal	50	28
Cranston Engineering Group, P.C.	Marginal	Adequate	175	23
EFK Moen, LLC	Marginal	Marginal	125	26
EXP US Services Inc.	Adequate	Excellent	350	6
Freese and Nichols, Inc.	Marginal	Excellent	275	13
Holt Consulting Company, LLC	Marginal	Adequate	175	23
Hussey, Gay, Bell & DeYoung, Inc.	Marginal	Good	225	17
Infrastructure Consulting & Engineering, PLLC	Good	Excellent	425	2
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	Excellent	Good	450	1
Long Engineering, Inc.	Adequate	Good	300	10
Mead & Hunt, Inc	Adequate	Good	300	10
Moffatt & Nichol, Inc.	Adequate	Excellent	350	6
Neel-Schaffer, Inc	Marginal	Good	225	17
NV5 Engineers and Consultants, Inc.	Marginal	Good	225	17
Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.	Good	Good	375	4
Pond & Company	Adequate	Excellent	350	6
Qk4, Incorporated	Adequate	Adequate	250	15
RS&H, Inc.	Adequate	Excellent	350	6
STV Incorporated	Adequate	Good	300	10
TranSystems Corporation	Marginal	Good	225	17
T.Y. Lin International, Inc.	Poor	Excellent	200	22
Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.	Good	Good	375	4
<i>Maximum Points allowed =</i>	300	200	500	%

GDOT Solicitation #:	RFQ 484-040220	Phase of Evaluation:	PHASE I - Preliminary Ratings
1			
Evaluation Committees should assign Ratings (options and explanation for ratings below) to each Section. Comments must be written in the boxes provided and should justify the rating assigned.			
Poor = Does Not have minimum qualifications/availability = 0% of the Available Points Marginal = Meets Minimum qualifications/availability but one or more major considerations are not addressed or is lacking in some essential aspects = Score 25 % of Available Points Adequate = Meets minimum qualification/availability and is generally capable of performing work = 50% of Available Points Good = More than meets minimum qualifications/availability and exceeds in some aspects =75% of Available Points Excellent = Fully meets qualifications/availability and exceeds in several or all areas = 100% of Available Points			
Firm Name: Alfred Benesch & Company			
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%		Assigned Rating	Marginal
PM - projects listed were large scale and one had PE starting in 2024, PI had typos and several did not include bridge projects; Roadway KTL -projects listed were not relevant to scope of projects in RFQ, one project not complete and other was an intersection improvement; Bridge KTL - has experience with local bridge design, and several SR over river/creek crossings - some have not Let yet. PRIME exp - only one project involved the PM, one had \$54 million CST - not relevant for this request. They did mention the approach and that they had reviewed the sites and noted possible lengthy detours -			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%		Assigned Rating	Excellent
Availability for all members was ample and their team was covered for subs/DBE. Immediate availability for Bridge lead.			
Firm Name: American Engineers, Inc.			
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%		Assigned Rating	Good
PM - was actually the PM for two local bridge projects in GA that are complete; Roadway KTL - same two local bridges completed; Bridge KTL - one of local bridge projects, but certainly capable. PRIME exp - all KTLs on most of the projects and relevant and complete local road/bridge over water projects.			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%		Assigned Rating	Excellent
Availability for all members + 39 other team members behind KTLs; subs looked good too.			
Firm Name: Atlas Technical Consultants, LLC			
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%		Assigned Rating	Marginal
PM - No experience with bridge projects in design or PM - none are completed ; Roadway KTL - capable but projects provided were not relevant to current scope ; Bridge KTL - capable, but not relevant or appropriate scope. PRIME exp - mentioned DB local bridges, but were not mentioned in the KTL section, and other local road/bridge project for a county project was listed, but no KTLs were involved. PAGE D-2 -"PM has significant experience with bridge replacement projects", but none were listed during the experience portion.			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%		Assigned Rating	Marginal
All KTLs look fairly full; even with final plans removed from monthly commitment they don't have as much availability; reference a deputy PM; that doesn't sound like they have the best team to put forward?			
Firm Name: Barge Design Solutions, Inc.			
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%		Assigned Rating	Marginal
PM - provided bridge bundles for experience- these projects are not complete and are for SR projects; PSRs for projects show Gresham Smith as Prime. This statement should state clearly their role and not try to insinuate that they are the lead, which is how it read to me. Roadway KTL - has some experience with local bridge over water, but hasn't let yet; Bridge KTL - bridge bundles listed (SR projects) and haven't let yet, discussed ABD techniques - they will not be needed for this contract. PRIME exp - most of examples were over RR or bridge bundles with SR bridges and not completed yet, even TDOT was listed not complete. not all of KTLs listed in provided projects. They did mention their approach to the projects and used "best value" and "efficiently evaluate - limited to replacing the bridge". appreciate the recognition of the goal, but felt like they should have been more explicit defining the roles of the experience vs. prime or subm with another firm.			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%		Assigned Rating	Good
Availability for all members appears to be ample.			

Firm Name:	Bridgefarmer & Associates, Inc.	
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	Marginal
<p>PM - most of experience provided were older projects that were not relevant, ie. Reviewin estimates, utility plans, BFI's...no actual design experience listed; Roadway KTL - local bridge experience (no GDOT), but over water and some over RR; Bridge KTL - local road/bridge experience, but no info on cost/time/when. PRIME exp - no PM listed on any of the Prime experience and one project is way outside the scope of this contract - one is a RR bridge and the one in Runnels is applicable but not all members were involved. The narrative states that Miller Ogello will coordinate most technical items and Rachel will oversee all areas of the contracts due to her extensive background of working within GDOT...the way that's written implies that the PM put forward cannot handle the technical questions.</p>		
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	Adequate
<p>Availability for PM is of course excellent, but the narrative states that she will not be coordinating on technical items... other members are available, but bridge lead is quite full and questions about Texas jobs and availability.</p>		
Firm Name:	CDM Smith Inc.	
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	Adequate
<p>PM - experience was outside the scope - bridge bundles listed but these are not completed yet ; Roadway KTL - experienced, but projects provided not totally relevant ; Bridge KTL - bridge bundles mentioned, but not complete yet - in preliminary plans. PRIME exp - this firm lists the same bridge bundles as Barge - but neither one is spelling out who is prime/sub and what exact responsibilities are - i shouldn't have to guess or wonder. I did like that there was a mention of the new PProgram and it's new requirements. - an acknowledgment of research.</p>		
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	Adequate
<p>Narrative lists Justin Banks as a Bridge engineer - misleading and possibly intentionally misleading. He was a hydraulics engineer. Workload has room for availability.</p>		
Firm Name:	CPL Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architect and Surveyor, D.P.C.	
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	Poor
<p>PM - projects provided were over the scope and not bridges over water or were unsubstantiated on roles in the project ; Roadway KTL - says experienced with rural 2 lane bridges, but projects provided don't confirm ; Bridge KTL - projects provided were over RR or at grade crossing, other projects listed, but their specific role was not defined. PRIME exp - projects provided were 2 SR over water; others not completed yet or in design and other projects were not relevant</p>		
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	Marginal
<p>PM and Roadway looked overbooked for availability, but bridge KTL has availability.</p>		
Firm Name:	Cranston Engineering Group, P.C.	
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	Marginal
<p>PM - no bridge projects listed for experience 1 as a QA/QC ; Roadway KTL - bridge project with local /box beam experience ; Bridge KTL - experience with POND was relevant. PRIME exp - KTL were involved and projects were completed, not all super relevant to this scope</p>		
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	Adequate
<p>Availability for all members of the team appear to be able to accommodate the needs - with subs as well.</p>		

Firm Name:	EFK Moen, LLC	
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	Marginal
<p>PM - opening paragraph states that he will lead our team for the culvert/bridge replacement projects - don't know? Projects provided don't provide info on time/cost, etc ; Roadway KTL - provided relevant projects, but role was unclear; Bridge KTL - no relevant projects of bridges over water provided. PRIME exp - three projects listed are relevant but only the PM was listed no other KTLs involved - bridge lead was not listed in prime experience</p>		
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	Marginal
<p>Availability of PM and bridge lead is questionable and very busy -</p>		

Firm Name:	EXP US Services Inc.	
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	Adequate
<p>PM - several bridge projects provided, one PI was wrong and the other was completed in-house GDOT? ; Roadway KTL - county road bridge over water projects ; Bridge KTL - no off system experience provided - no PIs given. PRIME exp - projects were very stretching and not cohesive team member involvement; appreciated that they had visited the bridges and expressed approach.</p>		
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	Excellent
<p>Availability for all members looks very good and has a large resource pool as well.</p>		

Firm Name:	Freese and Nichols, Inc.	
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	Marginal
<p>PM - several local projects listed (not GDOT) but no times or completion to verify, etc ; Roadway KTL - relevant and practical projects provided; Bridge KTL - relevant, but projects not complete yet. PRIME exp - projects provided did not showcase the KTLs - strong PM and roadway, bridge capable, but team seems complicated- not cohesive - not all included in examples (Kim Chapman did not work in the bridge office - misleading)</p>		
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	Excellent
<p>Availability appears to be excellent.</p>		

Firm Name:	Holt Consulting Company, LLC	
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	Marginal
<p>PM - experience listed not complete yet and some off-system as roadway; Roadway KTL - current, ongoing projects - widenings ; Bridge KTL - outside our scope; large scale projects with multiple bridges - just need practical. PRIME exp - no bridge lead involved in any of the projects provided; 1 local and 3 SRs but all projects are active or ongoing.</p>		
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	Adequate
<p>Availability of all seems adequate, but bridge lead is very active in SCDOT projects...concerns?</p>		

Firm Name:	Holt Consulting Company, LLC	
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	Marginal
<p>PM - experience listed not complete yet and some off-system as roadway; Roadway KTL - current, ongoing projects - widenings ; Bridge KTL - outside our scope; large scale projects with multiple bridges - just need practical. PRIME exp - no bridge lead involved in any of the projects provided; 1 local and 3 SRs but all projects are active or ongoing.</p>		
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	Adequate
<p>Availability of all seems adequate, but bridge lead is very active in SCDOT projects...concerns?</p>		

Firm Name:	Holt Consulting Company, LLC	
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	Marginal
<p>PM - experience listed not complete yet and some off-system as roadway; Roadway KTL - current, ongoing projects - widenings ; Bridge KTL - outside our scope; large scale projects with multiple bridges - just need practical. PRIME exp - no bridge lead involved in any of the projects provided; 1 local and 3 SRs but all projects are active or ongoing.</p>		
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	Adequate
<p>Availability of all seems adequate, but bridge lead is very active in SCDOT projects...concerns?</p>		

Firm Name:	Holt Consulting Company, LLC	
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	Marginal
<p>PM - experience listed not complete yet and some off-system as roadway; Roadway KTL - current, ongoing projects - widenings ; Bridge KTL - outside our scope; large scale projects with multiple bridges - just need practical. PRIME exp - no bridge lead involved in any of the projects provided; 1 local and 3 SRs but all projects are active or ongoing.</p>		
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	Adequate
<p>Availability of all seems adequate, but bridge lead is very active in SCDOT projects...concerns?</p>		

Firm Name:	Hussey, Gay, Bell & DeYoung, Inc.		
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 36%	Assigned Rating	→→	Marginal
<p>PM - examples of experience not totally relevant and mention Justin Banks - needed?; Roadway KTL - good relevant experience, SCDOT; Bridge KTL - grade crossings for relevancy and not completed projects yet. PRIME exp - no bridge involvement in prime experience - overscope and RR bridges</p>			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	→→	Good
<p>Availability looks acceptable - team is heavy on subs?</p>			
Firm Name:	Infrastructure Consulting & Engineering, PLLC		
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	→→	Good
<p>PM - no PIs found for verification; current bridge bundles are not complete and other projects not within current scope of this request ; Roadway KTL - very relevant experience with off-system bridges; Bridge KTL - off-system bridge experience. PRIME exp - no PM involvement in Prime experience but projects provided were relevant mostly.</p>			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	→→	Excellent
<p>Availability of KTLs looks very good.</p>			
Firm Name:	Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.		
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	→→	Excellent
<p>PM - 3 SR projects in rural souther ga; let in June 19, morgan county projects all complete, bridge bundles not complete; Roadway KTL - local off-system experience ; Bridge KTL - SR experience but bridge bundles not complete. PRIME exp - KTLs show are the ones provided in projects- morgan county replacments excellent</p>			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	→→	Good
<p>Availability of bridge lead is excellent and PM and Road area also acceptable.</p>			
Firm Name:	Long Engineering, Inc.		
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	→→	Adequate
<p>PM - relevant experience, some ongoing; some city let and others ADOT let; Roadway KTL - bridge bundles not complete yet and FFPR support not super relevant ; Bridge KTL - experienced but projects not relevant. PRIME exp - KTL involvement with Cobb county and LIBP with Atkins</p>			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	→→	Good
<p>Availability for KTL looks good - name dropping needed? Paul Liles or Bill Ingalsbe?</p>			

Firm Name:	Mead & Hunt, Inc		
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Adequate
PM - relevant experience listed in SCDOT hard to confirm not all relevant mostly widenings; Roadway KTL - DB local bridge experience with Neel Shafer; Bridge KTL - capable but projects provided not relevant. PRIME exp - only provided bridge lead on Prime projects, most outside of scope however, provided a review /approach for projects location and concerns.			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Good
Availability for all looks good based on when projects will be rolling off of their responsibility.			

Firm Name:	Moffatt & Nichol, Inc.		
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Adequate
PM - completely capable and experienced, but projects provided not completely relevant of complete ; Roadway KTL - some local bridge experience; Bridge KTL - some local experience - more involved. PRIME exp - projects provided are not completed - only SR projects, however provided a location of contract projects and acknowledgment of region/area.			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Excellent
Shows ample availability and sound team.			

Firm Name:	Neel-Schaffer, Inc		
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Marginal
PM - clearly has experience and knowledge about GDOT policies, but unclear about PM experience/relevant projects ; Roadway KTL - SR projects with Holt and unfinished ; Bridge KTL - much DB experience with local road/bridges w/mead and hunt. PRIME exp - only have bridge lead in the PRime experience; DB experience is local but is a different process than what this contract is for.			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Good
Team looks good and overall available.			

Firm Name:	NV5 Engineers and Consultants, Inc.		
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Marginal
PM - relevant experience with SR bridges not complete yet; no PIs were provided some complete but not local experience; Roadway KTL - experience with local projects; Bridge KTL - experience not completed projects or with local projects. PRIME exp - good KTL involvement on SR projects and with RR, but not completed yet.			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Good
Team availability looks good and resources to back up.			

Firm Name:	NV5 Engineers and Consultants, Inc.		
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Marginal
PM - relevant experience with SR bridges not complete yet; no PIs were provided some complete but not local experience; Roadway KTL - experience with local projects; Bridge KTL - experience not completed projects or with local projects. PRIME exp - good KTL involvement on SR projects and with RR, but not completed yet.			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Good
Team availability looks good and resources to back up.			

Firm Name:	NV5 Engineers and Consultants, Inc.		
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Marginal
PM - relevant experience with SR bridges not complete yet; no PIs were provided some complete but not local experience; Roadway KTL - experience with local projects; Bridge KTL - experience not completed projects or with local projects. PRIME exp - good KTL involvement on SR projects and with RR, but not completed yet.			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Good
Team availability looks good and resources to back up.			

Firm Name:	NV5 Engineers and Consultants, Inc.		
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Marginal
PM - relevant experience with SR bridges not complete yet; no PIs were provided some complete but not local experience; Roadway KTL - experience with local projects; Bridge KTL - experience not completed projects or with local projects. PRIME exp - good KTL involvement on SR projects and with RR, but not completed yet.			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Good
Team availability looks good and resources to back up.			

Firm Name:	Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.		
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Good
PM - proven experience with two local projects - other bridge bundles are not completed; Roadway KTL - same project as PM; Bridge KTL - capable but bridge bundles not completed yet. PRIME exp - good representation of team / KTL in projects provided Mention of minor projects is good in narrative, but ABC techniques is not likely for these projects...			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Good
Team is extensive and availability is good especially with 111 staff.			

Firm Name:	Pond & Company		
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Adequate
PM - some local TIA experience - are they completed and one is IHD bridge (?); Roadway KTL -one project with no PI to verify; Bridge KTL - QA/QC is not design and not much local experience. PRIME exp - only PM was listed; KTL not as cohesive or represented in the Prime experience. Researched projects - and didn't present the "other bridge bundles" as experience because they are the subs and not complete yet - appreciated			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Excellent
Headquartered in Augusta with 300+ employees and overall availabilty is excellent.			

Firm Name:	Ok4, Incorporated		
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Adequate
PM - one local bridge with GDOT and one local with county other projects over the top for this scope; Roadway KTL - no great relevant roadway/bridge experience over water ; Bridge KTL - local experience and involved/capable . PRIME exp - most Prime experience was PM involvement, no one else except Bridge Bundle which is not complete			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Adequate
Availability for all members seems adequate, not overly available.			

Firm Name:	RS&H, Inc.		
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Adequate
PM - most experience not relevant, major transportation studies, enhancements - marginal for relevancy ; Roadway KTL - active Bridge Bundles, but Ware County project is rural like; Bridge KTL - SR work and one local project . PRIME exp - KTL represented except PM and projects seemed relevant. Mentioned project locations and stated an offset alignment for replacement - haven't even gone through concept yet...			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Excellent
Availability for all members looks very open.			

Firm Name:	RS&H, Inc.		
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Adequate
PM - most experience not relevant, major transportation studies, enhancements - marginal for relevancy ; Roadway KTL - active Bridge Bundles, but Ware County project is rural like; Bridge KTL - SR work and one local project . PRIME exp - KTL represented except PM and projects seemed relevant. Mentioned project locations and stated an offset alignment for replacement - haven't even gone through concept yet...			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Excellent
Availability for all members looks very open.			

Firm Name:	RS&H, Inc.		
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Adequate
PM - most experience not relevant, major transportation studies, enhancements - marginal for relevancy ; Roadway KTL - active Bridge Bundles, but Ware County project is rural like; Bridge KTL - SR work and one local project . PRIME exp - KTL represented except PM and projects seemed relevant. Mentioned project locations and stated an offset alignment for replacement - haven't even gone through concept yet...			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Excellent
Availability for all members looks very open.			

Firm Name:	RS&H, Inc.		
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Adequate
PM - most experience not relevant, major transportation studies, enhancements - marginal for relevancy ; Roadway KTL - active Bridge Bundles, but Ware County project is rural like; Bridge KTL - SR work and one local project . PRIME exp - KTL represented except PM and projects seemed relevant. Mentioned project locations and stated an offset alignment for replacement - haven't even gone through concept yet...			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Excellent
Availability for all members looks very open.			

Firm Name:	STV Incorporated		
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Adequate
<p>PM - Bridge Bundles listed but not complete, most projects listed are SR no local experience; Roadway KTL - only SR bridge bundles mentioned not complete; Bridge KTL - morgan county bridge bundles - not bridge designer for projects (?) . PRIME exp - KTL listed in Prime experience but not all bridge projects and not all completed. No mention of project locations or approach.</p>			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Good
<p>Based on availability and staff numbers.</p>			

Firm Name:	TranSystems Corporation		
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Marginal
<p>PM - relevant experience was SR projects only and were not complete yet; Roadway KTL - SR bridges - all complete but widenings (?); Bridge KTL - SR projects. PRIME exp - not all KTLs involved - no PM involved in any - example projects are outside of scope - discusses project approach - not sure if agree with approach/tone?</p>			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Good
<p>PM is new so excellent other KTLs are good for availability.</p>			

Firm Name:	T.Y. Lin International, Inc.		
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Poor
<p>PM - provided relevant local experience but kept comparing them to the projects in Wilkes and Putnam counties - no QAQC... two were local counties and 1 was GDOT not completed, other project listed is a POND job as prime - should mention role in project and not mislead?; Roadway KTL - projects provided were not very relevant and his role was Prelim design only and QC; Bridge KTL - projects provided out of scope and not complete, copied paragraphs from roadway lead and not all actually doing the design and one project listed is \$85 million - out of scope..., etc.. PRIME exp - all prime experience shows on going work. KTLs involved except for PM (new?). They provided information about the existing bridges but it was the wrong information - maybe the wilkes/putnam sites?</p>			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Excellent
<p>Not many current projects; resources look abundant - large firm.</p>			

Firm Name:	Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.		
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Good
<p>PM - Scope Schedule and Budget over and over again - but what/how did you monitor those items?? Some projects relevant others not.; Roadway KTL - LIBP experience - excellent; Bridge KTL - same narrative as PM - out of scope for this contract. PRIME exp - KTLs ok - no bridge included overall good.</p>			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Good
<p>Staff support looks good and availability is also good.</p>			

Firm Name:	T.Y. Lin International, Inc.		
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Poor
<p>PM - provided relevant local experience but kept comparing them to the projects in Wilkes and Putnam counties - no QAQC... two were local counties and 1 was GDOT not completed, other project listed is a POND job as prime - should mention role in project and not mislead?; Roadway KTL - projects provided were not very relevant and his role was Prelim design only and QC; Bridge KTL - projects provided out of scope and not complete, copied paragraphs from roadway lead and not all actually doing the design and one project listed is \$85 million - out of scope..., etc.. PRIME exp - all prime experience shows on going work. KTLs involved except for PM (new?). They provided information about the existing bridges but it was the wrong information - maybe the wilkes/putnam sites?</p>			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Excellent
<p>Not many current projects; resources look abundant - large firm.</p>			

Firm Name:	Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.		
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Good
<p>PM - Scope Schedule and Budget over and over again - but what/how did you monitor those items?? Some projects relevant others not.; Roadway KTL - LIBP experience - excellent; Bridge KTL - same narrative as PM - out of scope for this contract. PRIME exp - KTLs ok - no bridge included overall good.</p>			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Good
<p>Staff support looks good and availability is also good.</p>			

Firm Name:	Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.		
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating		Good
<p>PM - Scope Schedule and Budget over and over again - but what/how did you monitor those items?? Some projects relevant others not.; Roadway KTL - LIBP experience - excellent; Bridge KTL - same narrative as PM - out of scope for this contract. PRIME exp - KTLs ok - no bridge included overall good.</p>			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating		Good
<p>Staff support looks good and availability is also good.</p>			

Evaluator 2

Evaluation Criteria	Experience and Qualifications		Resources and Workload Capacity	
	Maximum Points allowed = 300	200	Phase One Evaluator 2 Individual	
SUBMITTING FIRMS	▼	▼	Total Score	Ranking
Alfred Benesch & Company	Good	Adequate	325	14
American Engineers, Inc.	Good	Good	375	1
Atlas Technical Consultants, LLC	Good	Adequate	325	14
Barge Design Solutions, Inc.	Good	Good	375	1
Bridgefarmer & Associates, Inc.	Adequate	Adequate	250	23
CDM Smith Inc.	Good	Good	375	1
CPL Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architect and Surveyor, D.P.C.	Good	Adequate	325	14
Cranston Engineering Group, P.C.	Good	Adequate	325	14
EFK Moen, LLC	Good	Good	375	1
EXP US Services Inc.	Good	Good	375	1
Freese and Nichols, Inc.	Good	Good	375	1
Holt Consulting Company, LLC	Good	Good	375	1
Hussey, Gay, Bell & DeYoung, Inc.	Good	Good	375	1
Infrastructure Consulting & Engineering, PLLC	Adequate	Adequate	250	23
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	Good	Adequate	325	14
Long Engineering, Inc.	Good	Adequate	325	14
Mead & Hunt, Inc	Adequate	Adequate	250	23
Moffatt & Nichol, Inc.	Good	Good	375	1
Neel-Schaffer, Inc	Adequate	Adequate	250	23
NV5 Engineers and Consultants, Inc.	Good	Good	375	1
Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.	Good	Good	375	1
Pond & Company	Adequate	Adequate	250	23
Qk4, Incorporated	Good	Adequate	325	14
RS&H, Inc.	Good	Good	375	1
STV Incorporated	Good	Adequate	325	14
TranSystems Corporation	Good	Adequate	325	14
T.Y. Lin International, Inc.	Good	Good	375	1
Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.	Adequate	Adequate	250	23
Maximum Points allowed =	300	200	500	%

GDOT Solicitation #:	RFQ 484-040220	Phase of Evaluation:	PHASE I - Preliminary Ratings
Evaluator #: 2			
Evaluation Committees should assign Ratings (options and explanation for ratings below) to each Section. Comments must be written in the boxes provided and should justify the rating assigned.			
<p>Poor = Does Not have minimum qualifications/availability = 0% of the Available Points Marginal = Meets Minimum qualifications/availability but one or more major considerations are not addressed or is lacking in some essential aspects = Score 25 % of Available Points Adequate = Meets minimum qualification/availability and is generally capable of performing work = 50% of Available Points Good = More than meets minimum qualifications/availability and exceeds in some aspects =75% of Available Points Excellent = Fully meets qualifications/availability and exceeds in several or all areas = 100% of Available Points</p>			
Firm Name: Alfred Benesch & Company			
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%		Assigned Rating	Good
<p>PM 27 Years of Experience - Good, Displays quite a bit of experience with relevant type projects Previous PM experience with GDOT projects. RDL - Adequate - Over 18 years experience, experience with relevant type projects. BL - Adequate - Over 16 years experience, former GDOT bridge engineer, experience with similar type projects - bridges over water. PRIME - 70 years of design experience with various DOT's across the country. Experience with similar scope projects, including the use of alternate delivery methods. Industry accolades. - Excellent</p>			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%		Assigned Rating	Adequate
<p>Only one QA/QC person although narrative suggests an intensive QA/QC process. Available support staff, org chart depth sufficient. Not looking for project will be delivered in this section. Project team located in same location. Team has the capacity to take on additional work. - Adequate</p>			
Firm Name: American Engineers, Inc.			
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%		Assigned Rating	Good
<p>PM - 23 years experience; experience with relevant projects, Good. RDL - 23 years experience; experience with similar scope projects, served as roadway lead for 2 of the 3 projects listed. - Adequate. Bridge - 34 years of structural experience led the structural design of over 200 bridges; wealth of experience as a bridge lead on similar scope projects, BS and MS CE - Excellent. PRIME - Display a good amount of relevant experience. Previous experience with GDOT projects. - Good</p>			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%		Assigned Rating	Good
<p>Good org chart, shows multiple QA/QC team and depth. Will provide the needed staff to meet the project schedule. Hasn't been awarded GDOT project since 2016 and has the availability. A great deal of resources available if needed. Project team has quite a bit of availability - good.</p>			
Firm Name: Atlas Technical Consultants, LLC			
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%		Assigned Rating	Good
<p>PM - 15 years experience and had previous GDOT experience. Most experience as a design lead vs PM. - Adequate. RDL - 24 years experience, 20 in CE and Project Management; experience with multiple DOT's; served as PMRDL on 3 of 4 projects presented - Good. BL - 34 years of PM and structural experience, one of the projects listed included 38 bridges where team member was lead; a wealth of experience with relevant projects - Excellent. Prime has experience with both similar scope and more complex type projects. - Good.</p>			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%		Assigned Rating	Adequate
<p>Multiple QC/QA, there is an error in this section. It references 5 projects but there are only 2 projects in this contract. Project team has the capacity to take on additional work. - Adequate</p>			
Firm Name: Barge Design Solutions, Inc.			
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%		Assigned Rating	Good
<p>PM - 21 Years experience, experience as a PM on similar scope projects including previous bridge bundles. - Good. RDL - 12 Years experience, previous experience with GDOT projects. Experienced as design lead on similar scope projects including previous bridge bundles. - Adequate. BL - 20 Years experience, previous experience with GDOT and TDOT. Experience with ABC. Previous experience as a lead bridge engineer. MS and BD in CE - Good. Prime - Previous experience with similar scope projects in the southeast. Experience coordinating with federal agencies. Experience with previous bridge bundle. - Good.</p>			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%		Assigned Rating	Good
<p>Sufficient org chart depth. The team and support staffed located locally; discussed project execution plan, monitoring scope creep. In depth discussion of resources. Team has capacity for additional work. Consultant evaluated workload capacity for upcoming fiscal years.</p>			
Firm Name: Bridgefarmer & Associates, Inc.			
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%		Assigned Rating	Adequate
<p>PM - 28 year of industry experience, previous GDOT experience leading teams. No mention of experience managing projects through PDP process - Adequate. RDL - 20 years experience. Experience with, was roadway lead on 2 of the 3 projects presented, experience with similar scope projects - Adequate. Bridge - 7 years experience, can't tell from what is presented if member's role was more than a member of the design team vs lead. No experience shown as bridge design lead - Marginal. Prime - Experience with a state DOT, experience with relevant projects - Adequate</p>			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%		Assigned Rating	Adequate
<p>QA/QC depth in the org chart, overall a little hard to follow. Much of the staff is in Texas and will support the three team members. Members have capacity for additional work.</p>			
Firm Name: CDM Smith Inc.			
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%		Assigned Rating	Good
<p>PM - 14 years industry experience, previous experience with GDOT projects using PDP. Previous experience managing schedule and leading team on a previous bridge bundle; experience managing scope, schedule and budget. PM will develop project execution plan, experience with relevant type projects - Good. Bridge - 25 years experience- BS and MS in CE. Bridge lead on previous GDOT bundle. Experience with similar scope projects. 19 years of previous experience delivering bridge projects for GDOT, only list on project as bridge lead - Adequate. RDL - 15 years experience, previous experience as lead on similar scope projects including GDOT bridge bundle. Experience with GDOT policy and procedure - Adequate. Prime - Previous experience with similar scope projects, previously awarded GDOT bridge bundle,. Listed GDOT Program Manager as GDOT contact vs. consultant PM for GDOT. - Good</p>			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%		Assigned Rating	Good
<p>Org Chart - 1 QA/QC person, necessary depth, close proximity to GDOT general office. Discussion of project communication plan. Focus on schedule and risk mitigation. Team has availability to take on additional work.</p>			

Firm Name: CPL Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architect and Surveyor, D.P.C.		
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	Good
<i>PM - 25 years of experience, not all projects presented are relevant scope projects, however PM does have experience with similar projects. Previous experience with GDOT projects. Previous experience as a bridge engineer. - Good. Bridge - 21 years experience, previous experience in various states. Not sure of role in most of projects listed. Listed as lead one of the projects presented. - Adequate. RDL - 20 years experience, previous experience with GDOT projects, experience with resolving constructability issues. Lead engineer on 1 project listed - Adequate. Prime - previous experience with relevant projects of similar and more complex scope - Good.</i>		
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	Adequate
<i>Org - multiple QA/QC person team, sufficient depth throughout; dedicating resources to achieve schedule, experience with innovative delivery. PM has 37% capacity available, other team member have availability.</i>		
Firm Name: Cranston Engineering Group, P.C.		
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	Good
<i>PM - 16 Years experience. Previous experience with GDOT projects of similar scope. - Adequate. RDL - 16 years experience, presented one relevant project. Presented median replacement and sidewalk project. - Adequate. Bridge - 34 Years experienced, experience with FLDOT, experience with similar scope projects - Good. Prime - previous experience with similar scope projects. Experience delivering projects through the PDP - Good</i>		
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	Adequate
<i>Sufficient org chart depth, multiple QA/QC staff, have plan in place should additional resource be required. Working relationship with District 2.</i>		
Firm Name: EFK Moen, LLC		
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	Good
<i>PM - 26 years experience, structural experience, previous experience with other state DOT's, experience with relevant scope projects. - Good. RDL - 14 years experience, only listed one project as roadway design lead. Experience with similar scope projects - Adequate. Bridge - 26 Years experience; experience with various types of bridges, lead engineer for similar projects, worked with previous GDOT project - Good. Prime - Worked with other state DOT's; experience with similar scope projects. Previous experience with more complex projects - Good</i>		
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	Good
<i>Sufficient org chart depth, multiple QA/QC staff, have plan in place should additional resource be required. Quite a few years of experience, over 20 years.</i>		
Firm Name: EXP US Services Inc.		
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	Good
<i>PM - 30 years experience, currently PM for bridge replacement projects, managed similar scope projects. experience delivering projects using PDP process. - Good. RDL - 25 Years experience, managed relevant type projects, manage projects through PDP - Good. 20 years experience structural design and construction. Completed over 40 bridges, previous experience as lead engineer - Good. Prime - Experience with relevant projects and project delivery via PDP, 2 of the 3 team members have worked together on previous projects. - Good.</i>		
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	Good
<i>Org chart - QC/QA team, a great amount depth, 30 employees in Atlanta with a wide range of expertise, over 3500 employees to pull from if needed; committed to advancing project schedule. Team has the additional capacity to take on additional work.</i>		
Firm Name: Freese and Nichols, Inc.		
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	Good
<i>PM - 38 years of experience, previous experience with GDOT projects and other Georgia agencies, experience with similar scoped projects as well as more complexed projects - Excellent. RDL - 30 years of experience, served a roadway lead on 2 of the projects presented, previous experience with GDOT projects - adequate. Bridge - 31 years of structural experience, registered PE in 11 states, experience with similar scope projects as well as more complexed projects - Good. Prime - Experience with relevant projects, 5 of 7 projects presented were relevant - Adequate</i>		
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	Good
<i>Org - multiple QA/QC person team, sufficient depth; work will be split between 2 project teams. Has available resources to add to project if necessary. All team member have the capacity to take on additional work.</i>		
Firm Name: Holt Consulting Company, LLC		
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	Good
<i>PM - 21 years experience, experience as a PM on similar scope projects as well as more complexed projects, 50 total. Previous experience with GDOT projects - Good. RDL - 23 years experience, previous experience with GDOT projects. Experienced as design lead on similar scope projects, 2 of 3 presented - Good. Bridge - BS, MS, PhD in CE, 30 years experience, experience as lead with similar scope projects - excellent. Prime - experience with similar scope projects, 2 of 3 team members have worked together on previous projects - Good</i>		
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	Good
<i>Org - Multiple QA/QC personnel, sufficient org chart depth; project team has capacity to take on additional work, however unsure of how much Bridge lead has. 4 projects are awaiting NTP. Has the resources to deliver project.</i>		
Firm Name: Hussey, Gay, Bell & DeYoung, Inc.		
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	Good
<i>PM - 25 years of experience, previous experience with multiple state DOT's. Experience with similar scope projects as PM, previous GDOT experience - Good. RDL - 26 years of experience, experience with relevant projects. - Good. Bridge - Previous experience with similar scope projects as bridge lead, including GDOT bridge bundles, designed more than 40 bridges - Good. Prime - Experience with similar type projects. 2 of 3 team members have previously worked together - Adequate</i>		
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	Good
<i>Org - QA/QC depth, sufficient depth overall, has available resources to assist in project delivery; project team has the capacity to take on additional work.</i>		

Firm Name:	Infrastructure Consulting & Engineering, PLLC	
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	Adequate
<i>PM - 19 years experience, experience as PM on previous relevant projects including GDOT bridge bundle - Good. RDL - 12 years experience, experience with similar scope projects, previous GDOT bridge bundle, served a roadway and hydraulic engineer on past projects. Has not yet served as lead roadway engineer - Adequate. Bridge - 15 years experience, previous experience with GDOT bridge bundles, experience with relevant projects - Good. PRIME - Experience with similar scope projects - GDOT bridge bundles - Adequate</i>		
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	Adequate
<i>Org - Multiple QA/QC staff members, sufficient depth, has additional resources available to help deliver if needed. Project team has capacity available to take on additional work.</i>		
Firm Name:	Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	Good
<i>PM - 24 Years experience, experience with similar scope projects, previous experience with GDOT projects and project delivery following PDP - Good. RDL - 18 years of experience, previous experience with GDOT projects of similar scope, serving as lead on GDOT bridge bundle - Adequate. Bridge - 23 years of experience, bridge lead on GDOT bridge bundles, experience with similar scope projects - Good. Prime - Experience with similar scope projects, project team has worked together previously - Good</i>		
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	Adequate
<i>Org - only 1 QA/QC staff member, sufficient depth, no discussion of available additional resources. Team available to take on additional work.</i>		
Firm Name:	Long Engineering, Inc.	
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	Good
<i>PM - 32 years of experience, previous experience as PM on similar scope projects; PM on previous GDOT bridge bundle, familiar with GDOT policy and procedure - Good. RDL - 28 years of experience, similar scope projects as lead engineer - Good. Bridge - 37 years experience, experience with various types of bridges as lead. Previous experience with GDOT projects - Excellent. Prime - Experience with similar projects, project team has worked together previously - Good</i>		
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	Adequate
<i>Org - 2 QA/QC staff members, sufficient depth. Understand accelerated bridge construction, ability to assign multiple design groups as needed, project team has capacity available to take on additional work.</i>		
Firm Name:	Mead & Hunt, Inc	
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	Adequate
<i>PM - 30 years of experience, worked with SCDOT and GDOT, previous relevant work experience as PM and roadway lead. Experience with GDOT policy and procedure - Good. RDL - 28 years experience, has served as roadway lead for similar scope projects, including GDOT previous bridge bundle. Experience with multiple local agencies, familiar with GDOT policy and procedure. - Good. Bridge - 16 years of experience, previous experience with other state DOT's, service as a lead engineer on one project - Adequate. PRIME - experience with similar scope projects, some of project team has worked together previously. Previous experience with state DOT's. - Adequate</i>		
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	Adequate
<i>Org - 1 QA/QC staff member, sufficient depth, additional regional locations to provide additional staff if needed. Team has capacity to take on additional work.</i>		
Firm Name:	Moffatt & Nichol, Inc.	
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	Good
<i>PM - Over 20 years experience, experience with similar scope project. Has served in PM and lead engineer capacity. Experience with GDOT policy and procedure - Adequate. RDL - Not sure of years of experience, however does have relevant experience as a lead design engineer, previous experience with GDOT projects - Adequate. Bridge - over 30 years experience, relevant experience with similar scope projects as bridge design lead - Good. Prime - Experience with similar scope projects, project team has history or working together - Good</i>		
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	Good
<i>Org - Sufficient depth, has the available resources to deliver project, offices in Savannah and Atlanta. Team has availability to handle additional work. Established QA/QC process.</i>		
Firm Name:	Neel-Schaffer, Inc	
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	Adequate
<i>PM - 30 years of experience, projects presented do not detail PM's role other than responsible person in charge. Relevant previous experience. Familiar with GDOT policy and procedure. No mention of managing scope, schedule or budget - Good. RDL - 21 years experience, has worked on 50 projects in GA, experience with similar scope projects. Only one project presented as a lead roadway engineer role, others are as PM - Adequate. Bridge - 15 years experience, previous experience with similar scope projects, including GDOT bridge bundle. - Adequate. Prime - Experience with similar scope projects, project team has not previously worked together - Adequate</i>		
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	Adequate
<i>Org chart - sufficient depth, has available resources to deliver project. Project team has available capacity to deliver.</i>		
Firm Name:	NVS Engineers and Consultants, Inc.	
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	Good
<i>PM - Over 30 years experience, mentioned use of a Project Management Plan, PM on two previous GDOT bridge bundles, experience with relevant scope projects, experience with GDOT policy and procedure - Good. RDL - 18 years experience, design lead on one project. Previous experience with similar scope projects - Adequate. Bridge - 31 years experience, lead on two GDOT bridge bundles, experience with similar scope projects - good. Prime - Previous experience with GDOT bridge bundles, project team has work together previously - Good</i>		
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	Good
<i>Org chart sufficient depth, regional resources available if needed to help deliver project, a team with no learning curve. Team has available capacity to deliver project.</i>		

Firm Name:	Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.	
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	Good
<i>PM - 15 years experience and had previous GDOT experience. Previous experience as a PM with relevant projects. Received hi scores on PFR/FFPR's and delivered projects ahead of schedule - Good. RDL - 21 years experience, experience with multiple GDOT' projects and similar scope projects - good. Bridge - 33 years of structural experience, relevant experience with similar scope projects - good. PRIME - Previous experience with similar scope projects, project team worked together previously - good</i>		
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	Good
<i>Sufficient org chart depth, knowledgeable and has the resources to deliver project. Project team has capacity to take on additional work.</i>		
Firm Name:	Pond & Company	
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	Adequate
<i>PM - 20 years experience, has served as both PM and lead engineer, experience with relevant type projects - Adequate; RDL - 12 years experience; experience with similar scope projects - Adequate. Bridge - 34 years experience, experience with similar scope projects, experience with FDOT as well as local municipalities - Good. Prime - Experience with similar scope projects - Adequate.</i>		
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	Adequate
<i>Org chart sufficient depth, regional resources available if needed to help deliver project. Team has available capacity to deliver project.</i>		
Firm Name:	Qk4, Incorporated	
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	Good
<i>PM - 30 years of experience, previous experience with similar scope projects, including GDOT bridge bundle. Experience with GDOT policy and procedure, all project presented conveys PM's experience - Excellent. RDL - 15 years experience, previous experience with similar scope projects, GDOT bridge bundle - Good. Bridge - 30 years experience, experience as lead on similar scope projects, experience with GDOT projects. Prime - Experience with similar scope projects, GDOT bridge bundle, 2 team members have previously worked together. - Adequate</i>		
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	Adequate
<i>Org chart sufficient, has available resources to deliver project. Team has available capacity to deliver project.</i>		
Firm Name:	RS&H, Inc.	
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	Good
<i>PM - 24 years experience, experience with similar scope projects, previous experience with GDOT projects and project delivery following PDP - Good. RDL - 17 years of experience, previous experience with GDOT projects of similar scope, serving as lead - Adequate. Bridge - 35 years of experience. Experience with similar scope projects and use of GDOT policy and procedure. - good. Prime - Experience with similar scope projects including GDOT bridge bundle, members of team have worked together on previous projects - Good</i>		
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	Good
<i>Org chart sufficient, will provide needed resources to deliver project, team has available capacity to deliver project. Understand importance of the schedule, scope, budget.</i>		
Firm Name:	STV Incorporated	
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	Good
<i>PM - 27 years experience, 18 as PM, experience with similar scope projects and more complex scope projects. Experience with following GDOT policy and procedure - Good. RDL - RDL - 11 years experience, lead in all project presented, experience with similar scope projects - Adequate. Bridge - MS and BS in CE, 15 years experience, experience with GDOT projects, bridge bundles, - Adequate. Prime - Experience with similar scope GDOT projects, project team has worked together previously - good</i>		
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	Adequate
<i>Org Chart - 1 QA/QC person, necessary depth. Will use additional resources as needed to maintain schedule and deliver project. Project team has available capacity to take on new work.</i>		
Firm Name:	TranSystems Corporation	
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	Good
<i>PM - 25 years experience, relevant experience with GDOT projects, experience with GDOT policy and procedures - Adequate. RDL - 26 years experience, experience with similar scope projects. Familiar with GDOT policy and procedure - Good. Bridge - 26 years experience, similar scope projects - Good; Prime - experience with similar scope projects, some project team member have worked together on previous projects - good</i>		
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	Adequate
<i>Sufficient org chart depth, experienced staff, previous experience with subconsultants.</i>		
Firm Name:	T.Y. Lin International, Inc.	
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	Good
<i>PM - 23 years experience; experience with relevant projects, Good. RDL - 20 years experience; experience with similar scope projects, experience with GDOT project, familiar with GDOT policy and procedure - Good. Bridge - 18 years of experience, bridge lead on one project - Adequate. PRIME - Experience with similar scope project. Some team members have worked together.</i>		
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	Good
<i>Org chart 1 QA/QC staff member, will use additional staff if necessary to deliver project, committed to the schedule. Team has capacity to take on additional work.</i>		
Firm Name:	Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.	
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications – 30%	Assigned Rating	Adequate
<i>PM - 32 years of experience, previous experience as PM on similar scope projects; familiar with GDOT policy and procedure - Good. RDL - 13 years of experience, similar scope projects as lead engineer - Adequate. Bridge - 20 years experience, previous experience with similar scope projects, familiar with GDOT policy and procedure - Adequate. Prime - Experience with similar scoped projects - Adequate</i>		
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity – 20%	Assigned Rating	Adequate
<i>1 QA/QC in org chart, will provide additional resources as needed. Team capacity currently available, however based on the projected years the capacity may no longer be available.</i>		

Evaluator 3

Evaluation Criteria	Experience and Qualifications		Resources and Workload Capacity	
	Maximum Points allowed = 300	200	Phase One Evaluator 3 Individual	
SUBMITTING FIRMS	▼	▼	Total Score	Ranking
Alfred Benesch & Company	Adequate	Adequate	250	17
American Engineers, Inc.	Excellent	Adequate	400	3
Atlas Technical Consultants, LLC	Marginal	Adequate	175	27
Barge Design Solutions, Inc.	Adequate	Good	300	12
Bridgefarmer & Associates, Inc.	Marginal	Adequate	175	27
CDM Smith Inc.	Adequate	Adequate	250	17
CPL Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architect and Surveyor, D.P.C.	Adequate	Adequate	250	17
Cranston Engineering Group, P.C.	Adequate	Adequate	250	17
EFK Moen, LLC	Adequate	Adequate	250	17
EXP US Services Inc.	Adequate	Good	300	12
Freese and Nichols, Inc.	Good	Adequate	325	6
Holt Consulting Company, LLC	Good	Adequate	325	6
Hussey, Gay, Bell & DeYoung, Inc.	Adequate	Adequate	250	17
Infrastructure Consulting & Engineering, PLLC	Excellent	Good	450	1
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	Adequate	Good	300	12
Long Engineering, Inc.	Adequate	Adequate	250	17
Mead & Hunt, Inc	Adequate	Adequate	250	17
Moffatt & Nichol, Inc.	Good	Adequate	325	6
Neel-Schaffer, Inc	Good	Adequate	325	6
NV5 Engineers and Consultants, Inc.	Adequate	Adequate	250	17
Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.	Excellent	Good	450	1
Pond & Company	Adequate	Adequate	250	17
Qk4, Incorporated	Good	Adequate	325	6
RS&H, Inc.	Adequate	Good	300	12
STV Incorporated	Good	Adequate	325	6
TranSystems Corporation	Good	Good	375	4
T.Y. Lin International, Inc.	Adequate	Good	300	12
Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.	Good	Good	375	4
Maximum Points allowed =	300	200	500	%

GDOT Solicitation #:	RFQ 484-040220	Phase of Evaluation:	PHASE I - Preliminary Ratings
Evaluator #: 3			
Evaluation Committees should assign Ratings (options and explanation for ratings below) to each Section. Comments must be written in the boxes provided and should justify the rating assigned.			
Poor = Does Not have minimum qualifications/availability = 0% of the Available Points Marginal = Meets Minimum qualifications/availability but one or more major considerations are not addressed or is lacking in some essential aspects = Score 25% of Available Points Adequate = Meets minimum qualification/availability and is generally capable of performing work = 50% of Available Points Good = More than meets minimum qualifications/availability and exceeds in some aspects = 75% of Available Points Excellent = Fully meets qualifications/availability and exceeds in several or all areas = 100% of Available Points			
Firm Name: Alfred Benesch & Company			
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating	→	ADAR
Comments: PM - 4 widening, 1 bypass, 2 m roads - some inc br/h2o + FEMA OK = ADAR/GOOD ROW - 1 N.L. ROAD, 1 INTIMP, 1 INTCH ROAD, V6 ROWS BUT NO BR/H2O? = ADAR/MARG BR - 5 S.O. BRs/H2O w/ dec rows = good			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating	→	ADAR
Comments: 1 QA, NO TEAMS, OK depth 70-60-0			
Firm Name: American Engineers, Inc.			
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating	→	GOOD/EXC
Comments: PM - HAS SIG. ENG EXP (23TA/46AN) PM EXP = 2 WID w 2 BRs, 2 S.O. BR/H2O = GOOD/EX ROW - 2 BRs/H2O + WID w/ BRs w/ GRs = GOOD/EX w V6 ROWS BR - 1 BR/H2O, 2 WID w/ BR/H2O = GOOD/EX PM + ROWS ON MULT PROJ.			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating	→	ADAR
Comments: GOOD DEPTH, MULT. QA, TEAM APP FOR BR + H2O. ANDRE THUS "8" - 30 - "10"			
Firm Name: Atlas Technical Consultants, LLC			
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating	→	MARG
Comments: PM - ONLY 1 PROJ LAST PM ROLE = BYPASS, NO BR, WA = MARG. ROW - 3 BR/H2O WAS = ADAR/GOOD BR - 3 BR/H2O, 2 DB = ADAR/GOOD			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating	→	ADAR
Comments: OK depth, 3 QA, NO TEAMS, DEP PM. NARR CONFLICTS w/ ONG RE: TEAMS. BR USE OF ADD ROWS. 110-120-116			
Firm Name: Barge Design Solutions, Inc.			
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating	→	ADAR
Comments: PM - 1 S.O. BR/H2O OUTSIDE GA, 2 BR BUNDLES (ONLY 2 ASPM), 2 WIDOW, IMPROVEMENTS, N.L. ROADWAY. WRS, 2 INCL FEMA = ADAR ROW - 2 BR BUNDLES, 1 S.O. BR/H2O, 1 INT ROW WAS = ADAR/GOOD BR - 1 BR BUNDLE w/ 3/H2O - 1 S.O. BR/H2O, 13600 PROJ = ADAR			
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating	→	GOOD

PROJ CAP →

2 QA, GOOD DEPTH, NO TEAMS

Comments

referrals = "excellent"?

flexibility

12-24-50

Awesome job projecting to future

Firm Name:

Bridgefarmer & Associates, Inc.

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%

Assigned Rating

MARGINAL

PM - 2013 OF 4 PROGS SHOW ARE CST? 1 AS DE? UNSURE OF ANY PRECISE PM ROLE = MARG/ADJ
ROWY - 3 WIDOW PROS IN TX WITH GOOD ROLYS. NO ADDRESSES. HOW TO LEARN - POP, EDS, DPM, EFLC NAME/ROLE

Comments

BR - 2 TX BRs/H2O, 1 RR NO MENTION OF LEARNING QA = MARG/ADJ

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%

Assigned Rating

ADJ

QA TEAMS IS GOOD. NO TEAM APP, DEPTH SEEMS LIGHT FOR ROWY/BR, ANNOTS ARE SUPER CONFUSING NOT LOGICAL

Comments

PARAGRAPHS WOULD BE BETTER

0-80-130

↓
20

Firm Name:

CDM Smith Inc.

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%

Assigned Rating

ADJ

PM - 1 BR BUND W/ BR/H2O W/ GR, 1 MC, 1 REASON, 1 WIDOW GR = ADJ/CONS

Comments

ROWY - 1 BR BUND W BR/??, 1 COMM, 1 WIDOW, DEC ROLYS = ADJ

BR - 1 BR BUND W 11 BR/H2O, 1 BR W BR/??? = ADJ

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%

Assigned Rating

ADJ

1 QA NO TEAMS OR GOOD DEPTH

Comments

good dir on sched. control role

58-60-74

Firm Name:

CPL Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architect and Surveyor, D.P.C.

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%

Assigned Rating

ADJ

PM - ENG EXP ON 4 BR/H2O. AS PM - 3 W IDMS, 6 "IMPROVS" LEARN, 1 PAR DEC ROLYS = good/adj

Comments

ROWY - 2 BRs/H2O, light rlys = ADJ

BR - 2 BR/RR + 1 BR/RR ... WITH AN BR/H2O BELOW WHO DOES? = ??

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%

Assigned Rating

ADJ

2 QA, NO TEAMS, GOOD DEPTH

Comments

QA/QC dir good

getting up
108-70-
108

Firm Name:

Cranston Engineering Group, P.C.

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%

Assigned Rating

ADJ

PM - LIST 3 WIDOWS + 7 MEDIAN REPL. AS PM. ONLY 1 BR BUT NOT PM = ADJ/WRG

Comments

ROWY - lead on 2 PROS - MEDIAN + 1 BR/H2O WRG = ADJ

BR - 3 BRs/H2O WRG = ADJ

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%

Assigned Rating

ADJ

EXT. QA. 2 TEAM APP W/ DEPTH. NO KTRC SETUP ON 1 PLOT

14-93-96

Comments



Firm Name: EFK Moon, LLC	Assigned Rating	ADAR
---------------------------------	-----------------	------

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating	ADAR
<p>PM - 2 BRs/H2O OUTSIDE GA, MIXED ROLES + 1 BR/H2O AS ENG, OTHER LEADS PROJECTS, PDP MW = ADAR</p> <p>ROWY - ONLY 1 POSSIBLE KR ROLE ON EXP LEADS w/WR = ADAR</p> <p>BR - NO BR (H2O?) = ADAR</p>		

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating	ADAR
<p>2 TEAM APP w/ GOOD DEPTH</p> <p>Comments</p>		100-80-136 HGT

Firm Name: EXP US Services Inc.	Assigned Rating	ADAR
--	-----------------	------

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 35%	Assigned Rating	ADAR
<p>PM - SHOWS 3 BR/H2O + OTHERS IN ENG SECTION. w/WR. NO PROSS IN PM SECTION = ADAR</p> <p>ROWY - 2 BRs/H2O w/WR, 1 e PM = ADAR</p> <p>BR = 4 BRs/H2O w GRs = GOOD</p>		

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating	GOOD
<p>2 TEAMS, GOOD DEPTH, NICE QA TEAM</p> <p>Comments</p>		4-4-40
<p>NOD TO SUTED, LOCKDOWN BY FFR</p>		

Firm Name: Freese and Nichols, Inc.	Assigned Rating	GOOD
--	-----------------	------

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating	GOOD
<p>PM - MUST BR/H2O PART + OTHER BIGGER w/BR, D-B BR BUNDLE - V6 ALSO = GOOD</p> <p>ROWY - ONLY 2 OF 5 AND REL. w/WR. ROLLS - ADAR (BR/H2O)</p> <p>BR - 4 BRs/H2O (1 core only, 1 team only) - ADAR/GOOD</p>		

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating	ADAR
<p>ORG WANT OK DEPTH, KRIS NOT SHOWN OVER BOTH TEAMS</p> <p>Comments</p>		8-40-44
<p>see use of add'l resources</p>		

Firm Name: Holt Consulting Company, LLC	Assigned Rating	GOOD
--	-----------------	------

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating	GOOD
<p>PM - 3 BRs/H2O w EXE ROLES + 3 AS ENG w/WR = excellent</p> <p>ROWY - 2 BRs/H2O + 1 WRIDEN w BR/H2O + GRs = excellent</p> <p>BR - 3 BRs/H2O OUTSIDE GA BUT DOES EXP PDR "KNOW" = ADAR</p>		

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating	ADAR
<p>2 EXT QA, 2 TEAM, OK DEPTH</p> <p>Comments</p>		70-80-20
<p>EXT QA PLSS ... WHAT IS A PM SCORE?</p>		

Comments



Firm Name: Hussey, Gay, Bell & DeYoung, Inc.	
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 50%	Assigned Rating: → <u>ADAR</u>
<p>PM - 2 BRs/H2O, 1 BR/BR, 2 BRs, 1 WIDOW w BR/H2O, weak roles - ADAR</p> <p>ROWY - 1 WIDOW w BR/H2O, 2 SCOOT PROT - 1 WIDOW w BR/H2O, 1 SUB/H2O WRS = ADAR</p> <p>BR - 2 BR/H2O, 1 BUNDLE BR w BR/H2O GR5 = GOOD/EX * WHY REMAIN IN RED ABOUT PROTS? ADAR</p>	
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating: → <u>ADAR</u>
<p>3 QA TEAM - <u>EX</u>, 2 TEAM APP ACROSS 5 DISC, good depth</p> <p>new incl proj controls disc = OK</p>	64-20-75

Firm Name: Infrastructure Consulting & Engineering, PLLC	
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating: → <u>EXCEL</u>
<p>PM - 1 BR BUNDLE w BR/H2O, 3 S.O BR/H2O, 1 WIDOW w 2 BR/H2O GOOD ROWS = good/ex</p> <p>ROWY - 3 BR BR/H2O (BUNDLE w BR/H2O), 1 WIDOW. GOOD ROWS = GOOD/EX</p> <p>BR - 2 <u>DB</u> BR BUNDLES, 2 S.O. BR/H2O GOOD ROWS = GOOD</p>	
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating: → <u>GOOD</u>
<p>2 TEAM APP ACROSS DISC, GOOD DEPTH, 2 QA</p> <p>good disc on team approach, em, multiten exp</p>	0-16-64

Firm Name: Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating: → <u>ADAR</u>
<p>PM - 4 BRs/H2O, 3 BR BUNDLES, BR w BR except 1 = ADAR/GOOD + 1 BR/BR</p> <p>BR - 2-3 BUNDLES, INC LOTS BR/H2O = GOOD ROWS = PM?</p> <p>ROWY - 1 BR BUNDLE AS LDF (w 3 BR/H2O) + S.O BR + WIDOWS = ADAR</p>	
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating: → <u>GOOD</u>
<p>ONLY 1 QA, OK (depth QA. EMU LINS = good</p> <p>2018 BUNDLE - BR CRT APPD ON TIME. GOOD DIS OF T.O. PROC + EMU LIAISON. QA DIS DEEP AND GOOD</p>	46-33-76

Firm Name: Long Engineering, Inc.	
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating: → <u>ADAR</u>
<p>PM - 1 BR BUNDLE w many BR/H2O, S.A. BR/H2O, most BR/H2O = ADAR GOOD PROS, WEAK ROWS</p> <p>ROWY - 2 BR BUNDLES/H2O, 1 S.A. BR/H2O MIXED ROWS = ADAR</p> <p>BR - 3 BRs/H2O, 1 ADAR BUND, T.O.S = ADAR LEADS</p>	
B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating: → <u>ADAR</u>
<p>2 QA, 2 TEAMS OK depth</p>	68-80-72 TIX

Comments



Firm Name: Mead & Hunt, Inc.	Assigned Rating	ADAR
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating	ADAR

PM - 2 BRs/H2O, 4 WIDENS, GOOD ROLLS DESCRIBED BUT MORE ROLLS THAN PM? = ADAR/GOOD
 ROWS - 2 DB BR BUNDLES, 2 INTX ROLLS (1 as REVIEW) = ADAR
 2 NS PM?
 BR - ONLY 2 PMs - 2 WIDENS, 1 INWOOD BR/H2O = ADAR

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating	ADAR
--	-----------------	------

1 QA, NO TEAMS, GOOD DEPTH
 OK, SOME PMs ARE STUFF.
 86-120-85

Firm Name: Moffatt & Nichol, Inc.	Assigned Rating	ADAR/GOOD
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating	ADAR/GOOD

PM - 2 BRs/H2O, 4 INTX IMP, 2 WIDENS AS DEC PM, DEC ROLLS = ADAR/GOOD
 ROWS - 1 BUNDLE, 1 S.O., 1 BR BUNDLE W PM/H2O, 1 EXPRESS, 1 WIDEN, DEVS LPS? WIDENS = ADAR
 BR - 3 BRs/H2O decent roles = good

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating	ADAR
--	-----------------	------

2 QA NO TEAMS good depth
 PM + ROWS + BR - NO WIDEN 1 PRIME
 TTX!
 56-24-71

Firm Name: Neel-Schaffer, Inc.	Assigned Rating	ADAR/GOOD
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating	ADAR/GOOD

PM - 20 PROS (BRs, MOST/H2O) BUT NO DESCRIPT OR ROLLS DEFS = ADAR
 ROWS - 3 BRs/H2O CW BRs. 2 SAY PM BUT SKILLSETS = GOOD
 BR - 2 RS/USO = 5 BRs OVER? DB BR BATCH, DB BRs, NO H2O? = GOOD/ADAR

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating	ADAR
--	-----------------	------

3 QA, CST ROLE, GOOD DEPTH, NO TEAMS
 GOOD HYDRANTS + ADV RES DISC,
 EXT QA
 0-70-115

Firm Name: NVS Engineers and Consultants, Inc.	Assigned Rating	ADAR
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating	ADAR

PM - 2 BR BUNDLES w MUST PM/H2O, WIDENS = ADAR (GOOD)
 ROWS - 3 BRs/H2O (2 OUTSIDE GR) WIDENS ROLLS = ADAR
 BR - 2 BR BUNDLES w 4 INTX BRs/H2O = GOOD/ADAR

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating	ADAR
--	-----------------	------

2 PMs APP, 2 QA/QC, OK depth
 100-40-40

Comments



Firm Name: Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.	Assigned Rating	BTX
--	------------------------	------------

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating	BTX
Comments PM - 3 S.O. BR/ltro + 7 BR/ltro w/ 4 BR/ltro ALL GR5 + ACTIONS = EXC ROWY - 4 S.O. BR/ltro, 2 BR/ltro w/ 2 BR/ltro GR5 = EXC BR = 3 S.O. BR/ltro, 2 BR/ltro w/ 2 BR/ltro + NEW = GOOD / EX BUT KTLs ON MULT PROJTS TOGET		

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating	GOOD
Comments 3 RA 2 TEAM APP, NO DEPTAT CONC, L&T + COST EST ROWY good env/dec des; good list of on time CR APPAL		48-82-58 THX!

Firm Name: Pond & Company	Assigned Rating	ADAR
----------------------------------	------------------------	-------------

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating	ADAR
Comments PM - 2 BR/ltro, 1 w/row w/FOR, 1 N.L. ROWY, 2 INTOR, weak roles = ADAR ROWY - 1 BR/ltro, 1 w/row, 2 FOR, weak roles = ADAR BR - 2 BR/ltro was = ADAR		

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating	ADAR
Comments 3 RATION BY DISC. TEAMS IN ROWY ONLY? NET BR? ADAR depth see env + hydr disc.		20-30-96

Comments

Firm Name: Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.	
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating \longrightarrow

Comments

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating \longrightarrow
--	-----------------------------------

Comments

Firm Name: Pond & Company	
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating \longrightarrow

Comments

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating \longrightarrow
--	-----------------------------------

Comments

Firm Name: CIV4 Incorporated	
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating \longrightarrow Good / <u>Good</u>

PM - 3 S.O. Bns/H2O, 7 BR/BNS, 2 WRO (w/BNS) GRS = Good
 ROW - 7 BR/BNS, 7 CON, 2 WRO WRS = ADAQ
 BR - 2 BNS/H2O, 7 BR/BR = ADAQ

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating \longrightarrow ADAQ
--	--

1 BR, tight depth
 good contract / sched des + QA
 71-74-84

Firm Name: RS&H Inc.	
A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating \longrightarrow <u>ADAQ</u> / Good

PM - VG REL EXP AS ENG - 3 BNS/H2O, AS PM - NOT SUPER REL. = WRO, SPATSCAPE = ADAQ
 1 BR/BR, WRS
 ROW - 7 BR/BNS W 4 BNS/H2O, MIXED ROWS, "POST DESIGN" = ? = ADAQ/GO
 BR - 2 BR/H2O, 7 BR WRO = ADAQ

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating \longrightarrow Good
--	--

GOOD QA/QA, 3 INC CST 2 TERM APD
 INC ENV SUPPORT
 VG PROT SPD. 2 TERM APD DISC W/ SCHED.
 40-88-30
 TAX!

Comments



Firm Name: <small>517 Inc. (notated)</small>	Assigned Rating	GOOD
---	-----------------	------

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating	GOOD
<p>PM - 1 BR BUND. W/ 4 BRs/H2O, 1 BR/RR, 1 INTERACT, 2 N.L. ROLES, SOME W/ BRs/H2O ROWY - \rightarrow SAME \rightarrow SAME, 7 PASSING, 1 WOOD, PER ROWS = GOOD BR - \rightarrow SAME \rightarrow SAME, PER BR LO. AND BUND = GOOD * PM + ER'S WORK ON MUST PASS PROJ SOL.</p>		

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating	ADAR
<p>2 TEAM APP, GOOD DEPTH, ENV & SUPPORT</p>		48 - 40 - 72
<p>GOOD DISC OF ENV WORK RWD</p>		

Firm Name: <small>IranSystems Corporation</small>	Assigned Rating	GOOD
--	-----------------	------

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating	GOOD
<p>PM - PM + ENG ERP ON 4 BRs/H2O W/ DEC. ROLES = GOOD ROWY - 3 BRs/H2O W/ RS = ADAR BR - 3 BRs/H2O (1 HAS 6 BRs) = GREEN</p>		

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating	GOOD
<p>2 TEAM APP W/ PITHS 10-ED. 2 QA, GOOD DEPTH</p>		10 - 52 - 58
<p>good disc/ env disc + app to br design - disc.</p>		

Firm Name: <small>T.Y. Lin International, Inc.</small>	Assigned Rating	ADAR
---	-----------------	-----------------

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating	ADAR
<p>PM - 1 BR/H2O, 4 OTHER WORK ON SAME PROJ, MANY W/ BR/H2O FROM ROWY - BR BUND W/ H2O, S.O. BR W/ DEC. ROLE = ADAR/GOOD BR - \rightarrow SAME \rightarrow SAME BYPASS W/ BR - ADAR/GOOD</p>		

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating	GOOD
<p>2 TEAMS OR DEPTH</p>		0 - 60 - 48
<p>good proj specifies framing to add res</p>		

Firm Name: <small>Vanessa Hungen Bruehl, Inc.</small>	Assigned Rating	GOOD
--	-----------------	------

A. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Experience and Qualifications - 30%	Assigned Rating	GOOD
<p>PM - 4 BRs/H2O, 1 WOOD, 1 LEADERSHIP/RR, OK ROLES + MUST PASS W/ FORM = GOOD ROWY - 2 BRs/H2O, 1 BR W/ BR/H2O + FORM, GR5 = GOOD BR = 2 BRs/H2O W/ GR5 = GOOD</p>		

B. Project Manager, Key Team Leader(s) and Prime's Resources and Workload Capacity - 20%	Assigned Rating	GOOD
<p>GOOD DEPTH QA BY DISC</p>		65 - 75 - 44
<p>ADD RES SECTION = GOOD DISC W/</p>		34R PROPOSAL IS AWESOME TTX!

GDOT SELECTION COMMITTEE SCORING AND OVERALL RANKING OF TOP SUBMITTALS FOR PHASE I

Solicitation Title:	<i>Bridge Bundle #1 – 2020 Engineering Design Services</i>	1	American Engineers, Inc.
Solicitation #:	<i>RFQ-484-040220, Contract 2</i>	1	Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.

PHASE I - Individual Committee Member Scoring and Overall Ranking based on Published Criteria FOR TOP FIFTEEN SUBMITTALS

(This Page For GDOT Use)

SUBMITTING FIRMS	(RANKING)		Ranking	Firm Name
	Score	Group		
			6	Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.
			6	STV Incorporated
			6	Qk4, Incorporated
			9	EXP US Services Inc.
			9	RS&H, Inc.
			9	Barge Design Solutions, Inc.
			9	TranSystems Corporation
American Engineers, Inc.	375	1	13	Freese and Nichols, Inc.
Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.	375	1	13	Holt Consulting Company, LLC
Moffatt & Nichol, Inc.	375	1	13	CDM Smith Inc.
EXP US Services Inc.	300	9		
RS&H, Inc.	300	9		
Freese and Nichols, Inc.	250	13		
Infrastructure Consulting & Engineering, PLLC	375	1		
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	325	6		
STV Incorporated	325	6		
Barge Design Solutions, Inc.	300	9		
Holt Consulting Company, LLC	250	13		
Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.	375	1		
CDM Smith Inc.	250	13		
TranSystems Corporation	300	9		
Qk4, Incorporated	325	6		

Evaluation Criteria

Experience and Qualifications
Resources and Workload Capacity

	Maximum Points allowed =	300	200	Phase One Scores and Group Ranking	
SUBMITTING FIRMS		▼	▼	Total Score	Ranking
American Engineers, Inc.	Good	Good	375	1	
Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.	Good	Good	375	1	
Moffatt & Nichol, Inc.	Good	Good	375	1	
EXP US Services Inc.	Adequate	Good	300	9	
RS&H, Inc.	Adequate	Good	300	9	
Freese and Nichols, Inc.	Adequate	Adequate	250	13	
Infrastructure Consulting & Engineering, PLLC	Good	Good	375	1	
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	Good	Adequate	325	6	
STV Incorporated	Good	Adequate	325	6	
Barge Design Solutions, Inc.	Adequate	Good	300	9	
Holt Consulting Company, LLC	Adequate	Adequate	250	13	
Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.	Good	Good	375	1	
CDM Smith Inc.	Adequate	Adequate	250	13	
TranSystems Corporation	Adequate	Good	300	9	
Qk4, Incorporated	Good	Adequate	325	6	
Maximum Points allowed =	300	200	500	%	

RFQ	RFQ-484-040220, Contract 2	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	American Engineers, Inc.	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications		Assigned Rating	Good
<p>American Engineers' Project Manager (PM) has 23 years relevant of experience with similar scoped projects that include over 46 bridge replacement projects. PM also listed local road projects Hunt Road over Mud Creek and Moody Road over Redding Branch that he managed. Bridge Key Team Lead (BKTL) represented a completed project that includes local road over water Baughs Cross Road over Mud Creek and has 34 years of experience. Road Key Team Lead (RKTL) shows experience with two (2) bridge replacements over water and one widening project that included bridges with good roles (included descriptions of project's phases and tasks) defined on projects. AEI as Prime, PM and Key Team Leaders (KTLs) have worked on multiple projects together in past. Listed similar scoped projects included above.</p>			
Resources and Workload Capacity		Assigned Rating	Good
<p>American Engineers's organizational chart shows good depth to complete project, good team approach for bridge, but not roadway and lists multiple QA/QC staff. PM and KTLs show availability; however PM and Bridge KTL shows zero hours for upcoming widening project.</p>			
RFQ	RFQ-484-040220, Contract 2	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications		Assigned Rating	Good
<p>Parsons Transportation's PM has 15 years of experience with relevant projects to include the project he listed, PI #542405-, which was a bridge replacement. PM has experience delivering GDOT projects following PDP, and also included good roles described and project achievements. BKTL currently working on SR bridge bundles that are not complete (currently in Prelim/concept phases). BKTL has 33 years of experience and is definitely knowledgeable of similar scoped projects and defined his role as lead well. RKTL shows experience with one (1) bridge bundle project that includes two (2) bridges over water and four (4) additional stand alone bridges over water) with good project roles defined. PM and KTLs have worked on multiple projects together.</p>			
Resources and Workload Capacity		Assigned Rating	Good
<p>Parsons Transportation's team looks extensive and their availability looks efficient. Firm took team approach across disciplines. Included three (3) QA roles and roles for constructability and cost estimating. Narrative included a good discussion of environmental design coordination.</p>			
RFQ	RFQ-484-040220, Contract 2	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	Moffatt & Nichol, Inc.	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications		Assigned Rating	Good
<p>Moffatt's PM has over 20 years of experience including experience with similar scoped projects such as SR 10 Loop @ Mid Oconee River. BKTL provided a local bridge project (Low Gap Rd over Tallullah River). RKTL showed experience with one (1) bridge bundle project to include bridge over water and a bypass with two bridges. Prime PM and KTLs are currently working together as a team on the bridge bundle projects. Moffatt changed PM after selection of short-listed firms (after review of key team leads the score resulted in score remaining Good). The PM Chris Marsengill is being replaced with Darren Wilton. Darren has experience with similar scoped projects in the role of project manager and Assistant PM, and has experience with processes related to GDOT projects. The evaluators agreed that Darren's experience and qualifications are similar to the original PM and agreed to keep score as Good.</p>			
Resources and Workload Capacity		Assigned Rating	Good
<p>Moffatt's organizational chart has sufficient depth, based on Narrative they can provide additional resources as needed to deliver the project and have an office located in Savannah that is close to the project location. Team shows good availability to complete project.</p>			

RFQ	RFQ-484-040220, Contract 2	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	EXP US Services Inc.	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications		Assigned Rating	Adequate
<p>EXP's PM has 30 years of experience, currently PM for bridge replacement projects to include PI #0000846. PM has previous GDOT experience and familiar with GDOT processes, policies and procedures. BKTL provided examples of bridges over water, did not provide PI Numbers, but projects listed appear to have similar scope to this project. RKTL showed experience with two (2) bridge replacements over water and included Stout Parkway over Gothords Creek as a similar project where he was Road Lead. Prime included projects that did not have great team representation on projects.</p>			
Resources and Workload Capacity		Assigned Rating	Good
<p>EXP's organizational shows team approach with good depth to complete project and included a QA role for each discipline. EXP has additional resources (with over 3500 employees) they can utilize. PM and KTLs have very strong availability.</p>			
RFQ	RFQ-484-040220, Contract 2	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	RS&H, Inc.	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications		Assigned Rating	Adequate
<p>RS&H's PM has 24 years of experience, has experience delivering projects following PDP. PM has previous experience with similar scoped projects (PI #132995-), as a project engineer but as PM has not worked on a bridge over water project. The three (3) projects provided by BKTL were similar in scope, local project for Chatham County shows he was engineer of record. He provided other projects that he designed or checked designs, but his role was not clearly defined. RKTL shows experience with one (1) bridge bundle that includes multiple bridges over water. Prime provided projects that the team consisted on with the KTLs, but not the PM.</p>			
Resources and Workload Capacity		Assigned Rating	Good
<p>RS&H's organizational chart has sufficient depth. In the narrative, they discussed that no detour would be required for PI #0016600, however the review team is unclear how this was determined prior to concept approval. The Team has the capacity and availability to complete the project.</p>			
RFQ	RFQ-484-040220, Contract 2	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	Freese and Nichols, Inc.	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications		Assigned Rating	Adequate
<p>Freese's PM has 38 years of experience with GDOT projects and other Georgia agencies, and similar scope projects to include Lower Roswell Road over Sope Creek. BKTL provided projects that were of similar scope to include local/county projects and PI #0008301, local bridge over water. RKTL shows experience with two (2) bridge replacements over water (local Let DB projects with TIA). Prime listed projects that did not include KTLs and many of the projects they listed were bridge rehabs instead of replacement.</p>			
Resources and Workload Capacity		Assigned Rating	Adequate
<p>Freese listed in their Narrative that the RKTL spent time working in GDOT bridge office, which seems misleading as RKTL worked with Bridge PMC only. Organizational chart has adequate depth and took team approach with bridge and roadway, however shows KTLs as members of one team instead of leading both teams. The team shows availability to complete the project.</p>			

RFQ	RFQ-484-040220, Contract 2	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	Infrastructure Consulting & Engineering, PLLC	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications		Assigned Rating	Good
<p>Infrastructure's PM has 19 years of experience as PM on relevant projects including GDOT bridge bundle PI #0013738 and PI #0013739. Has experience with following the PDP. BKTL shows very good experience based on two (2) DB bundles that were all local roads and all are close to completion, PI #0015523 and PI #0015524 have similar scope. RKTL shows experience with three (3) BB projects with bridges over water (includes DB) including PI #0015523, also defined roles well on project.</p>			
Resources and Workload Capacity		Assigned Rating	Good
<p>Infrastructure's organizational chart shows good depth and team approach across disciplines. The Team availability is good. Narrative included a good discussion on multi team approach, including PM working with multiple teams in the past, also mentioned including GDOT environmental services as sub consultant team member.</p>			
RFQ	RFQ-484-040220, Contract 2	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications		Assigned Rating	Good
<p>Kimley-Horn's PM has 24 years of experience and experience with similar scope projects, PI #0011678, and familiar with PDP, currently managing the 2016 BB #1 - C3. BKTL provided good representation of similar projects with bridges over water even though they were SRs (PI #0000678, PI #0000679, PI #0000680 in Coffee Co). RKTL shows experience with one (1) active BB, which includes three (3) stream crossings. Other projects listed role as PM and it was unclear if he was Road KTL also. KHA shows KTLs on most of the projects that were relevant and have similar scope.</p>			
Resources and Workload Capacity		Assigned Rating	Adequate
<p>Kimley-Horn's team availability is adequate. On 2018 BB #1 they were the only consultant to meet management concept approval milestone. The Narrative only discussed two (2) of the additional resources that would be used for project. Organizational chart has depth and included environmental liaison's role.</p>			
RFQ	RFQ-484-040220, Contract 2	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	STV Incorporated	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications		Assigned Rating	Good
<p>STV's PM has 27 years of experience and previous experience with relevant GDOT projects, currently serving as PM on GDOT 2016 BB #3, and has experience following the PDP. BKTL provided project PI #0013809 twice with two different roles (SR Brdg Eng and Lead Brdg Eng) and it's difficult to determine his experience with what he provided. RKTL showed experience with one (1) BB including multiple bridges over water and one (1) stand alone bridge replacement over RR. STV's PM and KTLs have worked together on projects listed.</p>			
Resources and Workload Capacity		Assigned Rating	Adequate
<p>STV's organizational chart took team approach across disciplines and included environmental support role, listed only one (1) QA/QC staff member. Team has additional resources and availability to deliver project.</p>			

RFQ	RFQ-484-040220, Contract 2	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	Barge Design Solutions, Inc.	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications		Assigned Rating	Adequate
<p>Barge's PM has 21 years of experience, including relevant experience with similar projects - BB #3 2016, C9 and BB #1 2018, C7. BKTL listed GDOT BB projects that are still in design phase. RKTL shows experience with two (2) BB projects including bridges over water and an additional stand alone bridge replacement project over water. Barge listed BB that is ongoing and the other projects presented were not of similar scope. On the BB projects, the team worked together.</p>			
Resources and Workload Capacity		Assigned Rating	Good
<p>Barge's organizational chart has good depth to complete project. Listed two (2) QA/QC roles for road and bridge. The team has good availability. Barge did an awesome job projecting availability for each year four years into the future. The Narrative discussed a project execution plan and monitoring scope creep.</p>			
RFQ	RFQ-484-040220, Contract 2	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	Holt Consulting Company, LLC	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications		Assigned Rating	Adequate
<p>Holt's PM has 21 years of experience and has experience as PM on similar scoped projects SR 47 over Little River bridge and is familiar with the PDP. BKTL provided three (3) projects in SC and referenced his experience with large scale projects; however projects provided were not of similar scope; experiences he has understanding of the PDP and GDOT processes, but did not include details as to how he acquired the understanding of the processes. RKTL shows experience with two (2) bridge replacement projects over water and a widening project that included bridge over water, he also defined his role on project. Holt listed relevant projects with PM and RKTL working together.</p>			
Resources and Workload Capacity		Assigned Rating	Adequate
<p>Holt's organizational chart shows two (2) team approaches for bridge and roadway and has adequate depth. Listed a two (2) person external QA team. PM and KTLs show availability, however PM and BKTL show zero hours for upcoming projects awaiting NTP.</p>			
RFQ	RFQ-484-040220, Contract 2	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications		Assigned Rating	Good
<p>VHB's PM has 32 years of experience, has previous GDOT experience, as well as local government, New Hope Road @ Alcovy River shows experience adhering to GDOT policies and procedures. BKTL provided PI #001381 as a project that he was lead designer, but project is not within scope. RKTL shows experience with two (2) bridge LIBP (low impact bridge) replacement projects over water and also an extension project with bridge over water that included FEMA coordination, Old Ellis Road extension and defined his role well for the projects. VHB listed good relevant project experience, but BKTL was not included in projects.</p>			
Resources and Workload Capacity		Assigned Rating	Good
<p>VHB's organizational chart shows sufficient depth to complete project. Listed QA role for each discipline, and projected availability for each year three (3) years into the future. The Narrative provided GEO Tech lead is imbedded part time at GDOT, OMAT which will assist with getting quality deliverables as a Team.</p>			

RFQ	RFQ-484-040220, Contract 2	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	CDM Smith Inc.	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications		Assigned Rating	Adequate
<p>CDM Smith's PM has 14 years of industry experience, previous experience with GDOT project following PDP, previous experience on GDOT 2016 BB #3, C11. BKTL provided experience working on active 2016 BB #3, C11, but other projects listed were not of similar scope. RKTL shows experience with same BB but other projects were not as relevant. CDM's most relevant project listed was the 2016 BB, in which the team all worked together.</p>			
Resources and Workload Capacity		Assigned Rating	Adequate
<p>CDM Smith's Narrative lists Justin Banks as Bridge Engineer, however his role at GDOT was Hydraulics Engineer. Their organizational chart shows sufficient depth to deliver project. It was unclear if the team's availability is listed accurately due to projects in Preliminary design phase showing very low hours.</p>			
RFQ	RFQ-484-040220, Contract 2	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	TranSystems Corporation	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications		Assigned Rating	Adequate
<p>TranSystems's PM has 25 years of experience, relevant experience with GDOT projects PI #0014897, has experience with GDOT policy and procedures. BKTL provided PI #0000301 as relevant project within scope which is SR project. RKTL shows experience with three (3) bridge replacement projects over water and a widening project with three (3) bridges over water. TranSystems provided projects that were relevant, but of larger scope (high volume roads with more construction costs than what this project entails). The PM has not worked with the Bridge and Road KTLs on projects listed by TranSystems.</p>			
Resources and Workload Capacity		Assigned Rating	Good
<p>TranSystem's organizational chart shows team approach in Road and Bridge, shows good depth to complete project, identified which teams will work on which PI numbers. Team shows good availability.</p>			
RFQ	RFQ-484-040220, Contract 2	PHASE 1 SUMMARY COMMENTS FOR TOP SUBMITTALS	
Firm	Qk4, Incorporated	# of Evaluators	
Experience and Qualifications		Assigned Rating	Good
<p>QK4's PM has 30 years of experience, experience with similar scope projects (PM for Black River Bridge in Chatham Co project received 2016 GPTQ Award in environmental category), demonstrates experience with the PDP, and I-20 @ Alcovy project received 2009 GPTQ Award. BKTL listed local experience with bridge project (Low Gap Road over Tallullah River). RKTL shows experience with 2016 BB #3 project. QK4 did not represent the KTLs in the projects provided, PM worked on all projects and only the RKTL worked on one (1) project.</p>			
Resources and Workload Capacity		Assigned Rating	Adequate
<p>QK4's organizational chart shows sufficient depth. Team shows adequate availability. The narrative provided discussion of contract timing with respective task orders.</p>			

SOQ AREA CLASS CHECKLIST

SOLICITATION #: RFQ-484-040220, Contract 2

SOLICITATION TITLE: Bridge Bundle #1 – 2020 Engineering Design Services

Primes and Subconsultants	3.01	3.12	4.01(a) Or	4.01(b)	4.04	5.01	5.02	5.08	6.01(a)	6.01(b)	6.02	6.05	9.01	Certificate Expires
American Engineers, Inc.	X	X	X		X	X	X		X		X		X	10/31/2022
Atkins North America, Inc.	X	X	X		X	X	X	X					X	5/10/2023
Long Engineering, Inc.	X	X	X		X	X	X	X					X	12/14/2020
MC Squared, Inc.									X	X	X	X		11/9/2020
Infrastructure Consulting & Engineering, PLLC	X	X	X		X				X	X	X		X	1/31/2022
CROY Engineering, LLC	X					X	X						X	7/13/2023
Surveying and Mapping, LLC						X	X	X						12/14/2020
Southeastern Engineering, Inc.	X	X				X	X						X	12/31/2021
Contour Engineering, LLC									X	X	X	X		3/12/2023
Moffatt & Nichol, Inc.	X	X	X		X								X	1/31/2022
Southeastern Engineering, Inc.	X	X				X	X						X	12/31/2021
KCI Technologies, Inc.	X	X	X		X	X	X	X					X	5/10/2023
Terracon Consultants, Inc.									X	X	X	X		5/31/2022
Freese and Nichols, Inc.	X	X	X		X				X	X			X	9/14/2020
Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.	X	X	X		X								X	12/14/2020
Contour Engineering, LLC									X	X	X	X		3/12/2023
Southeastern Engineering, Inc.	X	X				X	X						X	12/31/2021
T2 UES, Inc.						X	X	X						2/13/2023
United Consulting, LLC								X	X	X	X	X		7/13/2023
CH Acquisition Services, LLC														
Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.	X	X	X									X	X	4/30/2021
Heath & Lineback Engineering, Inc.	X	X	X		X								X	5/29/2023
Platinum Geomatics, LLC						X	X							4/30/2022
Surveying and Mapping, LLC						X	X	X						12/14/2020
Contour Engineering, LLC									X	X	X	X		3/12/2023



SELECTION OF FINALISTS

RFQ-484-040220

**Bridge Bundle #1 – 2020 Engineering Design Services,
Contracts 1 - 11**

The Georgia Department of Transportation is pleased to announce the selection of the following firms as finalists regarding the above RFQ:

Contract 1 - PI #0015658, PI #0016595

Barge Design Solutions, Inc.
EXP US Services, Inc.
Holt Consulting Company, LLC
Hussey, Gay, Bell & DeYoung, Inc.
Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.

Contract 2 - PI #0016600, PI #0016601

American Engineers, Inc.
Infrastructure Consulting & Engineering, PLLC
Moffatt & Nichol, Inc.
Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.
Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.

Contract 3 – PI #0016564, PI #0016565, PI #0016604

Arcadis U.S., Inc.
Barge Design Solutions, Inc.
DRMP, Inc.
Gresham Smith
WSP USA, Inc.

Contract 4 – PI #0016566, PI #0016568

American Engineers, Inc.
Long Engineering, Inc.
NV5 Engineers and Consultants, Inc.
Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.
WSP USA, Inc.

Contract 5 – PI #0016569, PI #0016584, PI #0016587, PI #0016589, PI #0016590

CHA Consulting, Inc.
Michael Baker International, Inc.
Neel-Schaffer, Inc.
T.Y. Lin International, Inc.
WSP USA, Inc.

Contract 6 – PI #0015632, PI #0016571, PI #0016572, PI #0016588

American Consulting Professionals, LLC
American Engineers, inc.
EXP US Services, Inc.
Neel-Schaffer, Inc.
Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.

Contract 7 – PI #0016570, PI #0016573, PI #331900-

American Consulting Professionals, LLC
Freese and Nichols, Inc.
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.
RS&H, Inc.
TranSystems Corporation

Contract 8 – PI #0016575, PI #0016576, PI #0016579

American Engineers, Inc.
Gresham Smith
Heath & Lineback Engineers, Inc.
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.
Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.
Volkert, Inc.

Contract 9 – PI #0016577, PI #0016578, PI #0016596, PI #0016609, PI #0016610

CHA Consulting, Inc.
Heath & Lineback Engineers, Inc.
Infrastructure Consulting Engineering, PLLC
Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.
TranSystems Corporation

Contract 10 – PI #0016607, PI #0016608 and PI #0016611

American Consulting Professionals, LLC
Barge Design Solutions, Inc.
DRMP, Inc.
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.
Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.

Contract 11 – PI #0016580, PI #0016581, PI #0016582, PI #0016599, PI #0016605, PI #0016606

CHA Consulting, Inc.
KCI Technologies, Inc.
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.
RS&H, Inc.
Wood Environment & Infrastructure Solutions, Inc.



Russell R. McMurry, P.E., Commissioner
One Georgia Center
600 West Peachtree Street, NW
Atlanta, GA 30308
(404) 631-1000 Main Office

June 23, 2020

NOTICE TO SELECTED FINALISTS

To: American Engineers, Inc.; Infrastructure Consulting & Engineering, PLLC; Moffatt & Nichol, Inc.; Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.; and Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.

Please send an e-mail confirming receipt of this notice to Folayan Battle (fbattle@dot.ga.gov).

Re: RFQ-484-040220 – Bridge Bundle #1 – 2020 Engineering Design Services, Contract 2 - PI #0016600 and PI #0016601

On behalf of the Selection Committee for the Request for Qualifications (RFQ) referenced above, we congratulate you and your firm on being selected as a finalist for further consideration. This notice shall serve as an official request for additional required information and action from finalists. **Please refer to the original solicitation (RFQ-484-040220), pages 9&10, VII. Instructions for Preparing Technical Approach and Past Performance Response – Phase II Response, A&B and pages 11&12, IX. Instructions for Submittal for Phase II – Technical Approach and Past Performance Response, A-D for instructions to submit your package.** As a finalist, your firm is required to comply with the written instructions and remaining schedule below:

A. Technical Approach - 40%

This information will be limited to a maximum of three (3) pages.

Furnish information that may serve to differentiate your firm from other firms and evidence of the firm’s fit to the project and/or needs of GDOT, including:

1. Provide any unique technical approaches your firm offers relative to addressing anticipated design concepts, use of any alternative methods for delivery (if applicable), and/or management of the project.
2. Identify any unique challenges of the project and how your firm intends to mitigate these challenges, including quality control, quality assurance procedures.
3. Provide any specific qualifications, skills, knowledge of the project and project area which may uniquely benefit the firm and project, and your ability and willingness to meet time requirements.

B. Past Performance - 10%

No additional information should be submitted to fulfill this requirement. Information from the relevant projects listed as well as information on file with the Department will be used to fulfill this requirement.

Remaining Schedule

d. GDOT completes evaluation and issues notification and other information to finalist firms.	6/23/2020	-----
e. Deadline for submission of written questions from finalists	6/29/2020	2:00 PM
f. Phase II Response of Finalist firms due	7/7/2020	2:00 PM

C. Finalist Selection

Final selection will be determined by carrying the scores from **Phase I** forward for each Finalist and by evaluating the **Technical Approach** and **Past Performance** criteria for **Phase II**. For each evaluator, the points assigned to each criterion will be totaled and a rank will be determined. The rankings of all evaluators will be totaled for each finalist in order to determine the sum of the individual rankings. The finalists will be ranked in descending order of recommendation using the sum of individual rankings from the Selection Committee members. Should a tie exist for the highest ranking firm on the contract/project, and qualifications appear to be equal, the Selection Committee shall defer to the sum of the individual points and the award shall be made to the finalist with the highest sum.

Negotiations will then be initiated with the top-ranked firm to finalize the terms and conditions of the contract, including the fees to be paid. In the event a satisfactory agreement cannot be reached with the highest-ranking firm, GDOT will formally terminate the negotiations in writing and possibly enter into negotiations with the second highest-ranking firm, and so on in turn until a mutual agreement is established and GDOT awards a contract. The final form of the contract shall be developed by GDOT.

Please address any questions you may have to Folayan Battle and congratulations again to each of you!

Folayan Battle
fbattle@dot.ga.gov
404-631-1466

SUBMISSION & PRESCREENING CHECKLIST

SOLICITATION #:	RFQ-484-040220, Contract 2				
SOLICITATION TITLE:	Bridge Bundle #1 – 2020 Engineering Design Services				
SOLICITATION DUE DATE:	July 7, 2020				
SOLICITATION TIME DUE:	2:00pm				
No.	Consultants	Date	Time	Meets Required Area Classes	Compliant with Page # Limitations
1	American Engineers, Inc.	7/7/2020	2:00 PM	X	X
2	Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.	7/7/2020	2:00 PM	X	X
3	Moffatt & Nichol, Inc.	7/7/2020	2:00 PM	X	X
4	Infrastructure Consulting & Engineering, PLLC	7/7/2020	2:00 PM	X	X
5	Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.	7/7/2020	2:00 PM	X	X

GDOT SELECTION COMMITTEE SCORING AND OVERALL RANKING OF SUBMITTALS

Solicitation Title:	<i>Bridge Bundle #1 – 2020 Engineering Design Services</i>	1	Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.
Solicitation #:	<i>RFQ-484-040220, Contract 2</i>	2	Moffatt & Nichol, Inc.
PHASE I AND PHASE II - Individual Committee Member Scoring and Overall Ranking based on Published Criteria		2	Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.
(This Page For GDOT Use)		4	American Engineers, Inc.
		4	Infrastructure Consulting & Engineering, PLLC

SUBMITTING FIRMS	(RANKING)	
	Sum of	Group
	Total	Ranking
	Score	Ranking
American Engineers, Inc.	625	4
Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.	725	1
Moffatt & Nichol, Inc.	650	2
Infrastructure Consulting & Engineering, PLLC	625	4
Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.	650	2

Evaluation Criteria	Experience and Qualifications				Resources and Workload Capacity		Technical Approach		Past Performance	
	PHASE I		PHASE II		Group Scores and Ranking					
<i>Maximum Points allowed =</i>	300	200	400	100						
SUBMITTING FIRMS	▼	▼	▼	▼	Total Score	Ranking				
American Engineers, Inc.	Good	Good	Adequate	Adequate	625	4				
Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.	Good	Good	Good	Adequate	725	1				
Moffatt & Nichol, Inc.	Good	Good	Adequate	Good	650	2				
Infrastructure Consulting & Engineering, PLLC	Good	Good	Adequate	Adequate	625	4				
Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.	Good	Good	Adequate	Good	650	2				
<i>Maximum Points allowed =</i>	300	200	400	100	1000	%				

RFQ	RFQ-484-040220, Contract 2	PHASE 2 SUMMARY COMMENTS	
Firm	American Engineers, Inc.		
Technical Approach	Assigned Rating	Adequate	
<p>American Engineers, Inc. (AEI) identified two (2) potential options for replacement of the bridges. They described two (2) off-site detours by length only, but did not include road name, description or map; it was also unclear if detour length is net or gross detour length. They identified potential utility impacts and environmental impacts as concerns but, AEI's approach (ABC construction with UHPC) for both projects is out of scope and not a practical design for this type of location and traffic and, adds significant cost to project. AEI did not present a detailed plan for delivering project that included achieving milestones or maintaining scope and budget.</p>			
Past Performance	Assigned Rating	Adequate	
<p>AEI has performed hydraulic studies for GDOT and based on consultant ratings from Bridge Office they rated an average score of 60.5 out of 100 for four (4) studies. The evaluators used prior ratings and the surveys presented in the past performance package to determine the rating should be Adequate.</p>			
RFQ	RFQ-484-040220, Contract 2	PHASE 2 SUMMARY COMMENTS	
Firm	Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.		
Technical Approach	Assigned Rating	Good	
<p>Parson's Transportation Group, Inc. (PTG) included a plan of execution as well an outlined plan for meeting project schedule, and outlined procurement plan. PTG discussed a commitment to delivering project within budget. PTG included lots of specific examples for delivering this project from their past experience with prior projects and they included relevant PI numbers. PTG included a detour route map (with clear detour lengths) and good hydraulic considerations and specifically discussed the design approach they would use; they also presented an approach for utilizing their ABC experience to deliver projects. They discussed both projects are on county roads and responsibility for ROW acquisition and utility relocation funding belong to Screven Co; however GDOT is responsible for these activities, which shows a lack of understanding by PTG of the projects.</p>			
Past Performance	Assigned Rating	Adequate	
<p>PTG has performed hydraulic studies [five (5) studies with score of 73.0] and final score plans [Three (3) sets with score of 53.3] for GDOT and based on consultant ratings from Bridge Office, they rated an average (noting that scores improved for hydraulic studies over the last year). The evaluators used prior ratings and the surveys presented in the past performance package to determine the rating should be Adequate.</p>			
RFQ	RFQ-484-040220, Contract 2	PHASE 2 SUMMARY COMMENTS	
Firm	Moffatt & Nichol, Inc.		
Technical Approach	Assigned Rating	Adequate	
<p>Moffatt & Nichol, Inc. (MN) provided a good detailed discussion of stream geometry and how that would affect the bridge layout. MN discussed early coordination with stake holders and having the additional team members that can be used from Savannah office to dedicate to project. They discussed two (2) options for replacing bridge and touched on issues with ecology, protected species and public involvement; the recommendations however were very high level and did not detail off-site detours. MN demonstrated their understanding of managing budget in their discussion on scope creep. They also discussed managing the schedule. MN provided a thorough understanding of project location and included a good summary of project challenges with hydraulic and environmental potential issues and concerns. MN did not however detail how they would mitigate the issues.</p>			
Past Performance	Assigned Rating	Good	
<p>MN submitted two (2) final plans to Bridge Office that scored 69.5 average, and eight (8) hydraulic studies that scored an average of 95.8, which were performed by hydraulic engineer that has left company. The evaluators used prior ratings and the surveys presented in the past performance package to determine the rating should be Good.</p>			

RFQ	RFQ-484-040220, Contract 2	PHASE 2 SUMMARY COMMENTS	
Firm	Infrastructure Consulting & Engineering, PLLC		
Technical Approach	Assigned Rating	Adequate	
<p>Infrastructure Consulting & Engineering, PLLC, (ICE) approach presented a plan to identify key stakeholders early. They discussed two (2) keys to success 1- balancing need and purpose with schedule and budget, and 2- balancing impacts with environmental constraints. ICE discussed design approach of 3 spans which would minimize depth of structure, but did not include a discussion of detour routes or lengths specifically (it was hard to verify their approach and recommendation for construction). They also mentioned they are familiar with low impact bridge practices and ABC techniques.</p>			
Past Performance	Assigned Rating	Adequate	
<p>ICE has performed hydraulic studies for GDOT and based on consultant ratings from Bridge Office, they rated an average score of 83.3 out of 100 for three (3) studies. The evaluators used prior ratings and the surveys presented in the past performance package to determine the rating should be Adequate.</p>			
RFQ	RFQ-484-040220, Contract 2	PHASE 2 SUMMARY COMMENTS	
Firm	Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.		
Technical Approach	Assigned Rating	Adequate	
<p>Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc., (VHB) discussed early identification of environmental resources and coordination with local governments and how they will establish a procurement plan. They discussed risk management as well as meeting schedule. VHB presented an approach that suggested low traffic volumes and possibly using AASHTO low volume design book. They discussed alternative to possibly include ABC methods, however they did not provide information on detour route and seem to imply both bridges could be closed at same time without addressing property access. VHB did not provide sufficient details on how they propose to design structure.</p>			
Past Performance	Assigned Rating	Good	
<p>One of the evaluators with a prior working experience with VHB provided input that the firm provides good communication and meets project goals. Based on the past performance survey and past experience with firm, evaluators agreed score should be Good.</p>			

Reference Check Summary for
RFQ 484-040220 Contract #2
Bridge Bundle #1 – 2020 Engineering Design Services, PI #0016600 and PI #0016601

	American Engineers, Inc.	Infrastructure Consulting & Engineering, PLLC	Moffatt & Nichol, Inc.	Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.	Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.
Questions answered on a 1, 3, 5 scale.					
1 = Below Expectations, 3 = Met Expectations, 5 = Exceeded Expectations					
1. Rate the firm's quality of leadership in program/project management for your project.					
Reference 1	5	3	3	3	5
Reference 2	3	5	5	5	5
Reference 3	5	5		3	5
Reference 4	5			3	5
Reference 5					5
Section Average	4.50	4.33	4.00	3.50	5.00
2. Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project.					
Reference 1	5	3	5	3	5
Reference 2	3	5	5	5	3
Reference 3	5	5		3	5
Reference 4	5			3	3
Reference 5					5
Section Average	4.50	4.33	5.00	3.50	4.20
3. Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals.					
Reference 1	5	3	5	3	5
Reference 2	3	5	3	5	3
Reference 3	5	5		1	3
Reference 4	5			1	5
Reference 5					5
Section Average	4.50	4.33	4.00	2.50	4.20
4. Rate the firm's technical assistance in program/project management.					
Reference 1	5	3	5	3	5
Reference 2	3	3	5	5	5
Reference 3	5	5		3	5
Reference 4	5			3	5
Reference 5					5
Section Average	4.50	3.67	5.00	3.50	5.00
5. Rate the overall success of the project thus far.					
Reference 1	5	3	5	3	5
Reference 2	3	5	5	5	3
Reference 3	5	5		3	5
Reference 4	5			3	5
Reference 5					5
Section Average	4.50	4.33	5.00	3.50	4.60
Overall Average	4.50	4.20	4.60	3.30	4.60

#1

COMPLETE

Collector: Email Invitation 1 (Email)
Started: Friday, July 10, 2020 9:28:48 AM
Last Modified: Friday, July 10, 2020 9:29:57 AM
Time Spent: 00:01:09
Email: jemery@troupco.org
IP Address: 162.251.30.196

Page 1: Contact Information and Conflict of Interest

Q1

Contact Information

Name	James Emery
Company	Troup County Board of Commissioners
Title	County Engineer
Email Address	jemery@troupcountyga.gov
Phone Number	7068831713

Q2

No

A conflict of interest may exist when an individual engages in activities which may financially or otherwise benefit themselves, their relatives or other individuals with whom they are personally or financially involved as a result of knowledge, information or action taken in an official capacity. A conflict of interest may exist where there is no actual benefit to the individual. The mere presence of the opportunity may create the conflict. Based on the above definition of conflict of interest, is there any circumstance whereby a conflict of interest (real or perceived) exists and therefore would cause you to recuse yourself from completing this survey?

Page 2: Consultant Reference Check Survey

Q3

5 - Exceeded expectations

Rate the firm's quality of leadership in program/project management for your project

Q4 **5 - Exceeded expectations**

Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project

Q5 **5 - Exceeded expectations**

Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals

Q6 **5 - Exceeded expectations**

Rate the firm's technical assistance in program/project management

Q7 **5 - Exceeded expectations**

Rate the overall success of the project thus far

Q8 **Respondent skipped this question**

Please provide comments to substantiate your ratings

#1

COMPLETE

Collector: Email Invitation 1 (Email)
Started: Monday, July 13, 2020 2:01:32 PM
Last Modified: Monday, July 13, 2020 2:03:34 PM
Time Spent: 00:02:01
Email: kemullins@dot.ga.gov
IP Address: 143.100.53.12

Page 1: Contact Information and Conflict of Interest

Q1

Contact Information

Name	Kelvin Mullins
Company	GDOT
Title	District Engineer
Email Address	kemullins@dot.ga.gov
Phone Number	770-532-5526

Q2

No

A conflict of interest may exist when an individual engages in activities which may financially or otherwise benefit themselves, their relatives or other individuals with whom they are personally or financially involved as a result of knowledge, information or action taken in an official capacity. A conflict of interest may exist where there is no actual benefit to the individual. The mere presence of the opportunity may create the conflict. Based on the above definition of conflict of interest, is there any circumstance whereby a conflict of interest (real or perceived) exists and therefore would cause you to recuse yourself from completing this survey?

Page 2: Consultant Reference Check Survey

Q3

3 - Met expectations

Rate the firm's quality of leadership in program/project management for your project

Q4

3 - Met expectations

Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project

Q5

3 - Met expectations

Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals

Q6

3 - Met expectations

Rate the firm's technical assistance in program/project management

Q7

3- Met expectations

Rate the overall success of the project thus far

Q8

Respondent skipped this question

Please provide comments to substantiate your ratings

#1

COMPLETE

Collector: Email Invitation 1 (Email)
Started: Friday, July 10, 2020 9:11:34 AM
Last Modified: Friday, July 10, 2020 9:14:08 AM
Time Spent: 00:02:34
Email: bjones@houstoncountyga.org
IP Address: 104.129.204.109

Page 1: Contact Information and Conflict of Interest

Q1

Contact Information

Name	Brian Jones
Company	Houston County Public Works
Title	Utility Engineer
Email Address	bjones@houstoncountyga.org
Phone Number	478.987.4280

Q2

No

A conflict of interest may exist when an individual engages in activities which may financially or otherwise benefit themselves, their relatives or other individuals with whom they are personally or financially involved as a result of knowledge, information or action taken in an official capacity. A conflict of interest may exist where there is no actual benefit to the individual. The mere presence of the opportunity may create the conflict. Based on the above definition of conflict of interest, is there any circumstance whereby a conflict of interest (real or perceived) exists and therefore would cause you to recuse yourself from completing this survey?

Page 2: Consultant Reference Check Survey

Q3

5 - Exceeded expectations

Rate the firm's quality of leadership in program/project management for your project

Q4 **5 - Exceeded expectations**

Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project

Q5 **5 - Exceeded expectations**

Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals

Q6 **5 - Exceeded expectations**

Rate the firm's technical assistance in program/project management

Q7 **5 - Exceeded expectations**

Rate the overall success of the project thus far

Q8
Please provide comments to substantiate your ratings

We did not have any Change Orders to the contract. Project was on time and on budget. Tom Fravel is a good manager of resources.

#1

COMPLETE

Collector: Email Invitation 1 (Email)
Started: Tuesday, July 14, 2020 12:10:23 PM
Last Modified: Tuesday, July 14, 2020 2:37:59 PM
Time Spent: 02:27:35
Email: jemery@troupco.org
IP Address: 162.251.30.196

Page 1: Contact Information and Conflict of Interest

Q1

Contact Information

Name	James Emery
Company	Troup County Board of Commissioners
Title	County Engineer
Email Address	jemery@troupcountyga.org.org
Phone Number	7068831713

Q2

No

A conflict of interest may exist when an individual engages in activities which may financially or otherwise benefit themselves, their relatives or other individuals with whom they are personally or financially involved as a result of knowledge, information or action taken in an official capacity. A conflict of interest may exist where there is no actual benefit to the individual. The mere presence of the opportunity may create the conflict. Based on the above definition of conflict of interest, is there any circumstance whereby a conflict of interest (real or perceived) exists and therefore would cause you to recuse yourself from completing this survey?

Page 2: Consultant Reference Check Survey

Q3

5 - Exceeded expectations

Rate the firm's quality of leadership in program/project management for your project

Q4 **5 - Exceeded expectations**

Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project

Q5 **5 - Exceeded expectations**

Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals

Q6 **5 - Exceeded expectations**

Rate the firm's technical assistance in program/project management

Q7 **5 - Exceeded expectations**

Rate the overall success of the project thus far

Q8 **Respondent skipped this question**

Please provide comments to substantiate your ratings

#1

COMPLETE

Collector: Email Invitation 1 (Email)
Started: Sunday, July 12, 2020 5:03:30 PM
Last Modified: Sunday, July 12, 2020 5:09:51 PM
Time Spent: 00:06:21
Email: ronelson@dot.ga.gov
IP Address: 174.218.19.37

Page 1: Contact Information and Conflict of Interest

Q1

Contact Information

Name	William Ronald Nelson
Company	Georgia Department of Transportation
Title	P3 Division/OID Project Manager
Email Address	ronelson@dot.ga.gov
Phone Number	9124249112

Q2

No

A conflict of interest may exist when an individual engages in activities which may financially or otherwise benefit themselves, their relatives or other individuals with whom they are personally or financially involved as a result of knowledge, information or action taken in an official capacity. A conflict of interest may exist where there is no actual benefit to the individual. The mere presence of the opportunity may create the conflict. Based on the above definition of conflict of interest, is there any circumstance whereby a conflict of interest (real or perceived) exists and therefore would cause you to recuse yourself from completing this survey?

Page 2: Consultant Reference Check Survey

Q3

3 - Met expectations

Rate the firm's quality of leadership in program/project management for your project

Q4

3 - Met expectations

Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project

Q5

3 - Met expectations

Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals

Q6

3 - Met expectations

Rate the firm's technical assistance in program/project management

Q7

3- Met expectations

Rate the overall success of the project thus far

Q8

Please provide comments to substantiate your ratings

ICE has met the requirements for the Lead Design Firm as set forth in the DBA. The preliminary design, permitting, and final design Work was found to be satisfactory according to the requirements set forth in the DBA.

#1

COMPLETE

Collector: Email Invitation 1 (Email)
Started: Friday, July 10, 2020 10:32:10 AM
Last Modified: Friday, July 10, 2020 10:35:02 AM
Time Spent: 00:02:52
Email: mclowers@dot.ga.gov
IP Address: 143.100.53.12

Page 1: Contact Information and Conflict of Interest

Q1

Contact Information

Name	Marlo Clowers
Company	GDOT
Title	P3 Project Manager
Email Address	mclowers@dot.ga.gov
Phone Number	404.293.7406

Q2

No

A conflict of interest may exist when an individual engages in activities which may financially or otherwise benefit themselves, their relatives or other individuals with whom they are personally or financially involved as a result of knowledge, information or action taken in an official capacity. A conflict of interest may exist where there is no actual benefit to the individual. The mere presence of the opportunity may create the conflict. Based on the above definition of conflict of interest, is there any circumstance whereby a conflict of interest (real or perceived) exists and therefore would cause you to recuse yourself from completing this survey?

Page 2: Consultant Reference Check Survey

Q3

5 - Exceeded expectations

Rate the firm's quality of leadership in program/project management for your project

Q4 **5 - Exceeded expectations**

Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project

Q5 **5 - Exceeded expectations**

Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals

Q6 **3 - Met expectations**

Rate the firm's technical assistance in program/project management

Q7 **5 - Exceeded expectations**

Rate the overall success of the project thus far

Q8 **Respondent skipped this question**

Please provide comments to substantiate your ratings

#1

COMPLETE

Collector: Email Invitation 1 (Email)
Started: Friday, July 10, 2020 2:47:35 PM
Last Modified: Friday, July 10, 2020 2:50:55 PM
Time Spent: 00:03:19
Email: ahoenig@dot.ga.gov
IP Address: 99.184.135.219

Page 1: Contact Information and Conflict of Interest

Q1

Contact Information

Name	Andrew Hoenig
Company	Georgia DOT
Title	Design Build Program Manager
Email Address	ahoenig@dot.ga.gov
Phone Number	4046311757

Q2

No

A conflict of interest may exist when an individual engages in activities which may financially or otherwise benefit themselves, their relatives or other individuals with whom they are personally or financially involved as a result of knowledge, information or action taken in an official capacity. A conflict of interest may exist where there is no actual benefit to the individual. The mere presence of the opportunity may create the conflict. Based on the above definition of conflict of interest, is there any circumstance whereby a conflict of interest (real or perceived) exists and therefore would cause you to recuse yourself from completing this survey?

Page 2: Consultant Reference Check Survey

Q3

5 - Exceeded expectations

Rate the firm's quality of leadership in program/project management for your project

Q4 **5 - Exceeded expectations**

Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project

Q5 **5 - Exceeded expectations**

Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals

Q6 **5 - Exceeded expectations**

Rate the firm's technical assistance in program/project management

Q7 **5 - Exceeded expectations**

Rate the overall success of the project thus far

Q8

Please provide comments to substantiate your ratings

ICE successfully delivered this project on a very tight schedule under budget. I would highly recommend them again.

#1

INCOMPLETE

Collector: Email Invitation 1 (Email)
Started: Monday, July 13, 2020 9:49:05 AM
Last Modified: Monday, July 13, 2020 9:51:25 AM
Time Spent: 00:02:20
Email: dwhite@dot.ga.gov
IP Address: 143.100.53.12

Page 1: Contact Information and Conflict of Interest

Q1

Contact Information

Name	DAVIDA WHITE
Company	GDOT
Title	Sr. Project Manager
Email Address	davidakwhite@gmail.com
Phone Number	404-631-1530

Q2

No

A conflict of interest may exist when an individual engages in activities which may financially or otherwise benefit themselves, their relatives or other individuals with whom they are personally or financially involved as a result of knowledge, information or action taken in an official capacity. A conflict of interest may exist where there is no actual benefit to the individual. The mere presence of the opportunity may create the conflict. Based on the above definition of conflict of interest, is there any circumstance whereby a conflict of interest (real or perceived) exists and therefore would cause you to recuse yourself from completing this survey?

Page 2: Consultant Reference Check Survey

Q3

Respondent skipped this question

Rate the firm's quality of leadership in program/project management for your project

Q4 Respondent skipped this question

Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project

Q5 Respondent skipped this question

Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals

Q6 Respondent skipped this question

Rate the firm's technical assistance in program/project management

Q7 Respondent skipped this question

Rate the overall success of the project thus far

Q8 Respondent skipped this question

Please provide comments to substantiate your ratings

#1

COMPLETE

Collector: Email Invitation 1 (Email)
Started: Tuesday, June 30, 2020 12:45:29 PM
Last Modified: Tuesday, June 30, 2020 12:48:19 PM
Time Spent: 00:02:50
Email: kristen.kasmire@arcadis.com
IP Address: 35.227.4.6

Page 1: Contact Information and Conflict of Interest

Q1

Contact Information

Name	Kristen Kasmire
Company	Arcadis
Title	Vice President
Email Address	kristen.kasmire@arcadis.com
Phone Number	470-218-3923

Q2

No

A conflict of interest may exist when an individual engages in activities which may financially or otherwise benefit themselves, their relatives or other individuals with whom they are personally or financially involved as a result of knowledge, information or action taken in an official capacity. A conflict of interest may exist where there is no actual benefit to the individual. The mere presence of the opportunity may create the conflict. Based on the above definition of conflict of interest, is there any circumstance whereby a conflict of interest (real or perceived) exists and therefore would cause you to recuse yourself from completing this survey?

Page 2: Consultant Reference Check Survey

Q3

3 - Met expectations

Rate the firm's quality of leadership in program/project management for your project

Q4 **5 - Exceeded expectations**

Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project

Q5 **5 - Exceeded expectations**

Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals

Q6 **5 - Exceeded expectations**

Rate the firm's technical assistance in program/project management

Q7 **5 - Exceeded expectations**

Rate the overall success of the project thus far

Q8
Please provide comments to substantiate your ratings

They have delivered on or ahead of schedule and their work so far has been accepted and approved by GDOT.

#1

COMPLETE

Collector: Email Invitation 1 (Email)
Started: Monday, July 06, 2020 9:35:09 AM
Last Modified: Monday, July 06, 2020 9:36:10 AM
Time Spent: 00:01:01
Email: npanther@chathamcounty.org
IP Address: 12.48.151.106

Page 1: Contact Information and Conflict of Interest

Q1

Contact Information

Name	Nathaniel Panther
Company	Chatham County
Title	Senior Engineer
Email Address	npanther@chathamcounty.org
Phone Number	912 652 7813

Q2

No

A conflict of interest may exist when an individual engages in activities which may financially or otherwise benefit themselves, their relatives or other individuals with whom they are personally or financially involved as a result of knowledge, information or action taken in an official capacity. A conflict of interest may exist where there is no actual benefit to the individual. The mere presence of the opportunity may create the conflict. Based on the above definition of conflict of interest, is there any circumstance whereby a conflict of interest (real or perceived) exists and therefore would cause you to recuse yourself from completing this survey?

Page 2: Consultant Reference Check Survey

Q3

5 - Exceeded expectations

Rate the firm's quality of leadership in program/project management for your project

Q4 **5 - Exceeded expectations**

Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project

Q5 **3 - Met expectations**

Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals

Q6 **5 - Exceeded expectations**

Rate the firm's technical assistance in program/project management

Q7 **5 - Exceeded expectations**

Rate the overall success of the project thus far

Q8
Please provide comments to substantiate your ratings

M&N did a great job managing this project and delivering on a tight schedule. Look forward to working with them again.

#1

COMPLETE

Collector: Email Invitation 1 (Email)
Started: Friday, July 10, 2020 12:06:38 PM
Last Modified: Friday, July 10, 2020 12:10:50 PM
Time Spent: 00:04:11
Email: erobinson@dot.ga.gov
IP Address: 174.218.6.24

Page 1: Contact Information and Conflict of Interest

Q1

Contact Information

Name	Erany Robinson
Company	GDOT
Title	Asst State Maintenance Engineer
Email Address	erobinson@dot.ga.gov
Phone Number	4047960010

Q2

No

A conflict of interest may exist when an individual engages in activities which may financially or otherwise benefit themselves, their relatives or other individuals with whom they are personally or financially involved as a result of knowledge, information or action taken in an official capacity. A conflict of interest may exist where there is no actual benefit to the individual. The mere presence of the opportunity may create the conflict. Based on the above definition of conflict of interest, is there any circumstance whereby a conflict of interest (real or perceived) exists and therefore would cause you to recuse yourself from completing this survey?

Page 2: Consultant Reference Check Survey

Q3

3 - Met expectations

Rate the firm's quality of leadership in program/project management for your project

Q4

3 - Met expectations

Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project

Q5

3 - Met expectations

Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals

Q6

3 - Met expectations

Rate the firm's technical assistance in program/project management

Q7

3- Met expectations

Rate the overall success of the project thus far

Q8

Respondent skipped this question

Please provide comments to substantiate your ratings

#1

COMPLETE

Collector: Email Invitation 1 (Email)
Started: Thursday, July 02, 2020 7:55:23 PM
Last Modified: Thursday, July 02, 2020 7:59:35 PM
Time Spent: 00:04:12
Email: dcrockhunter@yahoo.com
IP Address: 216.119.22.39

Page 1: Contact Information and Conflict of Interest

Q1

Contact Information

Name	David G Moyer
Company	CITY OF STATESBORO
Title	CAPITAL PROJECTS MANAGER
Email Address	dcrockhunter@yahoo.com
Phone Number	9126828461

Q2

No

A conflict of interest may exist when an individual engages in activities which may financially or otherwise benefit themselves, their relatives or other individuals with whom they are personally or financially involved as a result of knowledge, information or action taken in an official capacity. A conflict of interest may exist where there is no actual benefit to the individual. The mere presence of the opportunity may create the conflict. Based on the above definition of conflict of interest, is there any circumstance whereby a conflict of interest (real or perceived) exists and therefore would cause you to recuse yourself from completing this survey?

Page 2: Consultant Reference Check Survey

Q3

5 - Exceeded expectations

Rate the firm's quality of leadership in program/project management for your project

Q4 **5 - Exceeded expectations**

Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project

Q5 **5 - Exceeded expectations**

Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals

Q6 **5 - Exceeded expectations**

Rate the firm's technical assistance in program/project management

Q7 **5 - Exceeded expectations**

Rate the overall success of the project thus far

Q8
Please provide comments to substantiate your ratings

Parsons always provides excellent customer service. Excellent quality control and often delivered ahead of schedule.

#1

COMPLETE

Collector: Email Invitation 1 (Email)
Started: Monday, July 13, 2020 9:29:55 AM
Last Modified: Monday, July 13, 2020 10:27:12 AM
Time Spent: 00:57:17
Email: jhenry@dot.ga.gov
IP Address: 143.100.53.12

Page 1: Contact Information and Conflict of Interest

Q1

Contact Information

Name	Jeff Henry
Company	AECOM-GDOT Bridge Program
Title	Project Manager
Email Address	jhenry@dot.ga.gov
Phone Number	404-663-8649

Q2

No

A conflict of interest may exist when an individual engages in activities which may financially or otherwise benefit themselves, their relatives or other individuals with whom they are personally or financially involved as a result of knowledge, information or action taken in an official capacity. A conflict of interest may exist where there is no actual benefit to the individual. The mere presence of the opportunity may create the conflict. Based on the above definition of conflict of interest, is there any circumstance whereby a conflict of interest (real or perceived) exists and therefore would cause you to recuse yourself from completing this survey?

Page 2: Consultant Reference Check Survey

Q3

3 - Met expectations

Rate the firm's quality of leadership in program/project management for your project

Q4

3 - Met expectations

Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project

Q5

1 - Below expectations

Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals

Q6

3 - Met expectations

Rate the firm's technical assistance in program/project management

Q7

3- Met expectations

Rate the overall success of the project thus far

Q8

Please provide comments to substantiate your ratings

Parsons has a solid level of technical competence. At times, Parsons staff seemed overburdened with workload and this affected ability to meet milestones. This accounts for the rating of 1 in ability to meet established project goals.

#1

COMPLETE

Collector: Email Invitation 1 (Email)
Started: Monday, July 13, 2020 10:27:44 AM
Last Modified: Monday, July 13, 2020 10:29:37 AM
Time Spent: 00:01:52
Email: jhenry@dot.ga.gov
IP Address: 143.100.53.12

Page 1: Contact Information and Conflict of Interest

Q1

Contact Information

Name	Jeff Henry
Company	AECOM-GDOT Bridge Program
Title	Project Manager
Email Address	jhenry@dot.ga.gov
Phone Number	404-663-8649

Q2

No

A conflict of interest may exist when an individual engages in activities which may financially or otherwise benefit themselves, their relatives or other individuals with whom they are personally or financially involved as a result of knowledge, information or action taken in an official capacity. A conflict of interest may exist where there is no actual benefit to the individual. The mere presence of the opportunity may create the conflict. Based on the above definition of conflict of interest, is there any circumstance whereby a conflict of interest (real or perceived) exists and therefore would cause you to recuse yourself from completing this survey?

Page 2: Consultant Reference Check Survey

Q3

3 - Met expectations

Rate the firm's quality of leadership in program/project management for your project

Q4

3 - Met expectations

Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project

Q5

1 - Below expectations

Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals

Q6

3 - Met expectations

Rate the firm's technical assistance in program/project management

Q7

3- Met expectations

Rate the overall success of the project thus far

Q8

Please provide comments to substantiate your ratings

Parsons has a solid level of technical competence. At times, Parsons staff seemed overburdened with workload and this affected ability to meet milestones. This accounts for the rating of 1 in ability to meet established project goals.

#1

COMPLETE

Collector: Email Invitation 1 (Email)
Started: Tuesday, June 30, 2020 9:25:39 AM
Last Modified: Tuesday, June 30, 2020 9:26:29 AM
Time Spent: 00:00:49
Email: lewis.cooksey@gwinnettcountry.com
IP Address: 12.164.202.26

Page 1: Contact Information and Conflict of Interest

Q1

Contact Information

Name	Lewis Cooksey
Company	Gwinnett County
Title	Assistant Director
Email Address	lewis.cooksey@gwinnettcountry.com
Phone Number	6783767080

Q2

No

A conflict of interest may exist when an individual engages in activities which may financially or otherwise benefit themselves, their relatives or other individuals with whom they are personally or financially involved as a result of knowledge, information or action taken in an official capacity. A conflict of interest may exist where there is no actual benefit to the individual. The mere presence of the opportunity may create the conflict. Based on the above definition of conflict of interest, is there any circumstance whereby a conflict of interest (real or perceived) exists and therefore would cause you to recuse yourself from completing this survey?

Page 2: Consultant Reference Check Survey

Q3

5 - Exceeded expectations

Rate the firm's quality of leadership in program/project management for your project

Q4 **5 - Exceeded expectations**

Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project

Q5 **5 - Exceeded expectations**

Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals

Q6 **5 - Exceeded expectations**

Rate the firm's technical assistance in program/project management

Q7 **5 - Exceeded expectations**

Rate the overall success of the project thus far

Q8 **Respondent skipped this question**

Please provide comments to substantiate your ratings

#1

COMPLETE

Collector: Email Invitation 1 (Email)
Started: Monday, July 13, 2020 9:30:16 AM
Last Modified: Monday, July 13, 2020 9:31:53 AM
Time Spent: 00:01:37
Email: ckalafut@dot.ga.gov
IP Address: 76.17.117.23

Page 1: Contact Information and Conflict of Interest

Q1

Contact Information

Name	Carol Kalafut
Company	Georgia Department of Transportation
Title	Bridge Program Specialist
Email Address	ckalafut@dot.ga.gov
Phone Number	404-631-1882

Q2

No

A conflict of interest may exist when an individual engages in activities which may financially or otherwise benefit themselves, their relatives or other individuals with whom they are personally or financially involved as a result of knowledge, information or action taken in an official capacity. A conflict of interest may exist where there is no actual benefit to the individual. The mere presence of the opportunity may create the conflict. Based on the above definition of conflict of interest, is there any circumstance whereby a conflict of interest (real or perceived) exists and therefore would cause you to recuse yourself from completing this survey?

Page 2: Consultant Reference Check Survey

Q3

5 - Exceeded expectations

Rate the firm's quality of leadership in program/project management for your project

Q4

3 - Met expectations

Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project

Q5

3 - Met expectations

Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals

Q6

5 - Exceeded expectations

Rate the firm's technical assistance in program/project management

Q7

3- Met expectations

Rate the overall success of the project thus far

Q8

Please provide comments to substantiate your ratings

Was overall a "4" but not really an option - Matt Thompson was particularly helpful and good at communicating throughout.

#1

COMPLETE

Collector: Email Invitation 1 (Email)
Started: Friday, July 10, 2020 9:24:58 AM
Last Modified: Friday, July 10, 2020 9:41:13 AM
Time Spent: 00:16:15
Email: ckalafut@dot.ga.gov
IP Address: 76.17.117.23

Page 1: Contact Information and Conflict of Interest

Q1

Contact Information

Name	Carol Kalafut
Company	GDOT
Title	Bridge Program Specialist
Email Address	ckalafut@dot.ga.gov
Phone Number	404-631-1882

Q2

No

A conflict of interest may exist when an individual engages in activities which may financially or otherwise benefit themselves, their relatives or other individuals with whom they are personally or financially involved as a result of knowledge, information or action taken in an official capacity. A conflict of interest may exist where there is no actual benefit to the individual. The mere presence of the opportunity may create the conflict. Based on the above definition of conflict of interest, is there any circumstance whereby a conflict of interest (real or perceived) exists and therefore would cause you to recuse yourself from completing this survey?

Page 2: Consultant Reference Check Survey

Q3

5 - Exceeded expectations

Rate the firm's quality of leadership in program/project management for your project

Q4 **5 - Exceeded expectations**

Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project

Q5 **3 - Met expectations**

Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals

Q6 **5 - Exceeded expectations**

Rate the firm's technical assistance in program/project management

Q7 **5 - Exceeded expectations**

Rate the overall success of the project thus far

Q8
Please provide comments to substantiate your ratings

The overall experience was probably a "4", but that wasn't an option. This was a project that was a "first" for the LIBP - had a ROW phase, and they did a great job supporting the coordination and working through "bugs". Matt Thompson was especially helpful and good communication.

#1

COMPLETE

Collector: Email Invitation 1 (Email)
Started: Monday, July 06, 2020 9:33:54 AM
Last Modified: Monday, July 06, 2020 9:35:03 AM
Time Spent: 00:01:08
Email: npanther@chathamcounty.org
IP Address: 12.48.151.106

Page 1: Contact Information and Conflict of Interest

Q1

Contact Information

Name	Nathaniel Panther
Company	Chatham County
Title	Senior Engineer
Email Address	npanther@chathamcounty.org
Phone Number	912 652 7813

Q2

No

A conflict of interest may exist when an individual engages in activities which may financially or otherwise benefit themselves, their relatives or other individuals with whom they are personally or financially involved as a result of knowledge, information or action taken in an official capacity. A conflict of interest may exist where there is no actual benefit to the individual. The mere presence of the opportunity may create the conflict. Based on the above definition of conflict of interest, is there any circumstance whereby a conflict of interest (real or perceived) exists and therefore would cause you to recuse yourself from completing this survey?

Page 2: Consultant Reference Check Survey

Q3

5 - Exceeded expectations

Rate the firm's quality of leadership in program/project management for your project

Q4

3 - Met expectations

Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project

Q5

5 - Exceeded expectations

Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals

Q6

5 - Exceeded expectations

Rate the firm's technical assistance in program/project management

Q7

5 - Exceeded expectations

Rate the overall success of the project thus far

Q8

Please provide comments to substantiate your ratings

VHB is a great firm to work with. Look forward to working with them again.

#1

COMPLETE

Collector: Email Invitation 1 (Email)
Started: Tuesday, June 30, 2020 9:24:10 AM
Last Modified: Tuesday, June 30, 2020 9:25:14 AM
Time Spent: 00:01:03
Email: lewis.cooksey@gwinnettcountry.com
IP Address: 12.164.202.26

Page 1: Contact Information and Conflict of Interest

Q1

Contact Information

Name	Lewis Cooksey
Company	Gwinnett County
Title	Assistant Director
Email Address	lewis.cooksey@gwinnettcountry.com
Phone Number	6783767080

Q2

No

A conflict of interest may exist when an individual engages in activities which may financially or otherwise benefit themselves, their relatives or other individuals with whom they are personally or financially involved as a result of knowledge, information or action taken in an official capacity. A conflict of interest may exist where there is no actual benefit to the individual. The mere presence of the opportunity may create the conflict. Based on the above definition of conflict of interest, is there any circumstance whereby a conflict of interest (real or perceived) exists and therefore would cause you to recuse yourself from completing this survey?

Page 2: Consultant Reference Check Survey

Q3

5 - Exceeded expectations

Rate the firm's quality of leadership in program/project management for your project

Q4 **5 - Exceeded expectations**

Rate the overall services of the firm's staff for the duration of the project

Q5 **5 - Exceeded expectations**

Rate the firm's ability to meet the established project goals

Q6 **5 - Exceeded expectations**

Rate the firm's technical assistance in program/project management

Q7 **5 - Exceeded expectations**

Rate the overall success of the project thus far

Q8 **Respondent skipped this question**

Please provide comments to substantiate your ratings

SAM Search Results
List of records matching your search for :

Search Term : PARSONS TRANSPORTATION GROUP INC.*
Record Status: Active

ENTITY	Parsons Transportation Group Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 007979396	+4:	CAGE Code: 4DMC8 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 07/02/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 100 M St Se Ste 1200		
City: Washington	State/Province: DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	
ZIP Code: 20003-3520	Country: UNITED STATES	
ENTITY	Parsons Transportation Group Of New York, Inc	Status: Active
DUNS: 075237925	+4:	CAGE Code: 36UP7 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 04/29/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No	Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 100 Broadway Fl 18		
City: New York	State/Province: NEW YORK	
ZIP Code: 10005-1983	Country: UNITED STATES	

SAM Search Results
List of records matching your search for :

Search Term : CONTOUR ENGINEERING, LLC*
Record Status: Active

ENTITY CONTOUR ENGINEERING, LLC	Status: Active
DUNS: 050433932 +4:	CAGE Code: 3EPX6 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 10/01/2020	Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 1955 VAUGHN RD NW STE 101	
City: KENNESAW	State/Province: GEORGIA
ZIP Code: 30144-7808	Country: UNITED STATES

SAM Search Results
List of records matching your search for :

Search Term : SOUTHEASTERN ENGINEERING, INC*
Record Status: Active

ENTITY Southeastern Engineering, Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 030087030 +4:	CAGE Code: 58GD4 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 01/26/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 2470 Sandy Plains Rd City: Marietta ZIP Code: 30066-5706	State/Province: GEORGIA Country: UNITED STATES
ENTITY Southeastern Engineering Sales, Inc.	Status: Active
DUNS: 091216945 +4:	CAGE Code: 6R297 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 07/07/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 1001 Port Walthall Dr City: South Chesterfield ZIP Code: 23834-5919	State/Province: VIRGINIA Country: UNITED STATES

SAM Search Results
List of records matching your search for :

Search Term : T2 UES, Inc*
Record Status: Active

ENTITY T2 UES, Inc	Status: Active
DUNS: 117203635 +4:	CAGE Code: 8FKX4 DoDAAC:
Expiration Date: 01/08/2021	Has Active Exclusion?: No Debt Subject to Offset?: No
Address: 7217 E 87th St	State/Province: INDIANA
City: Indianapolis	Country: UNITED STATES
ZIP Code: 46256-1204	

**STATE OF GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
NOTICE OF PROFESSIONAL CONSULTANT QUALIFICATION**

You are qualified to provide Consulting Services to the Department of Transportation for the area-classes of work checked below. Notice of qualification is not a notice of selection.

NAME AND ADDRESS		DISPOSITION DATE	EXPIRATION DATE
PARSONS TRANSPORTATION GROUP 3577 Parkway Lane, Building 5, Suite 100, Norcross, GA 30092		June 14, 2018	December 14, 2020
SIGNATURE <i>Nihal Patel</i>			
1. Transportation Planning	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.01 State Wide Systems Planning <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.02 Urban Area and Regional Transportation Planning <input type="checkbox"/> 1.03 Aviation Systems Planning <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.04 Mass and Rapid Transportation Planning <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.05 Alternate System and Corridor Location Planning <input type="checkbox"/> 1.06 Unknown <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.06a NEPA Documentation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.06b History <input type="checkbox"/> 1.06c Air Studies <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.06d Noise Studies <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.06e Ecology <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.06f Archaeology <input type="checkbox"/> 1.06g Freshwater Aquatic Surveys <input type="checkbox"/> 1.06h Bat Surveys <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.07 Attitude, Opinion and Community Value Studies <input type="checkbox"/> 1.08 Airport Master Planning <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.09 Location Studies <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.10 Traffic Studies <input type="checkbox"/> 1.11 Traffic and Toll Revenue Studies <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.12 Major Investment Studies <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.13 Non-Motorized Transportation Planning	3. Highway Design Roadway (continued)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3.09 Traffic Control System Analysis, Design and Implementation <input type="checkbox"/> 3.10 Utility Coordination <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3.11 Architecture <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3.12 Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Roadway) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3.13 Facilities for Bicycles and Pedestrians <input type="checkbox"/> 3.14 Historic Rehabilitation <input type="checkbox"/> 3.15 Highway Lighting <input type="checkbox"/> 3.16 Value Engineering <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3.17 Design of Toll Facilities Infrastructure
2. Mass Transit Operations	<input type="checkbox"/> 2.01 Mass Transit Program (Systems) Management <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2.02 Mass Transit Feasibility and Technical Studies <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2.03 Mass Transit Vehicle and Propulsion System <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2.04 Mass Transit Controls, Communications and Information Systems <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2.05 Mass Transit Architectural Engineering <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2.06 Mass Transit Unique Structures <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2.07 Mass Transit Electrical and Mechanical Systems <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2.08 Mass Transit Operations Management and Support Services <input type="checkbox"/> 2.09 Aviation <input type="checkbox"/> 2.10 Mass Transit Program (Systems) Marketing	4. Highway Structures	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4.01a Minor Bridges Design <input type="checkbox"/> 4.01b Minor Bridges Design CONDITIONAL <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4.02 Major Bridges Design <input type="checkbox"/> 4.03 Movable Span Bridges Design <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4.04 Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Bridges) <input type="checkbox"/> 4.05 Bridge Inspection
3. Highway Design Roadway	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3.01 Two-Lane or Multi-Lane Rural Generally Free Access Highway Design <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3.02 Two-Lane or multi-Lane with Curb and Gutter Generally Free Access Highways Design Including Storm Sewers <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3.03 Two-Lane or Multi-Lane Widening and Reconstruction, with Curb and Gutter and Storm Sewers in Heavily Developed Commercial Industrial and Residential Urban Areas <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3.04 Multi-Lane, Limited Access Expressway Type Highway Design <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3.05 Design of Urban Expressway and Interstate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3.06 Traffic Operations Studies <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3.07 Traffic Operations Design <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3.08 Landscape Architecture	5. Topography	<input type="checkbox"/> 5.01 Land Surveying <input type="checkbox"/> 5.02 Engineering Surveying <input type="checkbox"/> 5.03 Geodetic Surveying <input type="checkbox"/> 5.04 Aerial Photography <input type="checkbox"/> 5.05 Aerial Photogrammetry <input type="checkbox"/> 5.06 Topographic Remote Sensing <input type="checkbox"/> 5.07 Cartography <input type="checkbox"/> 5.08 Subsurface Utility Engineering
		6. Soils, Foundation & Materials Testing	<input type="checkbox"/> 6.01a Soil Surveys <input type="checkbox"/> 6.01b Geological and Geophysical Studies <input type="checkbox"/> 6.02 Bridge Foundation Studies <input type="checkbox"/> 6.03 Hydraulic and Hydrological Studies (Soils and Foundation) <input type="checkbox"/> 6.04a Laboratory Materials Testing <input type="checkbox"/> 6.04b Field Testing of Roadway Construction Materials <input type="checkbox"/> 6.05 Hazard Waste Site Assessment Studies
		8. Construction	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 8.01 Construction Supervision
		9. Erosion and Sedimentation Control	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 9.01 Erosion, Sedimentation, and Pollution Control and Comprehensive Monitoring Program <input type="checkbox"/> 9.02 Rainfall and Runoff Reporting <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 9.03 Field Inspections for Compliance of Erosion and Sedimentation Control Devices Installations